## INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE DANUBE RIVER

## Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots in the Danube River Basin



Prepared by

the ARS-ad-hoc Expert Panel of the AEPWS EG



November 2001

## Contents

# Analysis of Accidental Risk Spots (ARS) in the catchment area of the Danube

## Preface

- 1. Synopsis
- 2. Background
- **3.** Selection of hazardous locations
  - 3.1 EU "Seveso II" guidelines
  - 3.2 "UN/ECE agreement on the transboundary effects of industrial accidents" (Industrial Accidents Convention)
  - **3.3** The ICPE methodology
  - 3.3.1 Water Risk Classes
  - 3.3.2 Water Risk Index (WRI)
  - **3.4 Procedures followed in the Danube catchment area**

## 4. Analysis and evaluation

4.1	Results
4.1.1	Germany
4.1.2	Austria
4.1.3	Czech Republic
4.1.4	Slovak Republic
4.1.5	Hungary
4.1.6	Slovenia
4.1.7	Croatia
4.1.8	Bulgaria
4.1.9	Romania
4.1.10	Ukraine
4.1.11	Republic of Moldova

## 5. Recommendations

## Appendices

- Annex 1 Seveso II Annex 1
- Annex 2 UN/ECE convention Annex 1
- Annex 3 WRC classification
- Annex 4 Alarm criteria
- Annex 5 Information-Sources
- Annex 6 Difficulties ARS

## **ARS** Tables

ARS -	Germany
ARS -	Austria (no table received)
ARS -	Czech Republic
ARS -	Slovak Republic
ARS -	Hungary
ARS -	Slovenia
ARS -	Croatia
ARS -	Bulgaria
ARS -	Romania
ARS -	Ukraine (no table received)
ARS -	<b>Republic of Moldova</b>

## ANALYSIS OF ACCIDENTAL RISK SPOTS (ARS) IN THE CATCHMENT AREA OF THE DANUBE

As of 30 June 2001

Prepared by the ARS-*ad-hoc* Expert Panel of the AEPWS EG:

> Gerhard Winkelmann-Oei Aurel Varduca Daniel Geisbacher György Pinter Igor Liska

## Preface

As a follow-up to the cyanide spills in the Tisa river basin government representatives from Romania, Hungary, Ukraine and Slovakia agreed at a Tetralateral Commission meeting held in Cluj (RO) on 23-24 May, 2000, to prepare national inventories of potential accidental risk spots (including their mapping) in the Tisa catchment area. The model adopted for the inventory was based on the previous classification of installations posing a danger of accidental water pollution in the Elbe catchment area.

In September 2000 The ICPDR Steering Group encouraged all ICPDR member States/Danube Countries to elaborate national ARS inventories in order to arrive at a common inventory for the whole Danube River Basin, based on the methodology applied for the Tisa.

The realisation of this task was entrusted to the AEPWS [Accident Emergency Prevention and Warning System] Expert Group, who in their turn delegated a panel of experts (ad-hoc ARS Expert Group) to carry out the analysis, which is presented here.

The basin-wide Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots has been made on the basis of information supplied by each of the ICPDR countries. Additional data have been supplied by the ad-hoc ARS Expert Group. The analysis reflects the state of potential hazards as of 30 June 2001.

## 1. Synopsis

A quantitative evaluation of hazardous locations in the Danube catchment area was carried out for the first time with reference to possible water pollution resulting from accidents. The analysis is reflecting the potential dangers; the actual danger level can only be determined on the basis of an analysis of the safety measures that have been put in place.

The methods used are based on the transposition of substances present that could lead to water pollution into WRC (in German WGK) 3-equivalents (water risk classes). From the sum of the WRC 3- equivalents a so-called WRI (water risk index) can be calculated logarithmically, analogously to the Richter scale in the case of earthquakes. On this basis it was possible to analyse the potential ARSs (accidental risk spots) in the Danube catchment area and assess their relative significance.

The investigation discovered that about a third of the entire risk potential, in terms of WRC-3 equivalent mass, is to be found in the Danube catchment area in Germany, while about a quarter is connected with mining activities in Romania. In addition to this, sedimentation basins, or tailing ponds, present an enormous potential hazard. In view of the catastrophic accidents that have happened in the past (Donana, Baia Mare, Baia Borsa, etc.), this conclusion was to be expected.

The investigation was able to identify top-priority ARSs in the various member states of the ICPDR, which should prompt the local authorities to take urgent safety measures in these installations.

## 2. Background

The environmental disasters caused by the cyanide accident in the Tisa catchment area proved repeatedly that inadequate precautionary measures in industrial practice, when faced with a water pollution occasioned by accident, can lead to massively harmful effects, both for human beings and for the environment, as well as having a significant economic impact on entire regions.

On the initiative of the ICPDR, since 1997 an Accidental Emergency Warning System (AEWS) in the Danube river basin is in operation. Its major task is propagation of the alarm message on the accidental spill to mitigate the consequences of an accident. Preparation of an Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots is a part of a complex of measures designed to support the AEWS and represents a contribution to fulfilment of the goals set out in the "ICPDR Joint Action Programme" for 2001 to 2005.

The selection of the installations was made on the basis of the potential danger they represent, based on the nature and the quantity of the raw materials handled in these installations that could cause water pollution. In this context it must be made clear that a definitive statement of the actual danger level was not possible on the basis of these findings only, since no investigation has been made of the safety measures that have been put in place in each of these locations. An evaluation of the quality of prevention, or of the safety rating of the factories concerned, is not the object of this analysis, even though suggestions are proposed as to what steps could be taken to make progressive improvements in the safety level (see attachment: "Checklist-methodology").

The philosophy of water protection, as seen in relation to industrial installations in the developed industrial countries is based on presumption that the potential hazard to water bodies can be compensated by comprehensive technological and organisational safety precautions.

The analysis executed here gives an overview of the potential dimensions of the hazard, showing at what points action needs to be taken, that is, which aspects of the safety of an installation need to be referred to as a matter of top priority.

## 3. Selection of hazardous locations

Because systems for classifying accident-susceptible industrial activities in the various countries of the Danube catchment area are quite different from one another, if they even exist at all, the first task was to find a common procedure to be used for the classification.

As a basis for this

- the EU "Seveso II" directive,
- the "UN/ECE agreement on the transboundary effects of industrial accidents" (Industrial Accidents Convention) as well as
- the findings of the International Commission for the Protection of the River Elbe (ICPE) were taken into consideration.

The first criterion for selection in all cases was the quantity of dangerous substances present at each of the various locations. In particular, the international directives mentioned above make the following implications:

#### 3.1 EU "Seveso II" directive

This directive defines hazardous industrial activity on the basis of the quantity of dangerous substances present at the given location. The level of hazard that a substance represents is based on danger categories as well as on a list of individual substances

(see Annex, Seveso II). No discrimination is made here in respect of potential hazards to water, air, or the soil.

## 3.2 "UN/ECE agreement on the transboundary effects of industrial accidents" (Industrial Accidents Convention)

The definition of hazardous industrial activities is in all essential respects analogous to the definition found in the EU "Seveso II" directive. Only in the quantities of the substances that are taken to be critical are there some small differences, which are however at present being harmonized. The convention itself still makes no difference between accidents that transmit their effects by way of water, the air, and the soil. At the first Conference of the Parties to the Industrial Convention, however, a resolution was adopted that included specified criteria for the classification of hazardous installations on river systems extending across national boundaries. In this method of classification, industrial activities with potential for water pollution are assessed in terms of the various substance categories 3, 4, 5, and 8 ("very poisonous", "poisonous", "oxidising", and "environmentally dangerous") along with the critical thresholds for the quantities involved (see Annex, UN/ECE criteria).

#### 3.3 The ICPE methodology

As early as 1995 a method was developed within the ICPE for categorising those industrial activities in the Elbe river basin that represent a hazard of water pollution. The basis of this was the framework for determining the assessment of water pollution caused by accident that had been worked out by the Elbe Warning and Alarm Plan. The critical factors here were the potential for causing water pollution combined with the quantity of hazardous substances present in each case. These considerations gave the so-called water risk index (WRI). In the year 2001 this methodology has been also established by the ICPDR (see Annex "Alarmcriteria")

#### 3.3.1 Water Risk Classes

Water risk classes have already been used in Germany for more than 20 years as a means of assessing "substance-specific water hazards", particularly in determining the potential for water pollution represented by hazardous installations.

By now about 6000 substances and mixtures of substances have been classified in these terms.

- The following properties of substances are the essential factors that are taken into account when classifying in terms of Water Risk Classes (WRC):Toxicity (acute, chronic)
- Toxicity to humans and mammals

- Aquatic toxicity
- Persistence
- Biological degradability
- Physiochemical *eliminability*
- Properties of distribution in water and the soil
- In the organism (accumulation)

In detail the water risk class is determined by assessing the effects of substances that are categorised in terms of the 25 R-ratings. The difference is made between substances posing no danger (WRC 0) and those ranked into three classes of danger:

WRC 1: low danger to water WRC 2: dangerous to water WRC 3: high danger to water

Contrary to both the EU "Seveso II" directive and the UN/ECE "Industrial Convention", the water hazard classes are an integrated method of evaluating water hazards (see Annex, WRC classification).

### 3.3.2 Water Risk Index (WRI)

Substance-specific determination of water hazard makes distinctions between the separate water hazard classes based on the factors 10 - 100. For a simplified assessment of potential water hazard in industrial activities, the International Warning and Alarm Plan Elbe (IWAE) was the context for the development of the so-called index of water pollution. Here in the assessment of water pollution caused by an accident a water risk index (WRI) was introduced, which, like the Richter scale in the case of earthquakes, makes it possible to classify water-related accidents according to their potential danger. The WRI corresponds to the base 10 logarithm of the WRC 3 substance equivalents.

For categorising dangerous activities in the Elbe catchment area the above mentioned principles were referred to. In addition the following secondary criteria were introduced:

 For the sake of determining which activities carried the highest risk potential, a cut-off threshold of WRI >= 5 was introduced. 2. Only those activities were investigated that are located directly on the Elbe, or up to 50 kilometres upstream on its tributaries.

#### 3.4 Procedures followed in the Danube catchment area

On the basis of an analysis of the various approaches described above, the ICPE's way of proceeding was found to be the most suitable for the analysis of industrial activities with high potential for causing water pollution. An analysis just in terms of the EU "Seveso II" directive would have selected installations susceptible to accident without discriminating on the basis of their potential for causing water pollution. The UN/ECE "Industrial Convention" would have taken the water hazard more into account, but a weighting of the various activities in terms of hazard potential would not have been possible.

The ICPE's way of proceeding embodied a pragmatic approach, making possible a rapid determination of the most hazardous activities and, on that basis, a recommendation of safety measures that should be given the highest priority.

Because the substance classifications of the water hazard classes incorporate the substance criteria of the EU "Seveso II" directive as well as those of the UN/ECE-"Industrial Convention", while at the same time going beyond them, we can be assured that all industrial installations that are referred to on the basis of these two principles will also be selected using the ICPE method. Activities presenting a water hazard in terms of the EU "Seveso II" directive or in terms of the UN/ECE "Industrial Convention" would therefore be a subclass of the activities determined by the ICPE approach.

Only reservation no. 2 of the ICPE methodology, having in view the UN/ECE "Industrial Convention", has not been taken into account. Following example will explain the approach applied:

As the first step the inventory of chemicals (type and amount) in each site will be performed. The to each substance the WRC is ascribed. For this purpose the UBA databank of dangerous chemicals can be used (http://www.umweltbundesamt.de/wgs/wgs-index.htm). If no WRC can be found for a particular substance then the expert estimate must be done (e.g., content of the sedimentation reservoir has WRC 1). For the determination of the water endangering potential of the assessed site the calculation of the WRI is necessary. WRI is calculated from the amounts of all dangerous substances after their recalculation to WRC 3 equivalent<sup>1</sup>. The example of the recalculation is given in the following Table:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The real differences in danger to water between particular WRC-classes are in the interval of 10-100. To simplify the classification using WRC the factor of danger between classes has been set to 10. This leads to a certain overestimation of danger of WRC 1 substances and to underestimation of danger of WRC 3 substances. This approach can be justified by the fact that during accidents in addition to toxic effects also other adverse

## Table 1

<u>Substance</u>	Amount	WRC	WRC 3 – equivalent	WRI
	[Kg]		[Kg]	
<u>Paraffine</u>	10000	"0"	10	1
<u>NaOH</u>	10000	1	100	2
<u>Ammonia</u>	10000	2	1000	3
<u>Acrylnitril</u>	10000	3	10000	4
<u>Sum</u>			11110	4,046

From this example it is clear that substances posing low danger to water have negligible effect to total WRI in case that comparable amounts of various substances have been spilled.

## 4. Analysis and evaluation

In October 2000 the members states of the ICPDR were requested to compile a basin-wide Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots based on the accepted methodology (see Annex, Survey Table). The survey was focussed to the place and name of the activity, along with the presence of substances capable of causing water pollution and their quantity. In addition, in the interests of cartographical precision the co-ordinates of the place were to be supplied.

The data resulting from the enquiry received by the end of June 2001 was of variable quality (see Annex "Information Sources"). No information was received from Austria, Ukraine and Bosna i Herzegovina. Although the Inventory consisted of very few columns to be filled in, it was obvious that some countries had found it very difficult to respond to the questions. In order to analyse these difficulties and to draw the appropriate conclusions, all member states of the ICPDR were asked to make a statement. The result is summarised in the Annex, Difficulties – NATIONAL A.R.S. – INVENTORY.

On the basis of a technical analysis of the results of the questionnaire, the following could be established as principal difficulties:

• Geographical co-ordinates are evidently known only in some countries.

impacts can occur (e.g., decrease of oxygen content). These effects are relevant especially during spills of large amounts of dangerous substances.

- For complex mixtures of substances there have often been no reliable R-phrases or water risk indices established. So in the analysis a number of water risk indices were estimated (for example with water-polluting solvents in tailing ponds → WRC=1).
- The total quantity of the hazardous substances can often be only an estimate.
- A major difficulty consists in the question of how an 'industrial activity' is to be defined. Are pipelines or refuse depots to be included into this category? Seeing that immediately following on the Seveso II directive the question has been raised whether the cyanide accident in the Tisa catchment area falls within the scope of the directive, in carrying out this survey an open mind was maintained on this issue, and the various ICPDR countries were offered the latitude of forming a definition of activities representing a water hazard in the light of their own experience. This way of proceeding resulted in some spectacular results, e.g. with reference to the potential risk of tailing ponds by comparison with "conventional" industrial activities.

In detail the survey produced the following results:

#### 4.1 Results

The following table (Table 1) gives a comprehensive overview of the results obtained. Altogether data was determined for 611 ARSs (potential "accidental risk spots"). The total quantity of highly water-hazardous (WRC3) substances in the Danube catchment area is approx. 6 million tonnes. Over a third of this is used in industrial activities in Germany. In addition some 25% of this hazard potential is associated with mining activities (tailing ponds) in Romania. The lowest WRI (Water Risk Index) figures are found in Slovenia and the Republic of Moldova. Seeing that the WRI works on a logarithmic scale, the difference in substance-specific hazard potential between Hungary (WRI = 8.8), say, and Bulgaria (WRI = 8.6) practically represents a doubling in quantity. Therefore, the logarithmic scaling is always to be kept in mind when comparing any WRI values. The numerical difference of 1 in WRI means the difference in an order of magnitude in terms of mass.

All in all the distribution resulting from the survey, when compared with the economic capacity or industrial development of the various regions, is in accordance with what might have been expected. Only the high WRI for Romania is at first glance not easy to make sense of. The detailed analysis of the various countries gives a somewhat fuller picture.

Country	Reported ARS	Evaluable ARS	<b>Total quantity</b> (WRC 3 – Equivalents)	Total WRI
			[Kg]	
Bulgaria	29	28	370,000,000	8.6
Germany	56	56	2,293,874,000	9.4
Croatia	30	26	135,734,760	8.1
Moldova	27	14	3,634,610	6.6
Romania	67	59	2,076,893,274	9.3
Slowak. Rep.	148	145	250,877,521	8.4
Slovenia	2	2	980,000	6.0
Czech Rep.	9	8	144,617,790	8.2
Hungary	243	242	706,603,002	8.8
Total	611	580	5,982,720,034	9.8

## Table 2The number of ARSs and their total hazard potential

In view of the statistical distribution of the various ARSs in terms of WRI classes in the various countries, it can be clearly seen that the survey methods applied here succeeded in defining the top end of the high-risk locations in the Danube catchment area. As a rule, the number of ARSs should progressively rise as the WRI becomes lower (see Hungary); where this is not the case, and intermediate peaks come into view, this indicates certain specific factors peculiar to the country, such as a higher proportion of mining activities or refuse depots.

## Table 3Statistical distribution of the ARSs in terms of WRI classes

Danube Countries	Total Number of Evaluable		Numb	-	ots belonging Index groups	to the	
	ARS spots	≥ 9.0	8.9 - 8.0	7.9 – 7.0	6.9 - 6.0	5.9 - 5.0	Below 5
Germany	56	-	6	6	14	29	1
Austria							
Czech Rep.	8	-	1	1	-	1	5
Slovakia	145	-	-	9	17	62	57
Hungary	242	-	2	11	20	40	169
Slovenia	2	-	-	-	-	2	-
Croatia	26	-	-	3	10	2	11

Romania	59	-	8	11	12	7	21
Bulgaria	28	-	1	2	7	5	13
Moldova	14				1	7	6
Ukraine							

In conclusion it must again be emphasised that the present determination of potential risk does not correspond to the actual risk, since for the real risk assessment the security measures applied must be taken into consideration, as well. In the course of the economic recovery of Middle and Eastern Europe, a considerable rise in the number of ARSs is to be expected. To prevent this development's leading to a rise in the Danube catchment area in the actual hazard of water pollution occasioned by accident, effective prescriptions for technical safety measures, both at national and at supranational level, are needed, and steps must be taken to ensure that they are realised in practice.

## 4.1.1 Germany

The German national inventory was carried out on the basis of the ICPE procedures, i.e., only industrial installations situated by the tributaries up to 50 kilometres upstream their confluence with the Danube mainstream were taken into consideration. As a result a number of industrial installations were not included (e.g. Munich). Inclusion of these regions would probably result in much higher WRI value.

Altogether 56 activities representing a water hazard were reported. These could be completely incorporated in the survey. The highest potential hazards are located to be in oil refineries and depots.

## 4.1.2 Austria

From Austria no information has been received by the end of June 2001.

## 4.1.3 Czech Republic

The Czech Republic reported 9 ARSs, although one of these could not be evaluated as the data supplied was incomplete. Out of the remaining 8 ARSs, 7 are associated with "industrial" activities and 1 ARS corresponds to two tailing ponds resulting from the processing of uranium. The results of the survey pointed at two interesting facts:

1. The potential hazard of uranium tailing ponds, even at a conservative estimate, is higher by a factor of 10 than all other "industrial" potential hazards in the Czech Republic.

2. Practically the whole potential "industrial" hazard (>99%) is produced by one ARS related to the processing of crude oil.

#### 4.1.4. Slovak Republic

The report made by the Slovak Republic was also very comprehensive. Altogether 148 ARS were reported, out of which 145 could be assessed. The high number of installations arises from the fact that a lot of ARS with low hazard potential were reported. Having in mind that the WRI is logarithmically determined the contribution of these ARS to the overall WRI rating is negligible. A more significant influence here might have been derived from the conservative procedures of handling of petrochemical products belonging to WRC 3.

The highest hazard potential in the Slovak inventory was found in connection with a tailing pond ("siderite mining") and then that of refineries/depots for the processing or storing of petrochemical products.

### 4.1.5 Hungary

Hungary provided a very detailed and precise report; although, as already observed in the case of Germany, the survey considered tributaries up to a distance of only 50 kilometres above their confluence into the Danube. Altogether 246 ARSs were reported. This inventory included more ARSs than it was required by the WRI cut-off point having the value of 5. So the Hungarian report contains 173 ARS, which have a WRI less than 5, thus, they should be considered as relatively low-risk locations. As an additional interesting fact in the Hungarian national inventory the different hazardous potential of agricultural and industrial activities in the Tisa and the Danube catchment can be considered. It was found that the agricultural ARSs (U-Agri-D and U-Agri-T) have only a negligible influence on the overall Risk Index. The highest hazard potential is connected with the industrial activities on the Tisa (see Table 2). The highest potential hazards are found in two ARSs in the Tisa catchment (an oilfired power station and a chemical factory).

Table	4
-------	---

		Total quantity			
	ARS	[Kg]	WRI	Mean/ARS	Mean WRI
Hungary					
Total	243	706,603,002	8.8	3617345	6.6
U-Agri-D	30	2,910,000	6.5	100345	5.0
U-Agri-T	20	1,928,000	6.3	101474	5.0
U-Industry-D	131	163,766,892	8.2	1259745	6.1
U-Industry-T	62	537,998,110	8.7	8406220	6.9

## 4.1.6 Slovenia

Slovenia reported two ARSs. Both are refuse depots ("land fill sites") arising from the metalworking and petrochemical industries.

## 4.1.7 Croatia

Out of 30 ARSs reported, data were supplied for 26. The highest potential hazard is connected with a pond of waste water.

### 4.1.8 Bulgaria

Out of 29 reported ARS, 28 could be evaluated in terms of WRC and on the basis of the quantities present by means of expert estimates. The Kremikovtzi industrial site had the highest hazard potential prevailing over all other risk spots.

It is particularly evident from the Bulgarian inventory that, in spite of the sufficient instructions provided to a local expert for carrying out of the survey, there is still a considerable need for consultation to enhance the understanding of the approach applied.

### 4.1.9 Romania

67 ARSs were reported by Romania. The available data were sufficient for the evaluation of 59 installations. After Germany, Romania has the second highest overall WRI. The Inventory shows the reasons for this phenomenon. The "industrial" hazard

potential of Romania represents less than 0.1% of the enormously high hazard potential of tailing ponds and wastewater dumps arising from a wide range of mining activities.

## 4.1.10 Ukraine

The Ukraine did not participate in the ARS survey.

## 4.1.11 Republic of Moldova

The Republic of Moldova reported 27 ARSs. More than the half of these (about 14) could be evaluated on the basis of simplified criteria. The Republic of Moldova is a predominantly an agricultural country with relatively limited industrial activities. Accordingly, the hazard potential is to be found almost exclusively in fuel depots and large-scale chlorine depots connected with water treatment or food production.

## 5. Recommendations

- On the basis of the survey procedures applied, the most significant potential hazards in the Danube catchment area could be determined. It is recommended to the ICPDR member states that they should carefully control and monitor locations with a high-risk potential, with special reference to weak points.
- It is recommended to the ICPDR member states that, using the agreed procedures, they should ensure the continuous updating of ARS Inventory with the aim to further investigate the distribution of potential hazards in their region. This should lead to specification of high priority actions to be taken.
- The ARS survey can only give an indication of potential hazards. The actual risks arising from the ARSs depend on the safety measures that have been applied in each installation. In order to estimate the real safety level that has been attained, and to improve it if necessary, special checklists have been developed (see Appendix), based on the recommendations of the ICPR and of the ICPE. These checklists should be used by the authorities of the ICPDR member states in order to investigate the safety measures in each ARS. These checklists should be seen as "living documents" and should be further adjusted to the need of the ICPDR-countries by the AEPWS expert group.
- The practical value of these checklists has been positively proved in the context of a support project donated by the German federal government to Romania and to the

Republic of Moldova. Therefore, the practical value of the checklists should be demonstrated to all ICPDR member states.

- Tailing ponds arising from mining activities and waste depots or dumps were found to be the ARSs with the highest hazard potential. These areas are covered only partially or not at all by international regulations (EU directives; UN/ECE conventions). The ICPDR should address recommendations to the EU and the UN/ECE urging them to provide appropriate regulations setting the safety standards for these high-risk locations.
- In addition to this, it is recommended that the ICPDR member states should assess their national legislation as for its efficiency to ensure a good technical status of the hazardous installations avoiding any weaknesses in their safety design.
- It is also recommended that the ICPDR member states should evaluate the personal and technical qualifications of their bodies responsible for mapping of hazards related to ARSs.
- The present analysis represents the first international survey of ARSs in the Danube River Basin. The survey procedures should be further refined and standardised to indicate the actions to be taken. It is therefore recommended that the ICPDR should continue to refine and standardise the survey methodologies, and should repeat the Inventory of ARSs in the Danube River Basin at three-year intervals.

Annex 1

## Seveso II

#### ANNEX 1 – SEVESO 2

## APPLICATION OF THE DIRECTIVE INTRODUCTION

This Annex applies to the presence of dangerous substances at any establishment within the meaning of Article 3 of this Directive and determines the application of the relevant Articles thereof.
 Mixtures and preparations shall be treated in the same way as pure substances provided they remain within concentration limits set according to their properties under the relevant Directives given in Part 2, Note 1, or their latest adaptation to technical progress, unless a percentage composition or other

description is specifically given.

3. The qualifying quantities set out below relate to each establishment.

4. The quantities to be considered for the application of the relevant Articles are the maximum quantities which are present or are likely to be present at any one time. Dangerous substances present at an establishment only in quantities equal to or less than 2 % of the relevant qualifying quantity shall be ignored for the purposes of calculating the total quantity present if their location within an establishment is such that it cannot act as an initiator of a major accident elsewhere on the site.

5. The rules given in Part 2, Note 4 governing the addition of dangerous substances, or categories of dangerous substances, shall apply where appropriate.

#### PART 1

Named substances

Where a substance or group of substances listed in Part 1 also falls within a category of Part 2, the qualifying quantities set out in Part 1 must be used.

>TABLE POSITION>

NOTES

1. Ammonium nitrate (350/2 500)

This applies to ammonium nitrate and ammonium nitrate compounds in which the nitrogen content as a result of the ammonium nitrate is more than 28 % by weight (compounds other than those referred to in Note 2) and to aqueous ammonium nitrate solutions in which the concentration of ammonium nitrate is more than 90 % by weight.

2. Ammonium nitrate (1 250/5 000)

This applies to simple ammonium-nitrate based fertilizers which comply with Directive 80/876/EEC and to composite fertilizers in which the nitrogen content as a result of the ammonium nitrate is more than 28 % in weight (a composite fertilizer contains ammonium nitrate with phosphate and/or potash). 1. Polychlorodibenzofurans and polychlorodibenzodioxins

The quantities of polychlorodibenzofurans and polychlorodibenzodioxins are calculated using the following factors:

>TABLE POSITION>

#### PART 2

Categories of substances and preparations not specifically named in Part 1 >TABLE POSITION>

NOTES

1. Substances and preparations are classified according to the following Directives (as amended) and their current adaptation to technical progress:

- Council Directive 67/548/EEC of 27 June 1967 on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances (1),

- Council Directive 88/379/EEC of 7 June 1988 on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous preparations (2),

- Council Directive 78/631/EEC of 26 June 1978 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous preparations (pesticides) (3). In the case of substances and preparations which are not classified as dangerous according to any of the above Directives but which nevertheless are present, or are likely to be present, in an establishment and which possess or are likely to possess, under the conditions found at the establishment, equivalent properties in terms of major-accident potential, the procedures for provisional classification shall be

followed according to the relevant Article of the appropriate Directive.

In the case of substances and preparations with properties giving rise to more than one classification, for the purposes of this Directive the lowest thresholds shall apply.

For the purposes of this Directive, a list providing information on substances and preparations shall be established, kept up to date and approved by the procedure set up under Article 22.

2. An 'explosive' means:

(a) (i) a substance or preparation which creates the risk of an explosion by shock, friction, fire or other sources of ignition (risk phrase R 2),

(ii) a pyrotechnic substance is a substance (or mixture of substances) designated to produce heat, light, sound, gas or smoke or a combination of such effects through non-detonating self-sustained exothermic chemical reactions, or

(iii) an explosive or pyrotechnic substance or preparation contained in objects;

(b) a substance or preparation which creates extreme risks of explosion by shock, friction, fire or other sources of ignition (risk phrase R 3).

3. 'Flammable`, 'highly flammable`, and 'extremely flammable` in categories 6, 7 and 8 mean: (a) flammable liquids:

substances and preparations having a flash point equal to or greater than 21 °C and less than or equal to 55 °C (risk phrase R 10), supporting combustion;

(b) highly flammable liquids:

1. - substances and preparations which may become hot and finally catch fire in contact with air at ambient temperature without any input of energy (risk phrase R 17),

- substances which have a flash point lower than 55 °C and which remain liquid under pressure, where particular processing conditions, such as high pressure or high temperature, may create major-accident hazards;

2. substances and preparations having a flash point lower than 21 °C and which are not extremely flammable (risk phrase R 11, second indent);

(c) extremely flammable gases and liquids:

1. liquid substances and preparations which have a flash point lower than 0 °C and the boiling point (or, in the case of a boiling range, the initial boiling point) of which at normal pressure is less than or equal to 35 °C (risk phrase R 12, first indent), and

2. gaseous substances and preparations which are flammable in contact with air at ambient temperature and pressure (risk phrase R 12, second indent), whether or not kept in the gaseous or liquid state under pressure, excluding liquefied extremely flammable gases (including LPG) and natural gas referred to in Part 1, and

3. liquid substances and preparations maintained at a temperature above their boiling point.

4. The addition of dangerous substances to determine the quantity present at an establishment shall be carried out according to the following rule:

if the sum >NUM>q1

- >DEN>Q +>NUM>q2 >DEN>Q +>NUM>q3
- >DEN>Q

+>NUM>q4

>DEN>Q

+>NUM>q5

>DEN>Q

 $+ \ldots > 1$  where qx = the quantity of dangerous substances x (or category of dangerous substances) falling within Parts 1 or 2 of this Annex,

Q = the relevant threshold quantity from Parts 1 or 2,

then the establishment is covered by the relevant requirements of this Directive.

This rule will apply for the following circumstances:

(a) for substances and preparations appearing in Part 1 at quantities less than their individual qualifying quantity present with substances having the same classification from Part 2, and the addition of substances and preparations with the same classification from Part 2;

(b) for the addition of categories 1, 2 and 9 present at an establishment together;

(c) for the addition of categories 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 a, 7 b and 8, present at an establishment together.

(1) OJ No 196, 16. 8. 1967, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Directive 93/105/EC (OJ No L 294, 30.

11. 1993, p. 21).
(2) OJ No L 187, 16. 7. 1988, p. 14.
(3) OJ No L 206, 29. 7. 1978, p. 13. Directive as last amended by Directive 92/32/EEC (OJ No L 154, 5. 6. 1992, p. 1).

Annex 2

**UN/ECE convention – Annex 1** 

#### ANNEX 2 – UN/ECE Convention on Transboundary accidents, Annex 1

#### GUIDELINES TO FACILITATE THE IDENTIFICATION OF HAZARDOUS ACTIVITIES FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE CONVENTION

(in accordance with article 4, paragraph 1, of the Convention)

1. According to the definitions of the Convention, hazardous activities are activities capable of causing transboundary effects that involve the manufacture, use, storage, handling or disposal of hazardous substances in quantities above the threshold limits laid down in annex I to the Convention.

2. A transboundary effect means a serious effect in one Party as a result of an industrial accident occurring in another Party. An effect is a direct or indirect, immediate or delayed adverse consequence caused by an industrial accident on, <u>inter alia</u>, human beings, soil, water, air, landscape, material assets or cultural heritage.

3. Taking into account the definition of hazardous activity and the fact that industrial accidents with transboundary effects are more likely to occur in activities close to a border or in a river basin having a transboundary outlet, it is clear that both substance and quantity criteria and location criteria are needed for the purpose of identifying hazardous activities. These criteria are given below. They are intentionally kept pragmatic so that the Parties can start implementing the Convention swiftly.

#### Substance and quantity criteria

4. The following substance and quantity criteria shall apply for the purpose of identifying hazardous activities capable of causing transboundary effects under the Convention: one or more hazardous substances are present or may be present in quantities at or in excess of the threshold quantities listed in annex I to the Convention. $\underline{1}/$ 

#### Location criteria

5. The following two location criteria shall apply for the purpose of identifying hazardous activities capable of causing transboundary effects under the Convention:

(a) Within 15 kilometres from the border, for activities involving substances that may cause a fire or explosion or involving toxic substances that may be released into the air in the event of an accident;

(b) Along or within the catchment areas of transboundary and border rivers, transboundary or international lakes, or within the catchment areas of transboundary groundwaters, 2/ for activities involving substances falling under category 3, 4, 5 or 8 of part I of annex I to the Convention. A catchment area of a transboundary river or lake is defined as the whole drainage area of this river or lake with a common outlet.

#### <u>Notes</u>

 $\frac{1}{2}$  According to Council Decision 98/685/EC of 23 March 1998, for EU member States all establishments covered by article 9 of Council Directive 96/82/EC of 9 December 1996 (Seveso II), i.e. upper-tier establishments, are taken to meet these criteria.

2/ A list of major transboundary watercourses (i.e. any surface waters or groundwaters which mark, cross or are located on boundaries between one or more States) and international lakes has been compiled within the framework of the UN/ECE Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes on the basis of information provided by Parties and other UN/ECE member countries. The term "major" implies that there may be a significant transboundary impact through these waters. It was, however, left to the discretion of the countries to decide which of these waters were considered to fulfil the condition of "significant transboundary impact". Thus, the list includes not only big, but also medium and small watercourses. This list will soon be available through the Internet at the following address: www.unece.org/env/water and will be updated regularly.

## ANNEX I

# HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES FOR THE PURPOSES OF EFINING HAZARDOUS ACTIVITIES

The quantities set out below relate to each activity or group of activities. Where a range of quantities is given in Part I, the threshold quantities are the maximum quantities given in each range. Five years after the entry into force of this Convention, the lowest quantity given in each range shall become the threshold quantity, unless amended.

Where a substance or preparation named in Part II also falls within a category in Part I, the threshold quantity set out in Part II shall be used.

For the identification of hazardous activities, Parties shall take into consideration the foreseeable possibility of aggravation of the hazards involved and the quantities of the hazardous substances and their proximity, whether under the charge of one or more operators.

PART I. Categories of substances and preparations not	specifically named in Part II	
Category	Threshold Quar (Tonnes)	<u>ntity</u>
1. Flammable gases 1a) including LPG		200
2. Highly flammable liquids 1b)	50	0,000
3. Very toxic 1c)		20
4. Toxic 1d)	500	-200
5. Oxidizing 1e)	500	-200
6. Explosive 1f)	20	0-50
7. Flammable liquids 1g) (handled under special cono pressure and temperature)	ditions of	200
8. Dangerous for the environment 1h)		200
PART II. Named substances		
Substance	reshold Quantity (Tonnes)	

Substance	<b>Threshold Quantity</b> (Tonnes)
1. Ammonia	500
2 a Ammonium nitrate <u>2</u> )	2,500
2 b Ammonium nitrate in the form of fertilizers <u>3</u> )	10,000
3. Acrylonitrile	200
4. Chlorine	25
5. Ethylene oxide	50

6. Hydrogen cyanide	20
7. Hydrogen fluoride	50
8. Hydrogen sulphide	50
9. Sulphur dioxide	250
10. Sulphur trioxide	75
11. Lead alkyls	50
12. Phosgene	0.75
13. Methyl isocyanate	0.15

### **NOTES**

1. <u>Indicative criteria</u>. In the absence of other appropriate criteria, Parties may use the following criteria when classifying substances or preparations for the purposes of Part I of this Annex.

(a) FLAMMABLE GASES: substances which in the gaseous state at normal pressure and mixed with air become flammable and the boiling point of which at normal pressure is 20'C or below;

(b) HIGHLY FLAMMABLE LIQUIDS: substances which have a flash point lower than 21'C and the boiling point of which at normal pressure is above 20'C;

(c) VERY TOXIC: substances with properties corresponding to those in table 1 or table 2 below, and which, owing to their physical and chemical properties, are capable of creating industrial accident hazards.

TABLE 1				
LD <sub>50</sub> (oral)(1) mg/kg body weight LD <sub>50</sub> <u>&lt;=</u> 25	LD <sub>50</sub> (dermal)(2) mg/kg body weight LD <sub>50</sub> <u>&lt;=</u> 50	$\begin{array}{c} LC_{50}(3) \\ mg/l \text{ (inhalation)} \\ LC_{50} \leq = 0.5 \end{array}$		
(1) LD <sub>50</sub> oral in r	ats			
(2) LD <sub>50</sub> dermal	in rats or rabbits			
(3) LC <sub>50</sub> by inhal	ation (four hours	) in rats		
		TABLE 2		
Discrimi	nating dose			
mg/kg bo	dy weight	< 5		

where the acute oral toxicity in animals of the substance has been determined using the fixed-dose procedure.

(d) TOXIC: substances with properties corresponding to those in table 3 or 4 and having physical and chemical properties capable of creating industrial accident hazards.

TABLE 3		
$\frac{\text{LD}_{50}(\text{oral})(1)}{\text{mg/kg body weight}}$	LD <sub>50</sub> (dermal)(2) mg/kg body weight 50 < LD <sub>50</sub> <u>&lt;=</u> 400	
(1) $LD_{50}$ oral in rats		

(2) $LD_{50}$ dermal in rats or rabbits		
(3) $LC_{50}$ by inhalation (four hours) in	rats	
	TABLE 4	
Discriminating dose		
mg/kg body weight	=5	
where the acute oral toxicity in anima	als of the substance has been determi	ined

where the acute oral toxicity in animals of the substance has been determined using the fixed-dose procedure.

(e) OXIDIZING: substances which give rise to highly exothermic reaction when in contact with other substances, particularly flammable substances.

(f) EXPLOSIVE: substances which may explode under the effect of flame or which are more sensitive to shocks or friction than dinitrobenzene.

(g) FLAMMABLE LIQUIDS: substances which have a flash point lower than 55oC and which remain liquid under pressure, where particular processing conditions, such as high pressure and high temperature, may create industrial accident hazards.

(h) DANGEROUS FOR THE ENVIRONMENT: substances showing the values for acute toxicity to the aquatic environment corresponding to table 5.

TABLE 5	
EC <sub>50</sub> (2) mg/l EC <sub>50</sub> <= 10	$\begin{array}{c} C_{50}(3) \\ mg/l \\ IC_{50} <= 10 \end{array}$
	EC <sub>50</sub> (2) mg/l

(3)  $IC_{50}$  algae (72 hours)

where the substance is not readily degradable, or the log Pow > 3.0 (unless the experimentally determined BCF < 100).

### (i) LD - lethal dose

- (j) LC lethal concentration
- (k) EC effective concentration
- (l) IC inhibiting concentration
- (m) Pow partition coefficient octanol/water
- (n) BCF bioconcentration factor

2. This applies to ammonium nitrate and mixtures of ammonium nitrate where the nitrogen content derived from the ammonium nitrate is > 28% by weight, and to aqueous solutions of ammonium nitrate where the concentration of ammonium nitrate is > 90% by weight.

3. This applies to straight ammonium nitrate fertilizers and to compound fertilizers where the nitrogen content derived from the ammonium nitrate is

>`28% by weight (a compound fertilizer contains ammonium nitrate together with phosphate and/or potash).

4. Mixtures and preparations containing such substances shall be treated in the same way as the pure substance unless they no longer exhibit equivalent properties and are not capable of producing transboundary effects.

Annex 3

## WRC classification

ANNEX 3 - WRC Classification

Federal Environmental Agency

August 1999

#### **Classification of Substances and Mixtures into Water Hazard Classes**

#### according to the Administrative Regulation

#### on the Classification of Substances Hazardous to Waters

(Verwaltungsvorschrift wassergefährdende Stoffe; VwVwS) of 17 May, 1999

#### - Guidelines for self-classification -

#### **Table of Contents**

#### 1. Introduction

- 2. General procedures for the determination of water hazard classes
- 3. Classification on the basis of R-phrases according to VwVwS Annex 3
  - 3.1 The basic data set
  - 3.2 Other characteristics for hazard assessment
  - 3.3 Determination of R-phrase classifications
  - 3.4 Allocation of evaluation points and default values
  - 3.5 Derivation of water hazard classes
  - 3.6 Documentation of the classification
  - 3.7 Substances non-hazardous to waters

#### 4. Special cases

- 4.1 Discordant classifications of the same substance
- 4.2 Reclassification of substances named in VwVwS Annex 2
- 4.3 Classification of groups, and classification based on analogies
- 4.4 Classification by procedures other than those in VwVwS Annex 3

#### 5. Classification of mixtures

- 5.1 Classification based on the water hazard classes of the components
- 5.2 Classification based on test data obtained with the mixture
- 5.3 Documentation and publication
- 5.4 Deviation from the classification in Annex 4 and No. 2.2.2 of VwVwS
- 6. Office of Documentation and Information on Substances Hazardous to Waters at the Federal Environmental Agency (addresses, publications, inquiries)

Annex 1: Test procedures for determination of the basic data set

Annex 2: Allocation of evaluation points for R-phrases, and of default values

- Annex 3: Documentation form for WGK classifications
- Annex 4: Examples of evaluations

#### 1. Introduction

An amended version of the Administrative Regulation on Substances Hazardous to Waters (*Verwaltungsvorschrift wassergefährdende Stoffe*; VwVwS) was published on 17 May, 1999, and entered into force as of 1 June, 1999, creating a new basis for the classification of substances into water hazard classes (*Wassergefährdungsklassen*; WGK) in Germany. In the past, substances were classified on the basis of an evaluation scheme published in 1979 and the classifications were published in VwVwS. This evaluation scheme was subsequently revised according to scientific developments and published in 1998 as a report of the Advisory Council on the Storage and Transport of Substances Hazardous to Waters (LTwS-Schrift No. 28); adequate classification of substances into water hazard classes was thus possible from a technical standpoint, but it did not conform to recent developments in the classification and evaluation of substances in the context of European Law on Chemicals.

The VwVwS Amendment is therefore primarily intended to adapt WGK classifications to the Law on Hazardous Substances. At the same time, however, it is designed to facilitate self-classification by the industry, thus increasing its independence and responsibility. The Administrative Regulation foresees a combination of defined WGK classifications (Annexes 1 and 2), and self-classifications (according to the schemes in Annexes 3 and 4).

All WGK classifications of substances are registered and published by the Federal Environmental Agency. It is therefore irrelevant with respect to legal implementation whether a classification is based upon VwVwS Annex 1, 2, or 3. All of these classifications are equivalent with regard to their implementation. Classifications of preparations and mixtures based on VwVwS Annex 4 are usually not centrally registered and published. They are the sole reponsibility of the classifier.

This guideline aims at providing an overview of the procedures for self-classification into water hazard classes. It cannot provide rules for each and every particular case. In borderline cases, the authoritative texts are the Administrative Regulation on Substances Hazardous to Waters (VwVwS) and the Ordinance on Hazardous Substances (and not this guideline).

Detailed and binding rules for classification are also given in a report of the Advisory Council on the Storage and Transport of Substances Hazardous to Waters (LTwS-Schrift No. 10 of 1999), including cases in which the VwVwS classification scheme does not apply. Classifications of this type will continue to be the responsibility of the Commission for the Evaluation of Substances Hazardous to Waters (whose guidelines are documented in LTwS-Schrift No. 10), and they will be published in VwVwS Annexes 1 and 2.

#### 2. General procedures for the determination of water hazard classes

With respect to the classification into water hazard classes (WGK), VwVwS discriminates between substances (*Stoffe*) and mixtures (*Gemische*). Before the WGK can be determined, it is therefore necessary to decide whether the compound represents a substance or a mixture (the latter term being largely equivalent to the term preparation - *Zubereitung* - of the Chemicals Act). In borderline cases, the definitions in the Chemicals Act apply. The following points may serve as a guide:

- A substance may contain impurities resulting from technical processes. These will usually not have to be evaluated separately, as long as the tests on which the evaluation is based were conducted with the same technical product.
- A reaction mixture not subject to further separation or processing may be considered a substance. The same applies to substances having a complex chemical composition resulting from their (partly) natural origin (e.g. petroleum products and fatty acid esters).
- The Chemicals Act and the Ordinance on Hazardous Substances do not apply to all substances requiring classification into a WGK. In these cases, the R-phrases merely represent a practical procedure for the purpose of WGK classification; they are of no consequence in other sectors of the law.
- If a manufacturer deliberately mixes individual constitutents (which may themselves consist of several substances), the result is a mixture.

In the classification of a substance, VwVwS discriminates between two groups:

- Substances specified in VwVwS Annexes 1 and 2, and
- all other substances (regardless of whether they are existing or new notified substances in the sense of the Chemicals Act).

**VwVwS unequivocally prescribes the priority of the classifications in Annexes 1 and 2; the water hazard classes named in these Annexes therefore apply to the corresponding substances or groups of substances** (see Section 4.2 on the possibility of reclassification). The classification scheme in Annex 3 only applies if a substance is not named in Annexes 1 and 2, in which case the water hazard class is derived from R-phrases and/or default values. This procedure is explained in Section 3 of this guideline.

The procedure for a **mixture** is analogous. First, it needs to be ascertained whether the mixture is named in Annexes 1 and 2. This will usually not be the case, however, and the manufacturer will bear the responsibility of classifying the mixture according to VwVwS Annex 4. This procedure is explained in Section 5 of this guideline.

The rules of VwVwS Annex 4 will in general not apply to mixtures of undefined composition (e.g. wastes). The Commission for the Evaluation of Substances Hazardous to Waters (KBwS) will classify these mixtures on the basis of preliminary studies of their composition and their effects.

#### 3. Classification on the basis of R-phrases according to VwVwS Annex 3

If a substance is **not** named in Annexes 1 and 2 of VwVwS<sup>2</sup>, then it needs to be classified by the industry according to the provisions in VwVwS Annex 3, and the Office of Documentation and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All classifications - including those in VwVwS - are registered at the Office of Documentation and Information on Substances Hazardous to Waters at the Federal Environmental Agency. It is therefore possible to inquire whether a substance has already been classified, either in VwVwS, or by a third party (cf. Section 6).

Information on Substances Hazardous to Waters at the Federal Environmental Agency must be informed of the classification.<sup>3</sup>

The following steps are to be taken in order to derive a water hazard class:

- I. Assessment of the basic data set
- II. Assessment of other hazardous features of the substance
- III. Determination of the R-phrase classifications
- IV. Allocation of evaluation points and default values
- V. Derivation of the water hazard class (WGK)
- VI. Documentation of the classification

These steps are explained below.

#### 3.1 The basic data set

VwVwS provides that a WGK should be assigned to a substance on the basis of at least four hazard characteristics (the so-called "basic data set"):

- Acute oral or dermal toxicity to mammals (e.g. LD<sub>50</sub> in rats).
- One piece of data on aquatic toxicity fishes (acute), daphnia (acute) or algae).
- Biodegradability.
- Potential for bioaccumulation.

Additional data are required before a substance may be classified as "non-hazardous to waters" (cf. Section 3.7).

There are two fundamental ways to establish the data of the basic data set:

a) the substance has been classified into a corresponding R-phrase in Annex 1 of Directive 67/548/EEC ("legal classification" according to the Law on Hazardous Substances),

b) corresponding studies have been conducted and are known to the classifier.

Possibility a) only provides evidence that a substance has certain hazardous characteristics (e.g. toxicity to mammals). Because the EU does not publish whether a substance has been evaluated and determined to be non-toxic, possibility b) applies in such cases. The classifier must therefore procure the corresponding report. This obviously results in a duplication of effort, but it remains unavoidable as long as the EU does not publish "negative classifications". Table 1 shows the R-phrases available for establishing the various components of the basic data set according to possibility a).

## Table 1: Establishment of basic data by R-phrase classifications in Annex 1 of Directive 67/548/EEC

Characteristic	R-phrases
Acute toxicity to mammals	21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 20/21, 20/22, 20/21/22, 21/22,
(oral or dermal)	23/24, 23/25, 23/24/25, 24/25, 26/27, 26/28, 26/27/28,
	27/28
Toxicity to an aquatic organism	50, 52, 53, 50/53, 51/53, 52/53
(fishes, algae or daphnia)	
Biodegradability	50*, 52*, 53, 50/53, 51/53, 52/53
Potential for bioaccumulation	50*, 52*, 53, 50/53, 51/53, 52/53

\* R-phrases 50 and 52 do not describe hazards concerning degradability and potential for bioaccumulation. These characteristics have, however, been tested in each case prior to "legal classification", so that an R-phrase classification into R50 or R52 permits the conclusion that no hazard exists with respect to degradability and potential for bioaccumulation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 4.1. describes the procedure in cases where several classifiers have classified the same substance into different water hazard classes.

- Example 1: A substance is "legally classified" into R22-40. In this case there is no need to determine its acute toxicity to mammals, because this characteristic has already been evaluated by the EU. The remaining three characteristics of the basic data set need to be investigated.
- Example 2: A "legal classification" into R23/25-52/53 means that all basic data have been established. The classifier therefore does not need to conduct any further investigations.

If the basic data set cannot, or can only partly be established by "legal classifications", the missing data needs to be established in a study by the classifier, or by valid data from the literature (possibility b). The recommended test procedures are given in Annex 1. It is also possible, however, to conduct investigations by other comparable standardized test procedures.

#### 3.2 Other characteristics for hazard assessment

The R-phrases listed in VwVwS Annex 3 (cf. Annex 2 of this guideline) also describe some characteristics hazardous to waters that are not part of the basic data set (e.g. R-phrases on carcinogenic and mutagenic effects).

If such R-phrases are listed in Annex 1 of EU Directive 67/548/EEC ("legal classifications") they must be taken into account in deriving the water hazard class. When this is not the case, the manufacturer or distributor is responsible for allocating an R-phrase according to § 4a of the Ordinance on Hazardous Substances if the corresponding hazards are known. After this R-phrase classification according to the Law on Hazardous Substances, evaluation points are allocated according to Annex 2.

It must be pointed out that the Ordinance on Hazardous Substances requires manufacturers and distributors to search for any specific data available on hazardous characteristics of "their" products. As opposed to the case of basic data (Section 3.1), however, there is no need to demonstrate that scientific studies on other hazard characteristics have been carried out. Default values are not assigned when such studies are lacking.

#### 3.3 Determination of R-phrase classifications

R-phrases are allocated according to the provisions of the Ordinance on Hazardous Substances. In the case of substances to which the provisions of the Chemicals Act and of the Ordinance on Hazardous Substances do not apply (e.g. pharmaceuticals), R-phrases are determined by analogous procedures. These R-phrases, however, merely represent a practical instrument to determine the water hazard class; they are of no consequence in other sectors of the law.

Tables 2 and 3 provide an overview of the characteristics of the basic data set, and of the resulting Rphrase classifications and WGK evaluation points. The Ordinance on Hazardous Substances and VwVwS remain, however, the authoritative texts in borderline cases.

With respect to R-phrase classifications, it must be kept in mind that the classification always depends on the most sensitive data item.

Exposure	<b>LD</b> <sub>50</sub> in mg/kg body weight	R-phrase	Evaluation points
oral	$LD_{50} \geq 2000$	-	0
dermal	$LD_{50} \geq 2000$	-	0
oral	$200 < LD_{50} \le 2000$	R22	1
dermal	$400 < LD_{50} \le 2000$	R21	1
oral	$25 < LD_{50} \le 200$	R25	3
dermal	$50 < LD_{50} \le 400$	R24	3
oral	$LD_{50} \leq 25$	R28	5

#### Table 2: Overview of R-phrases and evaluation points for acute toxicity to mammals

dermal	$LD_{50} \le 50$	R27	5

Example: The acute oral toxicity to rats has been determined as  $LD_{50} = 1400 \text{ mg/kg}$ . This leads to a classification into R22 and to the allocation of one evaluation point.

# Table 3: Overview of R-phrases and evaluation points for various combinations of aquatic toxicity (fishes, algae or daphnia), biodegradability and potential for bioaccumulation

		aquatic toxicity (LC <sub>50</sub> , EC <sub>50</sub> or IC <sub>50</sub> ) in mg/l (most sensitive organism)			
Biodegradability	Potential for bioaccumulation	> 100	10 - <u>≤</u> 100	1 - <u>≤</u> 10	<u>&lt;</u> 1
Readily degradable (corres	yes	0 points*	0 points*	R51/53 (6 points)	R50/53 (8 points)
ponding to OECD 301)	no	0 points*	0 points*	0 points*	R50 (6 points)
inherently (but possibly	yes	0 points	0 points	R51/53 (6 points)	R50/53 (8 points)
not readily) degradable**	no	0 points	0 points	R51/53 (6 points)	R50/53 (8 points)
not readily and/or	yes	R53 (3 points)	R52/53 (4 points)	R51/53 (6 points)	R50/53 (8 points)
not inherently degradable	no	0 points	R52/53 (4 points)	R51/53 (6 points)	R50/53 (8 points)

\* 10 d window is not taken into account in the evaluation of the test on ready biodegradability.

\*\* Substances are inherently biodegradable if they are mineralized in a test on inherent degradability to an extent of more than 60/70% (oxygen demand / DOC elimination) within 28 days. In the test according to OECD 302 B, however, the 70% mark must be attained within 7 days.

- Example 1: A substance has a toxicity to fishes of  $LC_{50} = 7$  mg/l; the degradability study shows that it is not readily degradable; there is no potential for bioaccumulation (log Pow < 3.0). This leads to a classification into R51/53, and 6 evaluation points are allocated to the substance.
- Example 2: If the substance in Example 1 is readily degradable, an R-phrase classification becomes unnecessary and no evaluation points are allocated.

If other hazard characteristics are known in addition to those mentioned above (e.g. mutagenic effects), then R-phrase classification must follow the provisions of the Ordinance on Hazardous Substances (cf. Section 3.2).

#### 3.4 Allocation of evaluation points and default values

The allocation of **evaluation points** to the R-phrases of a substance follows the procedures in VwVwS Annex 3. An overview of the evaluation points is given in Annex 2 of this guideline.

The consideration of the R-phrases and, thus, the allocation of evaluation points is based on the following principles:

• There is no double tally of R-phrases on acute oral and dermal toxicity to mammals. The more sensitive characteristic is the only relevant one.

Example 1: A substance classified into R21/22 is allocated 1 evaluation point (not 2 points).

Example 2: A substance classified into R22-24 is allocated 3 evaluation points (neither 4 nor 1 point).

- Classifications reflecting long-term or irreversible effects, and repeated exposures are taken into account in addition to the acute toxicity. Example: A substance classified into R22-40/21/22 is allocated 1 + 2 = 3 evaluation points.<sup>4</sup>
- There is no double tally of carcinogenic and mutagenic properties. The more sensitive characteristic is the only relevant one.
- There is no double tally of toxic effects on reproduction; here again, the more sensitive characteristic is the only relevant one. Example: A classification into R61-62 results in 4 evaluation points (not: 4 + 2 = 6).

If an item is missing from the basic data set, then as a precaution a high level of risk is assumed, and default values are allocated for the corresponding area. For juridical reasons, the allocation of default values is described in a rather incomprehensible manner in VwVwS, and a more intelligible procedure is given below:

In the case of gaps in the basic data set, a high level of risk is assumed for precautionary reasons, and the values given in Table 4 are assigned to the characteristic. This permits a "hypothetical" classification into R-phrases, leading to a derivation of evaluation points. The default value is the difference between the evaluation points of the "hypothetical" R-phrases and the R-phrases from the Ordinance on Hazardous Substances.

Characteristic missing from the basic data set	Precautionary value used for "hypothetical" R- phrase classification
acute toxicity to mammals (oral or dermal)	$LD_{50} = \langle 25 \text{ mg/kg body weight} \rangle$
toxicity to an aquatic organism (fishes, algae or daphnia)	$LC_{50}/EC_{50}/IC_{50} = <1 \text{ mg/l}$
biodegradability	poor degradability
potential for bioaccumulation	BCF > 100

#### Table 4: Precautionary values used to fill gaps in the basic data set

- Example 1: The acute toxicity to mammals is unknown. Therefore, a precautionary  $LD_{50}$  of <25 mg/kg is assumed according to Table 4, leading to a "hypothetical" classification into R28 and thus to a default value of 5 points.
- Example 2: The toxicity to fishes is  $LC_{50} = 8 \text{ mg/l}$ . The potential for bioaccumulation is low (log Pow = 2,0); the degradability is unknown. According to the Ordinance on Hazardous Substances, there is no need to classify the substance in this case. The "hypothetical" assumption of poor biodegradability, however, results in a classification into R51/53 and thus to a default value of 6 points.

3.5	Derivation of water hazard classes	(WGK)
-----	------------------------------------	-------

The evaluation points and default values allocated to a substance are added up to obtain the total number of points, and thus the water hazard class:

Total number of points	Water hazard class (WGK)
0 to 4	1
5 to 8	2
9 and more	3

When the total is 0 the substance may be classified as "non-hazardous to waters" if it fulfills certain other prerequisites; see Section 3.7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> When R-phrases 20 to 28 form part of an R-phrase combination that applies to long-term or irreversible effects (e.g. R48/25), this describes the pathway of exposure (in this case: oral), and does not provide an assessment of the acute toxicity. The evaluation points are obtained from Annex 2. This type of classification does not represent evidence for the completeness of the basic data set.

#### **3.6 Documentation of the classification**

The classifier is required to document the classification and send a copy for registration and publication purposes to the Office of Documentation and Information on Substances Hazardous to Waters (Dokumentations- und Auskunftsstelle wassergefährdende Stoffe im Umweltbundesamt, Schichauweg 58, 12307 Berlin; Fax +49 030-8903-4200). The documentation should adhere to the form of Annex 3. It is planned to perform the documentation electronically in the future.

The classifier is required to inform the Office of Documentation and Information without delay of any changes in the data documented.

#### 3.7 Substances non-hazardous to waters

To classify a substance as "non-hazardous to waters", it must first be evaluated according to VwVwS Annex 3 (as described above). A substance is non-hazardous to waters if its total number of points is 0 and it fulfills <u>all</u> of the following prerequisites:

- Low solubility in water (less than 100 mg/l in the case of gases and solids, less than 10 mg/l in the case of liquids),
- No toxicity at saturation levels (tested with at least two organisms fishes, daphnia or algae),
- Ready biodegradability in the case of organic liquids.

The data on toxicity and biodegradability must be documented by laboratory reports or appropriate literature citations, and they must be sent to the Office of Documentation and Information on Substances Hazardous to Waters along with the documentation form.

#### 4. Special cases

#### 4.1 Discordant classifications of the same substance

If the Office of Documentation receives two different WGK classifications for one and the same substance, it will first examine whether one of them is based on default values. If this is the case, the classification with the lower number of default points will be valid.

If the difference in classification is based on different R-phrases, the Office will inform both classifiers of the discordance and ask them to compare their data. If this leads to an agreement, the resulting WGK will be published.

If the comparison does not result in an agreement, the substance will be classified by the Commission for the Evaluation of Substances Hazardous to Waters (KBwS). If a prolonged delay in the evaluation by KBwS is to be expected, the higher WGK will be published provisionally. The classification by KBwS will be published in VwVwS Annex 2.

The data of a documented classification will be made available to third parties only if they can show a legitimate interest. This usually applies if they are themselves conducting and documenting a classification of the substance in question, or if they require the data in their capacity as operators in a water licensing procedure.

#### 4.2 Reclassification of substances named in VwVwS Annex 2

The classifications in VwVwS Annex 2 are based on the former scheme for classification of substances hazardous to waters. This procedure is documented in LTwS-Schrift No. 28. Applications to reclassify substances in Annex 2 on the basis of the new evaluation scheme may be submitted to the Commission for the Evaluation of Substances Hazardous to Waters (KBwS).

The application for reclassification must include the data on the identity of the substance along with its present R-phrase classifications.

The KBwS decides whether a substance is to be reclassified according to VwVwS Annex 3, or whether it will continue to be named and classified specifically in Annexes 1 or 2.

#### 4.3 Classification of groups, and classification based on analogies

VwVwS does not provide for a classification of groups by classifiers in the industry. If it is desired to categorize certain groups of substances having the same structural or functional characteristics, or the same mode of action as a substance group in VwVwS Annexes 1 and 2, a corresponding application must be submitted to the Commission for the Evaluation of Substances Hazardous to Waters (KBwS). The WGK of a substance group applies to each individual substance in the group.

In principle, VwVwS does not permit classifications by analogy; rather, the basic data set (cf. Section 3.1) must be created for every substance. Analogies are only permissible in the very restrictive framework applying to the registration of new notified substances according to the Chemicals Act. Classifications by analogy are restricted to special cases in which testing is superfluous according to the present level of scientific knowledge, or impossible for technical reasons.

On the other hand, assessments by analogy may be conducted by KBwS if the data indicate it to be plausible and sensible. This will generally follow the same procedures named above for the classification of groups.

#### 4.4 Classification by procedures other than those in VwVwS Annex 3

In most cases the classification scheme based on R-phrases adequately reflect the water hazard characteristics of a substance. There are a few substances, however, where classification by procedures other than those in VwVwS Annex 3 will be appropriate. This may be the case when a hazardous characteristic is not accounted for by R-phrases (e.g. high mobility in the soil with resulting hazards to the groundwater), or when certain hazardous characteristics leading to R-phrase classifications are not, or hardly applicable to aquatic pathways (e.g. limited bioavailability).

These cases are assessed by the Commission for the Evaluation of Substances Hazardous to Waters (KBwS) and published in VwVwS Annexes 1 and 2. Corresponding applications may be submitted to KBwS. The procedure is described in detail in LTwS-Schrift No. 10 of 1999.

#### 5. Classification of mixtures

VwVwS Annex 4 specifies the methods to derive the water hazard class for a mixture. The first consists of a computation of the WGK on the basis of the components WGK (as in the 1996 VwVwS). Secondly, the WGK may be derived from tests conducted with the mixture itself. Results obtained by the latter method have priority.

#### 5.1 Classification based on the water hazard classes of the components

The computation rule in Annex 4 is basically identical to the former rule in the 1996 VwVwS. It first requires the determination of the WGK of each single component by the methods that apply to substances in general. If the identity of a component is unknown or undefined, then as a precaution WGK 3 is assumed for that component.

The mass fractions of the individual components are added up by their WGK, and the WGK of the mixture is then determined according to Table 5. Components are taken into account if their fraction surpasses the following thresholds:

- 0.1% in the case of carcinogenic substances
- 0.2% in the case of all other substances
- If carcinogenic substances are *actively added* to a mixture and their fraction is less than 0.1%, the mixture is classified at least into WGK 1; the same applies when the fraction of WGK 3 additives is less than 0.2%.

# Table 5: Computation rule for the derivation of the WGK of a mixture from the WGK of its components

Ingredients	Result					
(components)	WGK 3	WGK 2	WGK 1	non-hazardous		
WGK 3	<u>&gt; 3 %</u>	0.2 to 3 %	< 0.2% in case of	< 0.2% (no additives		
			additives	permitted)		
WGK 2		≥ 5%	0.2 to 5%	< 0.2%		
WGK 1			≥ 3%	< 3%		
non-hazardous			*       	,		
R45 (carcinogenic)	$\geq 0.1\%$		< 0.1% in case of additives	< 0.1% (no additives permitted)		

- Example 1: If a mixture contains a total of 3% of WGK 2 components and no WGK 3 components, this yields WGK 1 for the mixture as a whole.
- Example 2: If a 0.05% fraction of a WGK 3 component is added to a substance non-hazardous to waters, the mixture is classified into WGK 1. If, however, the substance contains this component only as an impurity resulting from the production process, then it is "non-hazardous to waters".
- Example 3 (dilution): A mixture containing a total of 20% of WGK 2 components and 80% of WGK 1 components is classified into WGK 2. If the mixture is diluted with water at a ratio of 1:1, yielding a fraction of 10% of WGK 2 components, it is still classified into WGK 2. If, however, it is diluted at a ratio of 1:4, the total fraction of WGK 2 components is only 4%, and the diluted mixture is classified into WGK 1.

### 5.2 Classification based on test data obtained with the mixture

A novel feature of the present VwVwS, compared to the 1996 VwVwS, is that it permits the classification of mixtures on the basis of test data obtained with the mixture itself. It may become feasible in the future to apply the corresponding provisions of the Law on Hazardous Substances in such cases, but the EU Directive 1999/45/EC on classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous preparations has not yet been adopted into German legislation.

VwVwS Annex 4 Number 4 provides for the following procedure:

- The R-phrases on acute toxicity to mammals are to be determined according to the Law on Hazardous Substances (either from data obtained with the mixture, or from data on the components). There are no specific provisions in VwVwS. If test data are not available, then a default value of 5 points is assumed (as in the case of substances, cf. Section 3).
- The environmental hazard is to be assessed by toxicity tests with at least two aquatic organisms (fishes, daphnia, or algae). The results lead to an allocation of evaluation points according to Table

6.5

- R-phrases on all other hazard characteristics are classified according to the Law on Hazardous Substances (Ordinance on Preparations) and the evaluation points are allocated according to VwVwS.
- The evaluation points are added up and the WGK is derived according to the Table in Section 3.5.

### Table 6: Evaluation of test results on the aquatic toxicity of mixtures

LC <sub>50</sub> /EC <sub>50</sub> /IC <sub>50</sub> in mg/l	Evaluation points
(most sensitive value of two trophic levels)	
LC/EC/IC > 100	3
$10 < LC/EC/IC \ge 100$	4
$1 < LC/EC/IC \ge 10$	6
$LC/EC/IC \le 1$	8
tests lacking (or tests with only one species)	8

### 5.3 Documentation and publication

The classification of mixtures is the responsibility of the manufacturer or distributor. There are no provisions for centralized collection and publication of the classifications. It is recommended, however, that classifiers maintain a documentation (on the safety data sheet, if possible), to enable operators of facilities to prove their adherence to the guidelines in a water licensing procedure.

#### 5.4 Deviation from the classification in VwVwS Annex 4 and Number 2.2.2

The Administrative Regulation provides that classification of a mixture may deviate from the procedures named above. This may be necessary, for instance, if substances behave differently in the mixture than they do in the pure state. Another aspect might be that studies on degradation and bioaccumulation potential of the individual components demonstrate that a mixture exclusively contains components that are readily degradable and do not bioaccumulate.

In such cases, an application may be submitted to the Commission for the Evaluation of Substances Hazardous to Waters (KBwS), which may then classify the mixture differently, if appropriate, and subsequently propose its publication in VwVwS Annex 1 or 2 to the Federal Ministry of the Environment. Deviating classifications may only be conducted by KBwS; VwVwS does not provide for classifications based on expert opinions and the like.

#### 6. Office of Documentation and Information on Substances Hazardous to Waters at the Federal Environmental Agency

Documented WGK classifications are registered and published by the Office of Documentation and Information on Substances Hazardous to Waters at the Federal Environmental Agency. Publications by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Table 6 is based on the assumption that the usual tests on degradability and bioaccumulation will not always yield adequate results in the case of mixtures. Example: A mixture may have 65% degradability, even though it contains a 10% fraction of a persistent component; based on the precautionary principle, it is therefore assumed that the mixture is not readily degraded and/or that it may bioaccumulate.

the Office include the substances classified by VwVwS Annexes 1 and 2. Thus, all classifications are available in single list.

Classifications are published by the following methods:

- Internet (Address: www.umweltbundesamt.de/wgk.htm); updated monthly.
- CD-ROM (first publication: end of 1999); updated twice a year.
- In print (first publication: end of 1999); updated once a year.

In addition, WGK classifications can be obtained by calling the phone number

+49-030-8903-4168 (Fax: -4200).

### Addresses:

Umweltbundesamt Dokumentations- und Auskunftsstelle wassergefährdende Stoffe Schichauweg 58 12307 Berlin

Email: wgk@uba.de

Applications for reclassification and for deviating classifications may be submitted to the KBwS Secretariat

Geschäftsstelle der Kommission Bewertung wassergefährdender Stoffe (KBwS) im Umweltbundesamt Schichauweg 58 12307 Berlin

### Annex 1: Test procedures for the determination of the basic data set

	Test protocol							
Characteristic	EU	OECD	CEN	DIN EN ISO	DIN	DEV		
Acute oral or dermal					420 oder 423) or EU			
toxicity	for reasons of animal protection, results of similar studies are acceptable if the data have been							
to mammals	published in the sc	ientific lite	erature.					
Toxicity to aquatic								
organisms:								
Fishes (acute)	92/69/EEC C.1	203		7346	(38412 L15)**	L15		
Algae	92/69/EEC C.3	201	28692	8692		L9		
Daphnia (acute)	92/69/EEC C.2	202,		6341	38412 L11	L40		
		Part I						
Inherent bio-	88/302/EEC	302 B	29888	9888	(38412 L25)**	L25		
degradability	Teil C	302 C						
Readily bio-	92/69/EEC C.4-	301 A		7827		L29		
degradable	А							
	92/69/EEC C.4- C	301 B	29439	9439		L23		
	92/69/EEC C.4-F	301 C						
	92/69/EEC C.4-E	301 D		10707 (draft) 10708				
	92/69/EEC C.4- B	301 E						
	92/69/EEC C.4-	301 F	29408	9408 (draft)		L22		
	D			14593 (draft)				
Bioaccumulation								
behavior,*								
log Pow		107						
-		117						
Bioaccumulation in fishes		305						

\* It is permissible to assess the bioacumulation behavior by computing a log octanol/water distribution coefficient (log Pow) (according to Chapter 4 of the Technical Documents in Support of the Commission Directive 93/67/EEC on Risk Assessment of New Notified Substances, and of Commission Regulation 1488/94 on Risk Assessment of Existing Substances, Ispra 1996).

\*\* Older results obtained with tests corresponding to this norm may be used as well.

# Annex 2: Allocation of evaluation points for R-phrases, and of default values

Number of points	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Ecotoxicity and					1				1   
degradation/bio-					1 1 1				1 1 1
accumulation				52/53		51/53		50/53	
undetermined				母 3)	, , ,	魯 2)		<b>\$</b> 1)	, , ,
Ecotoxicity			52		¦	50			, 
undetermined					   	<b>\$</b> 4)			1 1 1
Degradation/bio-									
accumulation			53		1 1 1				1 1 1
undetermined			參 5)		1 <del>1</del>				 
Acute oral and/or	22		<u></u> 25		28				
dermal toxicity to	65		24		27				1 1 1
	21		23/25		26/28				1
mammals	20/22		24/25		27/28				1 1 1
	21/22		23/24/25		26/27/28				I I
	20/21/22		23/24		26/27				1 1 1
	20/21								
undetermined					\$				1
Carcinogenic and/or		40			1 1 1				45
mutagenic effects					1 1				46
Irreversible effects		40/21		39/24	;	39/27			;
		40/22		39/25	1	39/28			1 1 1
		40/20/21		39/23/24	1	39/26/27			1
		40/20/22		39/23/25	1 1	39/26/28			1 1 1
		40/21/22		39/24/25		39/27/28			1
		40/20/21/22		39/23/24/25		39/26/27/28			1 1
Repeated		33		48/24					; ,
exposure		48/21		48/25	1				1
_		48/22		48/23/24	, , ,				 
		48/20/21		48/23/25	1				1
		48/20/22		48/24/25	1 1				1 1 1
		48/21/22		48/23/24/25	1				1
		48/20/21/22			1 1 1				1 1 1
Toxic effects on		62		60	· ! !				 ! !
reproduction		63		61	1 1				1 1 1
Harmful reaction		29			; '				;
with water		15/29			1				1 1

Sumber of points in cases where one or several of the characteristics "ecotoxicity", "degradation/bioaccumulation" and "acute toxicity" are not determined, or unknown (see footnotes)

Note: Not all evaluation points are allocated additively (cf. VwVwS Annex 3).

Footnote 1): This value is allocated when

- Ecotoxicity, and degradation and/or bioaccumulation are unknown, or
- Ecotoxicity is unknown and ready degradation has not been demonstrated, or
- Ecotoxicity is unknown and bioaccumulation potential exists, or
- The substance is classified into R 50, and degradation and/or bioaccumulation are unknown

- Footnote 3) : This value is allocated when ecotoxicity is greater than 10 and smaller than or equal to 100 mg/l, and degradation is unknown.
- Footnote 4) : This value is allocated when ecotoxicity is unknown, and ready degradation has been demonstrated and no potential for bioaccumulation exists.
- Footnote 5) : This value is allocated when
  - Degradation and bioaccumulation are unknown, and ecotoxicity is greater than 100 mg/l, or
  - Degradation is unknown and bioaccumulation potential exists, and ecotoxicity is greater than 100 mg/l, or
  - Bioaccumulation unknown and ready degradation or inherent degradation have not been demonstrated, and ecotoxicity is greater than 100 mg/l.

Note: Bioaccumulation potential exists when log Pow  $\geq$  3.0, unless BCF  $\leq$  100.

Footnote 2) : This value is allocated when ecotoxicity is greater than 1 and smaller than or equal to 10 mg/l, and degradation and/or bioaccumulation are unknown.

#### Annex 3 Documentation form for WGK classifications

### Documentation of WGK classification according to Annex 3 of the Administrative Regulation on Substances Hazardous to Waters (VwVwS) of 17 May, 1999

Applicant data (simultaneously used as return address):

	Company
	Contact person
	Department
	Street / P.O. Box
	Postal code and city
fannan an a	, ,
	e-mail address

Data on the substance

Unequivocal chemical name of the substance	
Synonymous name (optional) CAS-No. <sup>6</sup>	EC-No.
R-phrases according to Annex 1 RL 67/548/EEC	
R-phrase self-classification according to § 4a (3) of the Ordinance on Hazardous Substances	
R-phrase evaluation points according to VwVwS Default value for	
toxicity to mammals Default value for	
environmental hazard Total number of points	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This information is only required if a CAS-number has been allocated. The commercial name and the EC-number are sufficient in the case of new notified substances according to the Law on Chemicals if characteristics of the substance are confidential.

		_		Laboratory
State				report
(solid, liquid, gas)				included?
Solubility in water		mg/l		
Aquatic toxicity	Species	LC <sub>x</sub> /EC <sub>x</sub> /IC <sub>x</sub>	Value in mg/l	
Species 1				
Species 2				
Biodegradability	Test	Degree of degradation after 28 d in %	10 d window attained?	
(only in the case of organic liquids)				

Additional data only for "substances non-hazardous to waters":

The applicant must inform the Federal Environmental Agency, Office of Documentation and Information on Substances Hazardous to Waters (Dokumentations- und Auskunftsstelle wassergefährdende Stoffe im Umweltbundesamt, Schichauweg 58, 12307 Berlin) of any new information leading to a change in WGK.

Comments of the
applicant

Applicants should inform the Office of Documentation and Information on Substances Hazardous to Waters at the Federal Environmental Agency of any characteristics that may affect the hazard to waters and are not represented by R-phrase classifications known to them (e.g. characteristics related to mobility in the soil).

Data	Signature of the applicant, stamp
Date	Signature of the appreant, stamp

The above classification has been registered by the Federal Environmental Agency, Office of Documentation and Information on Substances Hazardous to Waters.

Data	Registration	Deference	Sterrer Sizesture
Date	No.	Reference	Stamp, Signature

#### **Annex 4: Examples of evaluations**

#### Example 1 - A substance that is already classified

#### Situation

The water hazard class of 2-chloroaniline is to be determined.

#### Procedure

2-chloroaniline is classified in VwVwS Annex 2 (Registration No. 694, WGK 2). This water hazard class is valid and no further action is needed.

#### Example 2 - A substance that is well-researched and relatively non-hazardous

#### Situation

The following data are known:

• Acute oral toxicity to mammals, determined in rats: LD<sub>50</sub> >2000 mg/kg body weight

- Toxicity to fishes:  $LC_{50} > 100 \text{ mg/l}$
- Toxicity to algae:  $IC_{50} = 580 \text{ mg/l}$
- Toxicity to daphnia:  $EC_{50} = >1000 \text{ mg/l}$
- Degradability: readily biodegradable according to OECD 301 D
- $\log Pow = 2.5$
- State: solid
- Solubility in water = 1150 mg/l

#### Procedure

According to Hazardous Substances Law, an R-phrase classification of this substance is unnecessary. The basic data set is available. There are no default values to be allocated and the total number of points is 0. Because the substance has a solubility in water greater than 100 mg/l, however, it can not be classified as "non-hazardous to waters" (VwVwS No. 2.2.2). Therefore the water hazard class is WGK 1.

#### Example 3 - A substance that is well-researched and relatively hazardous

#### Situation

The following data are known:

- Acute oral toxicity to mammals, determined in rats:  $LD_{50} = 150 \text{ mg/kg}$  body weight
- Toxicity to fishes:  $LC_{50} = 10 \text{ mg/l}$
- Toxicity to algae:  $IC_{50} = 5 \text{ mg/l}$
- Toxicity to daphnia:  $EC_{50} = 70 \text{ mg/l}$
- Degradability: not readily biodegradable according to OECD 301 E, but inherently degradable according to OECD 302 B
- $\log Pow = 2.5$

#### Procedure

Because of its toxicity to mammals, the substance is classified into R25 (range of toxicity:  $25 < LD_{50} \le 200 \text{ mg/kg}$ ).

The assessment of environmental hazard depends on the most sensitive organism (in this case: algae). The toxicity to algae is situated in the range between 1 and 10 mg/l, and the substance is not readily biodegradable. Therefore, it is classified into R51/53. Comments: The inherent degradability of the substance does not result in a change in classification; if it were readily biodegradable, an R-phrase classification due to environmental hazard would be unnecessary.

All of the data of the basic data set are available; therefore no default values are allocated.

The total number of points according to VwVwS Annex 3 No. 1 is 3 (due to R25) plus 6 (due to R51/53), equal to 9 points. Therefore the water hazard class is WGK 3.

#### Example 4 - A substance that is not well-researched (I)

#### Situation

The following data are known:

- Acute oral toxicity to mammals, determined in rats: LD<sub>50</sub> >2000 mg/kg body weight
- State: solid
- Solubility in water = 50 mg/l

#### Procedure

The data do not necessitate a classification into R-phrases; therefore, this does not result in any evaluation points.

The basic data set is incomplete, however, because there are no known experimental data on the environmental hazard. Therefore, VwVwS Annex 3 No. 2 requires the allocation of a default value with the highest possible number of points for environmental hazard (8 points, corresponding to R50/53).

Thus, the total number of points is 8 and the water hazard class is WGK 2.

#### Example 5 - A substance that is not well-researched (II)

#### Situation

The following data are known:

- Acute oral toxicity to mammals, determined in rats:  $LD_{50} > 500 \text{ mg/kg body weight}$
- Toxicity to fishes:  $LC_{50} = 50 \text{ mg/l}$

#### Procedure

Because of its toxicity to mammals, the substance is classified into R22 (range of toxicity: 200 to 2000 mg/kg).

The data on toxicity to fishes do not necessitate an R-phrase classification. The need to allocate default values according to VwVwS Annex 3 No. 2 must be examined, however, because the basic data set is incomplete (lack of data on biodegradability and on bioaccumulation potential). The lack of data implies that the substance might not be readily biodegradable, in which case it would have to be classified into R52/53. Therefore, the default value is 4 (corresponding to R52/53). On the other hand, the bioaccumulation potential is irrelevant with respect to an allocation of default values, because it would not require R-phrase classification in any case.

Thus the total number of points is 1 (due to R22) plus 4 (default value), equal to 5, and the water hazard class is WGK 2.

#### Example 6 - Classification of a mixture based on data on its components

#### Situation

All components of a mixture, as well as their water hazard classes, are known. The total sums of the fractions by water hazard class are as follows:

- Substances non-hazardous to waters: 9.9%
- WGK 1: 89%
- WGK 2: 1%
- WGK 3: 0.1 %
- Carcinogenic substances: none

#### Procedure

The hazard is determined in this case by the fractions of WGK 1, as well as WGK 2 substances. According to VwVwS Annex 4 No. 3.2, mixtures consisting of 0.2 to <5% WGK 2 substances, and mixtures with 3% or more WGK 1 substances are classified into WGK 1. At the same time, the fraction of WGK 3 substance is lower than the threshold required for consideration according to VwVwS Annex 4 No. 1.

The water hazard class of the mixture is WGK 1.

#### Example 7- Classification based on test data obtained with the mixture

#### Situation

The components of a mixture are only partially known, but tests with the mixture itself have given the following results:

- Acute oral toxicity to mammals, determined in rats: LD<sub>50</sub> >2000 mg/kg body weight
- Toxicity to fishes:  $LC_{50} = 15 \text{ mg/l}$
- Toxicity to daphnia:  $EC_{50} = 7 \text{ mg/l}$

#### Procedure

The acute toxicity to mammals does not necessitate a classification into R-phrases; therefore, it does not result in any evaluation points.

According to VwVwS Annex 4 No. 4.3, the allocation of evaluation points for environmental hazard depends on the most sensitive organism (in this case: daphnia). As the toxicity to daphnia is in the range between 1 and 10 mg/l, 6 points are allocated according to VwVwS Annex 4 No. 4.3.

The total number of points is 6 and the resulting water hazard class of the mixture is WGK 2.

Annex 4

# Alarm criteria

# ANNEX 4 – Alarm Criteria

# Assessment

# of water pollution resulting from accidents in the framework of the "International Warning and Alert Plan for the Danube

With the help of the chart below the substances classified according to water risk classes (WRC<sup>7</sup>) and/or R-phrases<sup>8</sup> can be linked to certain alert thresholds. If these values are exceeded in the event of an accidental release of substances into waters, an "Information" or a "Warning" is set off according to the alert scheme of the "International Warning and Alert Plan for the Danube".

The alert thresholds mentioned (daily loads resulting from accidents), as well as the open scaling according to water pollution indexes (WRI)<sup>9</sup>, are mere **frameworks of orientation** to facilitate the decision within the system of the "International Warning and Alert Plan of the Danube"

In the case the flow rate exceeds 1000 m<sup>3</sup> per sec. the Alert-thresholds are multiplied by the factor 10.

s	ubstance classifications	Alert thresholds				
WRC	R - phrases	INFORMATION [ kg ] or [ l ]		NING or [1]		
"0"	- 22	≥ 10,000	≥ 100,000	$\geq 10^{n+3}$		
1	- 25, 52/53, 52 or 53	≥ 1,000	≥ 10,000	$\geq 10^{n+2}$		
2	- 50, 51/53, 28 or 45 - (52/53, 52 or 53) <u>and</u> (22 or 25)	≥ 100	≥ 1,000	≥ 10 <sup>n+1</sup>		
3	- 50/53 - (50, 51/53, 52/53, 52 or 53) <u>and</u> (45 or 28) - 45 <u>and</u> 28	≥ 10	≥ 100	≥ 10 <sup>n</sup>		
Wa	ater Risk Index (WRI) <sup>3</sup>	≥ 1	≥2	≥n		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Katalog wassergefährdender Stoffe, LTwS Nr. 12, Umweltbundesamt 1991; http://www.umweltbundesamt.de/wgs/wgs-index.htm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Directive 67/548/EEC ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The Water Risk Index is intended to scale water pollution events.

# Substance mixtures (oils, quench water and slurry)

From past accidents we know that the substances most frequently released by accidents in the Danube catchment area are heterogenious groups of substances and mixtures as, for instance, mineral oils, slurry and quench water.

To obtain alert thresholds the risk emanating from each substance mixture can be characterised by classifying the corresponding indicator substances.

For non-specified oils, quench water and slurry, the following alert thresholds were determined:

Released substance mixture	INFORMATION [ kg ] or [ l ]		RNING ]or [1]
- Oils (non-specified)	≥ 100	≥ 1,000	$\geq 10^{n+1}$
- Quench water	≥ 1,000	≥ 10,000	$\geq 10^{n+2}$
- Slurry	≥ 1,000	≥ 10,000	$\geq 10^{n+2}$
Water Risk index (WRI)	≥ 1	≥2	≥n

Substances and substance mixtures, for which no classification of the risk they pose to water can be determined, should be treated as WRC 3 substances for reasons of precaution.

# **Explanation of R-phrases**

- R 22 Harmful if swallowed
- R 25 Toxic if swallowed
- R 28 Very toxic if swallowed
- R 45 May cause cancer
- R 50 Very toxic to aquatic organisms
- R 52 Harmful to aquatic organisms
- R 53 May cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment
- R 50/53 Very toxic to aquatic organisms, may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment
- R 51/53 Toxic to aquatic organisms, may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment
- R 52/53 Harmful to aquatic organisms, may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment

# Annex 5

# **Information-Sources**

Country	Source of information
Germany	Bavarian State Office for Water Management County District Offices and the Non-District Municipalities
Austria	
Czech Rep.	Czech Inspection of Environment, evaluated by experts from Ministry of Environment (water protection department).
Slovakia	Water Protection Inspectorates of the Slovak Inspectorate of the Environment
Hungary	Ministry for Environment, Department for Environmental Safety and Health
Slovenia	Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning
Croatia	Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning "Hrvatske vode" Zagreb (Croatian Waters Zagreb - Water management company) INA Industrija nafte d.d. Zagreb (INA Oil Industry Join-stock company)
Romania	Romanian Water Authority (C.N. "Apele Romane")
Bulgaria	Bulgarian Ministry of Environment and Water. database of the State Agency for Civil Protection
Moldova	The Ministry of Ecology, Construction and Territorial Development, State Ecological Inspectorate
Ukraine	

Annex 6

**Difficulties - ARS** 

# ANNEX 6 - Difficulties occurred during the development of the national ARS inventories

As was already pointed at the first ARS ad-hoc EG Meeting some inconsistencies between the content and presentation of the national ARS Inventories have been identified. More than this not all ICPDR contracting parties presented the above mentioned inventories, despite of certain delays in this respect. As a consequence it was considered that in the process of the ARS-inventory preparation some difficulties could exist, particularly for the countries with the economy in transition. A request in this matter was sent on 19<sup>th</sup> June to each riparian country in order to identify these difficulties. Based on the answers received up till 18<sup>th</sup> July the following information could be derived:

#### 1. General considerations

The ECE Convention on transboundary industrial hazards (Helsinki 1992) still represents one of the task for some countries in the process of accession to the EU, particularly the ratification of this. This represents one of the explanation that the methodological instruments and procedures are in the preparatory phase for these countries, despite of already existing experience in the transboundary water quality monitoring and AEWS operation. More than this the transposition of the Seveso II Directive is still under the way for these countries. As a first consequence the Elbe methodology for some of the accession countries is still not familiar. There also are the other difficulties generated, particularly by the following factors:

- 1.1. The ECE 76/464 Directive and the daughter Directives regarding the dangerous chemicals for the water is in the process to be absorbed by the European Water Framework Directive. However each accession country has to considered this, despite of the fact that some of its provisions are overpass.
- 1.2. There are the other lists concerning the dangerous chemicals for the waters (Helsinki Convention regarding the transboundary rivers and international lakes, Convention of the Danube River Protection, EWFD/EU priority lists a.s.o.) which are based on different approaches and not fully congruent.
- 1.3. The ECE Convention on transboundary industrial hazards and particularly the Seveso II Directive are not referring to the water endangered cases only.

As an overall result the approaches in the Inventory preparation are still different:

- (i) starting from the point and diffuse discharges inventories of waste waters in the surface waters: based on the best expert judgement and taking also in the view the landfill relevant sites; this represents usual way of the ARS-Inventories draw-up system for the most countries with the economy in the transition process.
- (ii) using the Rhine/Elbe methodology (EU member).

The Baia Mare Task Force represented from this point of view the first step in the overcoming these general difficulties being realized the first inventory (ARS) at the level of Tisza River Basin (Ukraine, Romania, Hungary).

In this respect the present ARS-Inventory could be consider the second step in the process of the harmonization of the approaches first of all, despite of some inconstancies which may still exist.

#### 2. Problems encored in the preparation of the ARS Inventories

Based upon the answers received the following remarks could be stated:

## 2.1. General overview

No basic or major difficulties have been recorded during the ARS Inventories preparation.

#### 2.2. Particular difficulties

Some of particular difficulties, specific for certain countries have been identified during the ARS Inventories carrying-out process, the most relevant being as follows:

- 2.2.1. Database availability for the chemicals toxic properties assessment. In this regard not all the riparian countries are provided with this requirements (BIG database or similar see Croatia response).
- 2.2.2. Luck of a basic methodology or system for the ARS ranking.
- 2.2.3. Organizational problems particularly for the countries where the infrastructure of the National Authority is changing.
- 2.2.4. Data/ information flux from the territory to the Central Authority; this is almost related with the above (2.2.2.) mentioned remark.
- 2.2.5. The implementation/ access to the GIS especially the software.
- 2.2.6. Luck of the proper information in some circumstances related with the deactivation of old installations.
- 2.2.7. Flood events consequences and landfills sites control (in the view of risk assessment and management).
- 2.2.8. Proper training of the Local Authority responsible persons in the data/ information content issues for the inventories drawing up process.

#### 3. Draft conclusions and recommendations

- There are not major difficulties for the ARS Inventories generation. From country to country, particularly for those with the economy in the transition some problems have been identified, the most frequent being related with: (i) database provisions in order to assess the toxic properties of the chemicals (R phrases system and water risk class); (ii) methodological issues for the ranking system. The both difficulties might be overcome using the Ad-hoc EG result dissemination.
- The other difficulties occurred by some countries in the ARS Inventory preparation process need to be solved by own efforts.
- As an overview it might be of interest to be periodically organized a suitable and flexible training system in this matter one of the alternative/ advisable solution being using the already existing ICPDR informative system (DANUBIS).

Attached is presented a summary of the answers received from the ICPDR – AEPWS – EG members till 18<sup>th</sup> July.

Tab. 1 Summary of the answers concerning the difficulties occurred in the national ARS
Inventory preparation

No.	Country	Remarks – problems encountered	Data of receiving
0	1	2	3
1.	CROATIA	Missing information on toxic properties of chemicals. BIG database is not available for Croatia. There is UBA web site access.	19.06
2.	SLOVENIA	<ol> <li>Inner staff organization caused by Ministry reorganization (New Agency of Environment).</li> <li>The classification of dangerous substances was done in</li> </ol>	29.06
		accordance with WGK provisions (toxic properties). It was adopted the solution of landfill sites inventory for dangerous substances.	
		(How to extract those risk spots which might have a directed impact in the water system – usually based on the expert analyses.)	
3.	MOLDOVA	<ul> <li>no relevant difficulties to collect the information for ARS inventory. Name of enterprise, its location and amount of substances stored are collected and presented in the Annual Report of the State Ecological Inspectorate.</li> </ul>	22.06
		- The ownership needs to be found specifically.	
4.	AUSTRIA	No relevant difficulties. However should be considered the time constrains in extracting the relevant water-related data out of the whole data-information on dangerous substances in order to synthesize the Inventory on installations with water endangering substances exceeding the relevant threshold quantities in the Danubian part of territory.	*
		Note: this was presented early may.	
5.	HUNGARY	- no basic difficulties have been met during the preparation of the Danube ARS Inventory.	12.07
		- The GIS-based national inventory of dangerous dischargers and potential polluters is under preparation in the Ministry of Environment and items of the ARS inventory were taken from this wide database.	
		- Because the Elbe methodology was strictly followed, several big potential ARS spots are missing from the inventory provided, because their locations are out of considered area.	
		- Some practical problems with the Excel sheets were faced only.	
6.	ROMANIA	- There are not basic difficulties for the ARS Inventory development.	
		- However some problems have occurred in the selection of the Accident Risk spots from the general Inventory of the point and diffuse sources of water pollution. This is most related with the luck of an uniform methodology in this respect.	
		- Specific problems have been identified with the risk related to the old industrial installations deactivation and with the accidents caused by floody conditions and the landfills disposal sites of industrial wastes.	

				Al	RS Germany					
lfd	Name des Betriebs/	Standort/	Betriebs- zweck/	vorhandene	Masse	W	Bezugsmenge (kg/l)	WGK-3 Äquivalente	Summe	WRI
Nr ·	Betreiber	Landkreis	Anlagenart	wasser-gefährdende Stoffe	in Tonnen	G K				
1	Zweckverband	Schwandorf, Lkr.	Müllver-brennung,	Heizöl EL	1500	2	1,500,000	150,000	150,000	5.2
	Müllverwertung	Schwandorf	Lageranlage	Heizoi EL	1500	2	1,500,000	150,000	150,000	5.2
2	Bayernoil	Stadt Ingolstadt	Raffinerie, Tanklager	Zwischen- und Fertigprodukte	600,000	3	600,000,000	600,000,000	642,000,000	8.8
					400,000	2	400,000,000	40,000,000		
					200,000	1	200,000,000	2,000,000		
3	Audi AG	Stadt Ingolstadt	Automobil-werk Lageranlagen	Altemulsion	450	3	450,000	450,000	700,000	5.8
				Heizöl	2500	2	2,500,000	250,000		8.5
4	Deutsche Transalpine Ölleitung	Lenting, Lkr. Eichstätt	Tanklager	Rohöl	300,000	3	300,000,000	300,000,000	300,000,000	
5	e-on Kraftwerk	Großmehring Lkr. Eichstätt	Tanklager	Heizöl S	340,000	1	340,000,000	3,400,000	4,900,000	6.7
				Heizöl EL	15,000	2	15,000,000	1,500,000		8.8
6	ESSO	Kösching, Lkr. Eichstätt	Raffinerie, Tanklager	Heizöl EL	95,000	2	95,000	9,500	700,429,500	
				Rohöl	700,000	3	700,000,000	700,000,000		
				Heizöl S	42,000	1	42,000,000	420,000		
7	Zentralklinikum Augsburg	Stadt Augsburg	Heizzentrale Lageranlage	Heizöl EL	2,000	2	2,000,000	200,000	200,000	5.3
8	Fa. Sailer Umwelttechnik	Stadt Augsburg	Lageranlage	Altöl und Heizöl	3,300	3 2	3,300,000	3,300,000	3,300,000	6.5
9	Fa. Haindl	Stadt Augsburg	Papierfabrik LAU- und HBV	Bindemittel, Laugen, Entschäumer, Heizöl,	3,000 6,000	1	3,000,000 6,000,000	30,000 600,000	630,000	5.8
10	Fa. Präg GmbH & Co. KG	Stadt Augsburg	Tanklager	Heizöl EL, DK, VK	18,000		18,000,000	1,800,000	1,800,000	6.3
11	Fa. MAN B&W Diesel AG	Stadt Augsburg	LAU- und HBV	Heizöl EL, DK, VK, Altöl, Motorenöl,	1,000		1,000,000	100,000	100,000	5.0

			AR	S Germany					
12 Gesellschaft zur	Stadt Augsburg	Lagern und	Abfälle, Altöl,	300	1	300,000	30,000	30,000	4.5
Entsorgung von		Behandeln von	Deponiesicker-wasser,		2				
Sondermüll in		Sondermüll	Trenn-mittel, Säure,		3				
13 Lech-	Gersthofen	Lageranlage	Heizöl	10,000	2	10,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	6.0
Elektrizitäts-werk	Lkr. Augsburg								
14 Clariant GmbH	Gersthofen	Lageranlagen	diverse	1,900	2	1,900,000	190,000	330,000	5.5
	Lkr. Augsburg	HBV-Anlage							
			diverse	140	3	140,000	140,000		
15 Kosa GmbH &	Gersthofen	Lageranlage	Heizöl	1,200	2	1,200,000	120,000	720,000	5.9
Co. KG	Lkr. Augsburg	Lageranlage							
			Xylol	6,000	2	6,000,000	600,000		
16 Schmid Andreas	Gersthofen	Lageranlage	brennbare Flüssigkeiten	960	3	960,000	960,000	960,000	6.0
	Lkr. Augsburg								
17 Gba	Langweid	Lageranlage	diverse	13,000	2	13,000,000	1,300,000	1,850,000	6.3
Spezialitätenchem	Lkr. Augsburg			550	3	550,000	550,000		
18 SGL Carbon AG	Meitingen	Lageranlage	Heizöl	2000	2	2,000,000	200,000	200,000	5.3
	Lkr. Augsburg								
19 Fa. Sailer	Neusäß	Lageranlage	Heizöl	2000	2	2,000,000	200,000	200,000	5.3
Mineralöl	Lkr. Augsburg								
20 Bayernoil	Vohburg	Tanklager	Rohöl	300,000	2	300,000,000	30,000,000	184,750,000	8.3
	Lkr. Pfaffenhofen								
		Zwischen- und	Mineralöl-produkte	300,000	2	300,000,000	30,000,000	154,750,000	8.2
		Fertigpro-duktelage	1						
		r							
				120,000	3	120,000,000	120,000,000		
				100,000	1	100,000,000	1,000,000		
		Tanklager	Additive	1,000	2	1,000,000	100,000		
		Sonstige (Slops,	Diverse (z.B. LCB)	3,500	3	3,500,000	3,500,000		
		div. Chemik.)							
		HBV	Rohöle und	1,500	2	1,500,000	150,000		
			Zwischenprodukte		3				
21 TAL	Vohburg	Entlastungs-tank	Rohöl	12,000	3	12,000,000	12,000,000	12,000,000	7.1
	Lkr. Pfaffenhofen	Pipeline							
22 MERO	Vohburg	Tanklager	Rohöl	180,000	2	180,000,000	18,000,000	18,000,000	7.3
	Lkr. Pfaffenhofen	_							

22		T 1'	T 11				1 50 000 000		15,000,000	7.2
23	e-on	Irsching Lkr. Pfaffenhofen	Tanklager Heizkraftwerk	Heizöl	150,000	2	150,000,000	0 15,000,000	15,000,000	7.2
24	Ruhr Oel	Münchsmünster Lkr. Pfaffenhofen	Tanklager	Mineralöl-produkte	20,000	3	20,000,000	0 20,000,000	20,000,000	7.3
25	SKW	Münchsmünster Lkr. Pfaffenhofen	Tanklager	АСН	1,300	3	1,300,000	0 1,300,000	1,600,000	6.2
			Lageranlagen	brennbare Flüssigkeiten u.a.	2,000	2	2,000,000	0 200,000		
			Diverse Lageranlagen	NaOH, HCl, Essigsäure, usw.	10,000	1	10,000,000	0 100,000		
26	GSB	Ebenhausen- Werk Lkr. Pfaffenhofen	Tanklager 1,2,3	verunreinigte Lösemittel	1,400	3	1,400,000	0 1,400,000	4,750,000	6.7
			Fasslager und - behandlung	Sondermüll	900	3	900,000	0 900,000		
			Bunker	Sondermüll, Feststoffe und Schlämme	2,000	3	2,000,000	0 2,000,000		
			Abstell-flächen für Mulden und Wechsel-brücken		450		450,000	0 450,000		
27	Sifokan	Ebenhausen- Lkr. Pfaffenhofen	Lageranlagen	div. feste und flüssige Stoffe	2,500	3	2,500,000	0 2,500,000	2,500,000	6.4
28	Industrieverwaltu ngs-gesellschaft IVG Logistik GmbH	Oberhausen Lkr. Neuburg- Schroben-hausen	Tanklager NATO-Flugplatz	F 34 und F 35	ca. 65.000	2	ca. 65000000	6,500,000	16,500,000	7.2
			betriebseig. Lageranlage	Reststoffe	ca. 10.000	3	ca. 10000000	10,000,000		
29	FBG Weichering	Weichering Lkr. Neuburg- Schroben-hausen	Pipeline Tanklager	F 34 und F 54	ca. 30.000	2	ca. 30000000	3,000,000	3,000,000	6.5
	DTL Donautanklager-g	Deggendorf Lkr. Deggendorf	Tanklager	Gasöl (Diesel)	ca. 8.500	2	ca. 8500000	850,000	850,000	5.9
31	BayWa AG	Plattling Lkr. Deggendorf	Lageranlage	Pflanzenschutz-mittel	ca. 200	3	ca. 200000	200,000	200,000	5.3
	Wieland-Werke AG	Vöhringen Lkr. Neu-Ulm	Halbzeug-herstellu ng	Tetrachlorethen	130	3	130,000	0 130,000	130,000	5.1

33 Bayernwerk	Vilshofen Lkr. Passau	Kraftwerk	Heizöl EL	5,500	2	5,500,000	550,000	550,000	5.7
34 BMW AG	Stadt Regensburg	Automobil-werk Lageranlagen	Lackierabfälle	150	3	150,000	150,000	250,000	5.4
			Heizöl	1000	2	1,000,000	100,000		
35 Nibelungenkasern e Standortverwaltun		Lageranlage	Heizöl	1600	2	1,600,000	160,000	160,000	5.2
36 Südzucker AG	Stadt Regensburg	Lageranlage	Heizöl S	22,000	1	22,000,000	220,000	220,000	5.3
37 VTG Lenkering AG	Stadt Regensburg	Lageranlage	Diesel, Heizöl EL	39,000	2	39,000,000	3,900,000	21,900,000	7.3
		Lageranlage	Benzin	18,000	3	18,000,000	18,000,000		
38 Bundeswehrkaser ne Standortverwaltun g Bogen		Lageranlage	Heizöl EL	2,000	2	2,000,000	200,000	200,000	5.3
39 Bayernoil	Neustadt Lkr. Kelheim	Raffinerie Tanklager	Rohöle und Mineralöl-produkte	50,000 500,000 110,000	2	50,000,000 500,000,000 110,000,000	500,000 50,000,000 110,000,000	160,500,000	8.2
40 Acordis	Kelheim Lkr. Kelheim	Faser-herstellung	ACN	2,000	3	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,185,000	6.3
			div. Chemikalien	1,850	2	1,850,000	185,000		
41 ESSO AG	Stadt Passau	Tanklager	Heizöl Dieselöl	5,000	2	5,000,000	500,000	500,000	5.7
42 Zahnradfabrik Passau	Stadt Passau	Lageranlage	Emulsion Altemulsion	900	3	900,000	900,000	900,000	6.0
43 Bucher	Waldstetten Lkr. Günzburg	Chem. Fabrik	div. Chemikalien	1,000		1,000,000 150,000	100,000 150,000	250,000	5.4
44 Standortverwaltun g	Leipheim Lkr. Günzburg	Lageranlage	div. Chemikalien Mineralöl-produkte	1,700 1,560		1,700,000 1,560,000	170,000 1,560,000	1,730,000	6.2

45 Geiss	Offingen Lkr. Günzburg	Chem. Fabrik	div. Chemikalien	389	3	389,000	389,000	389,000	5.6
46 Fernwärme Ulm GmbH	Stadt Ulm	Heizwerk Einsteinstr. 20	Heizöl	1905	2		190,500	190,500	5.3
47 Fernwärme Ulm GmbH	Stadt Ulm	Heizwerk Daimlerstr. 29	Heizöl	2610	2		261,000	261,000	5.4
48 Carl Beiselen GmbH	Stadt Ulm	Düngemittel- großhandel	Pflanzenschutz-mittel	790	3		790,000	790,000	5.9
49 Uzin Utz AG	Stadt Ulm	Herstellg. von Klebstoffen	Kleberrohstoffe	210	3		210,000	210,000	5.3
50 Zweckverband Therm.	Stadt Ulm	Müllheizwerk	Haumüll und hausmüllähnl.	5800	3		5,800,000	6,896,000	6.8
			Schlacke	720	3		720,000		
			Asche/ Filterkuchen	200	3		200,000		
			Verfahrenstechn. Abwasser	176	3		176,000		
51 Wielandwerke AG	Stadt Ulm	U	Schwefelsäure, Natrium dichromat	. 173	3		173,000	173,000	5.2
52 Heidelberger Zement AG	Schelklingen/ Alb-Donau-Kreis	Lageranlage	Heizöl S	16000	1		160,000	160,000	5.2
53 Standortver- waltung Ulm	Laupheim/ Kreis Biberach	Flugfeldbetank- ungsanlage	Flugbenzin AI	300 x 3	3		900,000	900,000	6.0
54 Fina Deutschland GmbH	Giengen/ Heidenheim	Tankstelle/ Lageranlage Abfüllanlage	VK, DK, Heizöl EL, Altöl AIII	110	3		110,000	110,000	5.0
55 Südtank GmbH & Co	Heidenheim/ Heidenheim	Tankstelle/ Lageranlage Abfüllanlage	Benzin, Diesel, Altöl, Heizöl	120	3		120,000	120,000	5.1

				AF	RS Germany				
5	6 IHKW	Heidenheim/	Öllager/ -	Heizöl EL, Schmieröle,	800	3	800,000	800,000	5.9
	Industrieheiz-			Trafoöl					
	kraftwerk	Heidenheim							
	Heidenheim								
							Summe=	2,293,874,000	9.4

1.) potentielle Einleitstelle: in der gültigen Schifffahrtskilometr 2) bei mehreren Anlagenstandorten Mittelwert

Kriterien für die Aufnahme von Anlagen zum Umgang mit wassergefährdenden Stoffen (ohne Tankstellen und Anlagen des Bergbaus):

- 1. Lage in einem 10 km breiten Streifen längs der Donau oder
- 2. Lage in einem 10 km breiten Streifen längs eines Nebenflusses der Donau und nicht weiter als 50 km von der Mündung in die Donau entfernt und
- 3. maßgebliches Volumen: 100 t oder mehr WGK 3, 1000 t oder mehr WGK 2, 10.000 t oder mehr WGK 1.

# ARS Austria (no table received)

**ARS Czech Republic** 

N°	Name and proprietor of the company		Recipient river (length of the stream in km)	Company activities	Dangerous substances	WGK	Total amount stored/hand led	Storage facilities	Operational volume total/free (%)	Remark	Total quantity in kg/l	Water risk class equivalent	Sum of water risk class equivalents	Water risk index
1.	Farmak, Joint Stock Company	Olomouc/ Olomouc	Morava 225,7 Danube	pharmaceutical production	sulphuric acid, hydrochl. acid, sodium chloride, ammonium, sodium hydroxide	1 1 0 2 1	50/25 t 60/30 t 25/25 t 10/5 t 32/32 t	tanks, pipelines, technological units	40 m <sup>3</sup> 45 m <sup>3</sup> 40 m <sup>3</sup> 10 m <sup>3</sup> 45 m <sup>3</sup>	municipal WWTP	177000	1770	1770	3.2
2.	Lukana, Joint Stock Company.	Olomouc/ Olomouc	Morava 222 Danube		methanol, methylester of vegetable oil (biofuel)	1 01.Feb	100/100 t 1500/150 t	tanks, pipelines, technological units	150 m <sup>3</sup> 300 m <sup>3</sup>	own biological WWTP	1600000	16000	16000	4.2
3.	Deza, Joint Stock Company	Valašské Mezirící/ Vsetín	Becva 59 Morava 210,6 Danube	black coal tar and raw benzene treatment	black pitch, tar, raw anthr. oil, raw naphft. oil, raw benzene, pure benzene, pure toluene, pure toluene, pure xylene, benzine, black oil	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1	6226/113 t 30000/500 t 5126/2563 t 3880/1940 t 16000/6000 t 12000/6000 t 2600/1300 t 500/250 t 1340 t 25000/5000 t	tanks, pipelines, technological units	5000 m <sup>3</sup> 7000 m <sup>3</sup> 3500 m <sup>3</sup> 3000 m <sup>3</sup> 9000 m <sup>3</sup> 8000 m <sup>3</sup> 2000 m <sup>3</sup> 2000 m <sup>3</sup> 10000 m <sup>3</sup>	own biological WWTP	102672000	10267200	10267200	7.0
4.	Precheza, Joint Stock Company	Prerov/Prerov	Becva 10,5 Morava 210,6 Danube	production of sulphuric acid, titan dioxides, inorganic pigments, coagulants	sulphuric acid, coagulant, petrol	1	10400/2600 t 200/50 t 1800/600 t 1500/750 t 600/200 t	tanks, pipelines, technological units	5000 m <sup>3</sup> 100 m <sup>3</sup> 1000 m <sup>3</sup> 1100 m <sup>3</sup> 400 m <sup>3</sup>	all waters are neutralised in own neutralisatio n unit	14500000	145000	145000	5.2
5.	Aliachem, Joint Stock Company part of Technoplast co.	Kromeríž	Malá Becva 15,7 Morava 189 Danube	rubber and plastic products	dimethylform- amide, dibutylftalate	1	120/120 t 120/120	tanks, pipelines, technological units	180 m <sup>3</sup>	own biological WWTP	240000	2400	2400	3.4

6.	Ltd.	Otrokovice/ Zlín	Morava 177,6 Danube	tanneries	technological sludge									
7.	Stock	Poštorná/ Breclav	Dyje (Thaya)17 Morava 69 Danube	phosphates production	P <sub>4</sub> H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	1	200 t 455 t 573 t	tanks, pipelines, technological units	2350 t 610 t 1507 t		1228000	12280	12280	4.1
8.	CEZ, Joint Stock Company Nuclear Power Plant Dukovany		Jihlava 59,2 Dyje (Thaya)66 Morava 69 Danube	Plant	oils, petrol, oxygen, hydrogen, combustible substamces	2	0,9 t 80 t 6,4 t 0,6 t	glass jars, metal tanks in pools	reserves according to the process		88000	8800	8800	3.9
9.	DIAMO, State Enterprise, part of GEAM co.	Rožínka/	Nedvedicka 13 Svratka 95,5 Dyje (Thaya)66 Morava 69 Danube	uranium mines, classical mining methods, alkaline leaching	uranium and its radionuclides, neutralisation sludge	1	13 416 434 t, i.e. 10 062 325 m <sup>3</sup> of pulp	two ponds with earth dam	1 789 519 m <sup>3</sup> /18%	2 ponds	13416434000	134164340	134164340	8.1

The missing data were not available or their determination was not possible, e.g toxicity, free operational volume in tanks.

Summe 144617790 **8.2** 

Summe-Industrie 10453450 **7.0** 

**ARS Slovak Republic** 

#### ARS Slovakia

#### Inventory of potentially hazardous plants in the Danube river basin in Slovakia

	company/operato r		tributary water	River km (potential discharge)	ET DASIN IN S Km to est. into the Danube	Type of plant (type of production, used technology)		Max. storage capacity /m3/		R phr.	Comments	S/P	SE	Water risk class equivalent	Sum of water risk class equivalents	Water ris index
1	SAM, a. s. Myjava	Myjava	Myjava	62.4	4 133.	9 Galvanic	oils	142	2	65	WWT	Р		14200	29200	4.5
			Morava	71.5	5	metal-plating	splinters /Fe, Ms, Al/	150	2	15.17	of city Myjava			15000		
2	SH, a. s. Senica	Senica	Teplica-3	1.	8 101.4	4 Viscose silk production	heavy heating oils	7 700 t	2	65	own WWT	Р	SE	770000	806120	5.9
			Myjava	28.	1	production	methanol	535 t	1	11.39				5350		0.0
			Morava	71.:	5		ethyleneglycol	630 t	1	10	)			6300		
							CS <sub>2</sub>	100 t	1	11.48	3			1000		
							NaOH	2 200 t	1	35	5			22000		
							$H_2SO_4$	147 t	1	35	5			1470		
3	NAFTA ZÁHORIE,	Skalica	Gbelský cr.	1.75	<b>5</b> 97.2:	5 Repairs and maintaning	petroleum	100	3	65.45	5	S		100000	100000	5.0
	a. s. Gbely		Morava	95.5	5											
4	NAFTA ZÁHORIE,	Senica	Teplica-3			Liquidation of waste	solid waste	60 000	1			Р	SE	600000	600000	5.8
	a. s. Gbely		Myjava	28.												
			Morava	71.												
5	Hebel Pórobetón Šaštín,	Senica	Šaštínsky cr.	1.0	6 87.:	5 Building industry	hydrate of calcium	246 t	1		own WWT	S		2460	2460	3.4
	s. r. o.		Myjava	14.4	4											
			Morava	71.:	5											
6	KOVOTVAR VD, Kúty	Senica	Zelnícky cr.	4.5	5 82.4	4 Surface modif. of metals	NH <sub>3</sub>	150 t	2	10.5	5	Р	SE	15000	15000	4.2
			Kúty-Brodské canal Myjava	4.4	4 2											
			Morava	71.	5											
7	HIROCEM, a. s. Rohožník	Malacky	Vajar cr.	-	1 76.	9 Cement production	heavy heating oils	18 000	2	65	own WWT	Р	SE	1800000	2000000	6.3
	TtohoLink		Rudavka	3.:	5		petroleum	200	3	65.45	5			200000		
			Rudava	21.2	2											
			Morava	51.2	2											
8	Vojenský útvar 4990,	Malacky	Pernecká Malina	4.9	9 48.	3 Soldier organisation	aviation petrol	1 920	3	65.45	own WWT	S		1920000	1920000	6.3
	Kuchyna		Malina	32.5	8											
			Morava	10.0	6											
9	BA	Malacky	Malina	20		6 Insulators, conductors pr.	phenol	290	2		WWT	S		29000	34800	4.5
	factory in Malacky		Morava	10.0	6		methanol	290	1	11.39	of Strojárne, a. s.			2900		
							formaldehyde	290	2	23.43	Malacky			2900		
10	ISTROCHEM, a. s. Bratislava	Bratislava		1863.3	8	0 Rubber accelerators	oil R 935	200	2	65		Р	SE	20000	184500	5.3
						<b>^</b>	NaOH	100	1	35				1000		
						Additives to greases	fural	100	3	12.45	5			100000		

						ARS Slova	ikia						
				Plant protection subst.	HCI	150	1	34.37			1500		
					NaOCl <sub>3</sub>	200	1	31.34			2000		
					aniline	500	2	50			50000		
					NaOCl <sub>3</sub>	750	1	31.34			7500		
					cyclohexylamine	250	1	10.34			2500		
	SLOVNAFT, a. s. Bratislava factory 31-Fuel	Bratislava	1863.7	0 Destilation of oil	crude oil	> 100	2	65 own WWT	Р	SE	10000	10000	4.0
	Slovenská plavba a	Bratislava	1862.2	0 River transport	petroleum	1500	3	65.45 WWT of	S		1500000		
	prístavy,											1515000	6.2
	a. s. Bratislava				waste from ships	1500	1	city Bratislava			15000	1010000	0.2
13	MATADORFIX,	Bratislava	1862.2	0 Pastes, paints	toluene	175	2	11.2 WWT	Р	SE	17500	400500	5.1
	a. s. Bratislava			product.	technical petrol	105	3	65.45 of city Bratislava			105000	122500	5.1
14	AssiDomän, a. s.	Nové Zámky	1722	0 Paper mill	heavy heating oils	10 940	2	65 own WWT	Р	SE	1094000		
	Štúrovo				petroleum	1 560	3	65.45				2777000	6.4
					oils	1 050	2				1560000		
								65			105000		
15		N (7/ 1	1722		lye	1 800	1	34.39			18000		
15	JCP IZOLÁCIE, a. s. Štúrovo	Nové Zámky	1722	0 Electro-technical	ixide composition	7 200	2	65 WWT of	Р		720000		
												834500	5.9
				industry	ixide asphalt	750	1	65 AssiDomän, a.s.			7500		
					primal asphalt	3200	1	65 Štúrovo			22000		
					heavy heating oils	750	2	65			32000		
					neavy neating ons	750	2	05			75000		
16	NAD, a. s.	Bratislava		0 National bus	petroleum	320	3	65.45 WWT of city	S		320000		
17	Bratislava Krajské policajné	Bratislava		transport 0 Car repairs	petrol	150	3	Bratislava 65.45 WWT of city	S		150000	320000	5.5
	riaditel stvo,	Diausiava		o car tepans	peuor	150	5	Bratislava	5		150000		
												150000	5.2
	Gaštanový hájik			and maintaning									
18	Kablex, s. r. o.	Bratislava		0 Electro-technical	dioctylphtalate	140	1	62.63 WWT of city	Р		1400		
	Bratislava			ind.				Bratislava				1400	3.1
19	BEZ TRANSFORMÁT	Bratislava		0 Production of	transform. oils	1 000	2	65 WWT of city Bratislava	S		100000		
	ORY,											120000	5.1
	a. s. Bratislava			transformers	used transform.	200	2	65			20000		
20	RUDEX, s. r. o.	Bratislava		0 Bleaching clay	oils HCl	150	1	34.37 WWT of	S	├	1500		
20	Bratislava			prod.		150		ISTROCHEM	~		1500	1500	3.2
21	PALMA-TUMYS,	Bratislava		0 Herb oils and	hexane	100	1	WWT of city	Р	SE	1000	1000	3.0
	a. s. Bratislava			animal fats prod.				Bratislava				1000	5.0
	DP, a. s. Jurajov	Bratislava		0 Town traffic	petroleum	250	3	65.45 WWT of city	S	├	250000		
22	dvor			10wil dance	Fourieum	250		Bratislava	5		250000	250000	5.4
	OLO, a. s.	Bratislava		0 Incinerator of	waste	13 000	1	WWT of city	S		130000	135130	5.1
	Bratislava			communal waste	cinder	513	1	Bratislava			5120	135130	5.1
				communal waste	cinder	515					5130		
	HCl, s. r. o.	Galanta		Storage of	mineral acids	180 t	1	34.39	S		1800	2800	3.4
	Sládkovicovo	I I		chemicals	1 1	I		I I I	l	I I	I	2000	3.4

								ARS Sloval	kia							
							inorganic salts	100 t	1		36			1000		
25	Dunaj Petrol Trade, a. s.	Komárno				Trade in fuel	petroleum, petrol	1 770 t	3		55.45	S		1770000	1770000	6.2
26	Chemolak, a. s.	Tmava	Luhový cr.	2	182.5	Paints production	light heating oils	363	2		65 own WWT,	Р	SE	36300		
	Smolenice		Trnávka	28			polish petrol	873	3	11,65,45	creek flows			873000	1219300	6.1
			Dolný Dudváh	20.8							through the dam Boleráz			075000		
											the dam Boleraz					
			Cierna voda	5.5												
			Malý Dunaj Váh	50 76.2			technical petrol	198	3		55.45			102000		
			Trnávka-2	25.8	178.3		medical toluene	329	2		11.2			198000 32900		
			Dolný Dudváh	20.8			medical xylene	306	2	10,38,21				32900		
			Cierna voda	5.5			substance X 2003	360	2					36000		
			Malý Dunaj	50			substance X 247	100	2					10000		
			Váh	76.2			glycerine	250	1							
27	Malokarpatská	Pezinok	Blatina-2	3.3	162	Wood processing		2 200	2		65 WWT of city	S		2500 220000		
	drevárska		Šúrsky canal	15.3							Pezinok			220000	220000	5.
	fabrika, a. s.		Malý Dunaj	117.3												
			Váh	26.1												
28	JUHOCUKOR, a.	Dunajská Streda	Vojka-Kracany	1.7	68	Sugar production	heavy heating oils	18 540	2		65 own WWT	S	SE	1854000		
	s.		can. Gabcíkovo-	16.6											1854000	6.
			Topol níky													
			Klatovské rameno	4.1												
			Malý Dunaj	19.5												
			Váh	26.1												
29	D-Apetit, s. r. o. Dun. Streda	Dunajská Streda	Gabcíkovo-	11.5	61.2		heavy heating oils	1 080	2		65 WWT of city	S		108000	108000	5.
			Topol níky can.								Dunajská Streda					
			Klatovské rameno	4.1												
			Malý Dunaj	19.5												
			Váh	26.1												
30	DRON trade, s. r.	Dunajská Streda	Gabcíkovo-	11.5	61.2	Crude oil storing	petroleum	1 070	3		55.45 WWT of city	S		1070000		•
	0.		Topol níky can.								Dunajská Streda			10,0000	1070000	6.
			Klatovské rameno	4.1												
			Malý Dunaj	19.5												
31	Hydina Danubius	Dunajská Streda	Váh Cabcíkovo-	26.1 11.5	61.2	Poultry processing	light heating oils	300	2		65 WWT of city	S		20000		
	s. r. o.	_ angona buoda	Gabeinovo-	11.3	01.2	- sala y processing		500	2			5		30000	30000	4.
			canal								Dunajská Streda				30000	4.
			Klatovské rameno	4.1												
			Malý Dunaj	19.5												
			Váh	26.1												

								ARS Slovak			-	-	<u>.</u>		
32	MEDMILK, Velký Meder	Dunajská Streda	Chotárny canal	12.4	53.51	Milk processing	heavy heating oils	360	2	65 own WWT	S		36000	48000	4.
			Malý Dunaj	14.8			mud from sewage	1 200	1				12000		
			Váh	26.1											
33	Kafiléria, a. s.	Senec	Cierna voda	1.9		Animal waste	animal fat	160	0	WWT of city	S		160		•
	Senec		Malý Dunaj	50	1	processing				Senec				160	2
			Váh	26.1											
34	EBA, s. r. o.	Dunajská Streda	Belský canal		47.31	Earthy substrates	compost	20 000 t	0	RKm from mouth	S		20000		
	Bratislava	-			1	prod.				into	~			25200	4
	factory Dolný Štál		Chotárny canal	6.4			fluid part from ferm.	520	1	the Chotárny canal			5200		
			Malý Dunaj	14.8											
			Váh	26.1											
35	Trnavský	Trnava	Trnávka	13.29	115.69	Sugar mill	heavy heating oils	9 000	2	65 own WWT	S		900000	1065000	6
	cukrovar, a. s.		Dol. Dudváh	20.8			HCI	1 500	1	34.37			15000	1065000	Ċ
			Cierna voda	5.5			formaldehyde	1 500	2	23.43			15000		
			Malý Dunaj	50									150000		
			Váh	26.1											
36	ZEZ Tepláren Trnava	Tmava	Parná	7.2	113.61	Heating factory	heavy heating oils	4 000	2	65	S		400000	400000	Ę
	Thava		Trnávka	4											
			Dol. Dudváh	20.8											
			Cierna voda	5.5											
			Malý Dunaj	50											
			Váh	26.1											
7	TATRACHEMA VD Trnava	Trnava	Trnávka-1	10.5		Flat chemistry production	alcohol	180	1	11.39	S		1800	1800	3
	v B mara		Dol. Dudváh	20.8	1	production									
			Cierna voda	5.5											
			Malý Dunaj	50											
			Váh	26.1											
8	ŻOS, a. s. Trnava	Trnava	Trnávka-2	9		Repairs,	mazut	3 140	2	65 WWT of city	S	SE	314000	214000	Ę
			Dol. Dudváh	20.8	e	engineering				Trnava				314000	:
			Cierna voda	5.5											
			Malý Dunaj	50											
			Váh	26.1											
39		Tmava	Trnávka-2	9	111.41	Farming	dung	4 500	1	WWT of city	S		45000		
	Tmava									Trnava				51700	4
			Dol. Dudváh	20.8			dung water	670	1				6700	500	
			Cierna voda	5.5											
			Malý Dunaj	50											
			Váh	26.1											
0	Slovnaft Benzinol Trnava	Trnava	Trnávka-2	9	111.41	Petrol station	petrol	128	3	65.45 WWT of city Trnava	S		128000	400000	
			Dol. Dudváh	20.8										128000	5
			Cierna voda	5.5											

								AILO Olovak					-			
			Malý Dunaj	50												
			Váh	26.1												
41	ŽSR Locomotive depot Trnava	Tmava	Parná	4.6	111	Repairs and maintaning	petroleum	300	3	65.45	WWT of city Trnava	S		300000	300000	5.5
			Trnávka	4												
			Dol. Dudváh	20.8												
			Cierna voda	5.5												
			Malý Dunaj	50												
			Váh	26.1												
42	SSC Trnava-	Tmava	Trnávka	8	110.4	Roads maintaning	gritting salts	2 500	1			S		25000		
	Modranka					-								25000	25000	4.4
			Dol. Dudváh	20.8												
			Cierna voda	5.5												
			Malý Dunaj	50												
			Váh	26.1												
43	AE Jaslovské Bohunice	Trnava	Manivier	4	107.5	Atomic power station	turbine oil	> 100 t	2		5 own WWT,	S		10000	22000	4.3
			Horný Dudváh	13.3			mazut	> 100 t	2	65	above dam Král ová			10000		
			Váh	90.2			HCl	> 100 t	1	34.37				1000		
			Drahovský canal	0.4	102.2	2	NaOH	> 100 t	1	35	5			1000		
			Váh	101.8										1000		
44	<u>C 1</u>	C L			01.05	G 1	00/	200	1	10.35	-	C.				
44	Cukrovar a konzerváren	Galanta	Dol. Dudváh	10.35	91.95	Sugar and canning factory	8% vinegar acid	290	1	10.35	5	S		2900	2900	3.5
	Sládkovicovo		Dol. Dudváh	10.2	91.8											
			Cierna voda	5.5												
			Malý Dunaj	50												
			Váh	26.1												
45	OTF-Energia, s. r.	Tvrdošín	Orava	52.3	354.1	Television	heavy heating oils	600	2	65	own WWT,	S		60000		
	o. Nižná					machines prod.								00000	60000	4.8
			Váh	301.8		and galvanic					above dam Krpel any					
46	AGRO-RACIO, s.	Liptovský Mikuláš	Bobrovecký cr.		346.2	Agricultural	light heating oils	100	2	65		1 S		10000		
	r. o.					production,									15250	4.2
			Jaslovský cr.	1.2		trade	dung water	525	1					5250		
			Váh	345												
47	SCP, a. s. Ružomberok	Ružomberok	Váh	321.5	321.5	Cellulose and paper mill	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1 000	0		own WWT,	S		1000	3400	3.5
	divisions Suprabal,					paper min	glue	240	1		above dam			2400	0100	0.0
	Supragraf						-				Krpel any			2400		
48	Kovostav, a. s.	Ružomberok	Revúca	4.2	320.8	Engineering	light heating oils	800	2	65	own WWT,	S		80000		
	Biely Potok														80000	4.9
			Váh	316.6							above dam Krpel any					
49	SCP, a. s.	Ružomberok	Váh	314.8	314.8	Cellulose and	NaOH	386	1	35	5 own WWT,	Р	SE	3860		• •
	Ružomberok					paper mill	11.50	100	,		1 1				1557060	6.2
	factory CELPAP						$H_2SO_4$	100	1	35	above dam Krpel any			1000		
							NaClO <sub>3</sub>	423	1	31.34				4230		
							heavy heating oils	12 000	2	65	5			1200000		
										24.25.25						
							black lye	7 511	1	34,35,39				75110		

								ARS Slov	akia							
	1		1				petroleum	135	3	65.45	5			135000		
							H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	160	1	8.34	L			1600		
							NaHSO <sub>3</sub>	166	1	22.31	L			1660		
							lime	1 460	1	41	L			14600		
							soap	120 000	0					120000		
50	Druhá	Martin	Turiec	8		Engines for	engine petroleum	108	3	65.45	5	S		108000		
	Strojárenská, a. s.					agricultural									108000	5.0
	Stará Turá		Váh	281.6		machines					above dam Žilina					
51	SSE Tepláren	Martin	Turiec-1, Kalnô	7.8	289.4	Heating plant	Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>	104	1	41	1	S		1040		
	Martin														3560	3.6
			Váh	281.6			NaOH	126	1		own WWT,			1260		
							HCI	126	1	34.3	above dam Žilina			1260		
52	ZTS TEES -	Martin	Turiec-1	4.4	286	Heavy engineering	petroleum	446	3	65.45	own WWT,	S		446000	448040	5.7
	Martinské		Váh	281.6			oils	204	2	65	above dam Žilina			2040	440040	5.7
	strojárne. a. s.													2040		
53	PRATEX COM, a. s.	Cadca	Kysuca	29	281.3	Textile industry	Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>	132	1	41	above dam Hricov	S		1320	1320	3.1
			Váh	252.3												
54	KLF-ZVL, a. s.	Kysucké N. Mes	to Neslušanka		256.7	Bearing	oils	205	2	65	5	S		20500	200500	5.6
	Kysucké Nové		Kysuca	4.4		production, energetics	petropal	360	3	65.45	above dam Hricov			260000	380500	5.0
	Mesto					energenes	petropar	500	. 5	05.40	above dam micov			360000		
			Váh	252.3												
55	SSE Tepláren Žilina	Žilina	Váh	255	255	Heating plant	HCl	160	1	34.37	own WWT,	S		1600	2800	3.4
	Zima						NaOH	120	1	35	above dam Hricov			1200	2000	••••
56	Aqachémia, s. r. o.	Žilina	Váh	254.25	254.25	Organic, inorganic	cyclohexanone	406	1	10.3	WWT of city	Р	SE			
50	Žilina		, un	20 1120	201120						Žilina,		52	4060	472460	5.7
	area of Pov. chemické závody					production	NaOH	143	1	35	above dam Hricov			1430		
	enemieke zavody															
							solution KL	750	1					7500		
							sulphate lye	1 000	1	34,35,39				10000		
							NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	500	1	8,28,50				5000		
							hydroxylaminesulp hate	350	1	22.5	5			3500		
							H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	600	1	35	5			6000		
							oleum	600	2	14,35,37				60000		
							caprolactame	700	2	36,37,38				70000		
							acetocyanhydrine	300	3					300000		
							mathanal	260	1	11.39						
							methanol methylacrylate	260 237		11.39				2600		
57	Slovnaft Benzinol,	Žilina	Váh	244.9	244 9	Storage and	petrol	770	3		own WWT,	S	SE	2370		
2,	a. s.					trading in fuel	-					5	51	//0000	2182000	6.3
	Terminal Horný Hricov		Lehotský cr.	1.5	243.85		techn., aviation petrol	800	3	65.45	above dam Hricov			800000		
	inco,		Váh	242.35			light heating oils	1 620	2	65	5			162000		
							oils and greases	4 500	2	65						
58	Kinex, a. s. Bytca	Bytca	Váh	235	225	Engineering	oils	2001			own WWT,	S		450000 20000		
	isinca, a. S. DyiCa	Lynca	* an	435	255	Lugincering	0110	2001		0.3	0 10 10 10 11,	5		20000		4.3

	1	l	ı ı	I	1	ı.	ı ı			above dam Nosice				1	
										above dam Nosice					
59	Tepláren, a. s.	Považská Bystrica	Váh	217	217	Energetics	waste oils	150	2	65	S		15000	25800	4.4
							used crude oil	108	2	65 disch. into dam Nosice			10800	20000	
60	Matador, a. s. Púchov	Púchov	Pružinka	0.03	201.73	Rubber factory	heavy heating oils	14 000	2	65 own WWT,	Р	SE	1400000	1885360	6.
	Pucnov		Váh	201.7			technical petrol	139	3	65.45 above dam Slnava			139000	1005500	0.
			Váh	201.7	201.7		furex	320	3				320000		
							HCl	136	1	34.37			1360		
							waste oils	250	2	65			25000		
61	Považské cementárne, a. s.	Ilava	Lúckovský cr.	0.5	192	Cement production	heavy heating oils	6 000	2	65 own WWT,	S	SE	600000	600000	5.
			Nosický canal	25.9						above dam Slnava				000000	0.
			Váh	165.6											
62	Považský cukrovar, a. s.	Trencín	Nosický canal	23.5	189.1	Sugar mill	heavy heating oils	11 000	2	65 above dam Slnava	S		1100000	1100000	6
	Trencianska Teplá		Váh	165.6											•
63	SLOVLAK FALA, a. s.	Ilava	Podhradský cr.	3	187.5	Inorganic pigments,	xylene	120	2	10.38 own WWT,	Р		12000		
	Košeca					pigments,								24000	4
			Váh	184.5		paints prod.	aromatol	120	2	above dam Slnava			12000		
64	ZTS Energo, a. s.	Ilava	Lieskovecký cr.	1.8	165.6	Engineering,	used oils	160	2	65 2, above dam	S		16000	40000	4
	Dubnica					energy production				Slnava, own WWT				16000	4
				1.0							~				
65	ZTS Metalurgia, a. s.	Ilava	Lieskovecký cr.	1.8	165.6	Metallurgy	tempered oils	400	2	65 WWT of ZTS Energo,	S		40000	41200	4
	Dubnica nad Váhom						water glass	120	1	above dam Slnava			1200		
66	Agrokombinát, a.	Trencín	Biskupický canal	7.25	127.65	Breeding of pigs	dung water	7 500	1	own WWT,	S	<u>                                     </u>	75000		
	s. Vel ké Bierovce		Váh	120.4						above dam Slnava				75000	4
67	Farm Chtelnica	Piešt any	Chtelnicka	12.67	121.37	Farming	petroleum	240	3	65.45 WWT of mun.	S		240000	336800	5
			Horný Dudváh	18.5			dung	9 000	1	Chtelnica,			90000		
			Váh	90.2			dung water	680	1	above dam Slnava			6800		
68	Pol nohosp. výroba a obchodné	Piešt any	Šteruský cr.	6.5	119.6	Farming	solid fuel	1 000 t	2	above dam Slnava	S		100000		
														114400	5
	družstvo Kocín		Borovský canal	4.2			silage	100	1				1000		
			Horný Dudváh	18.7			dibutylphtalate	134	2	63,50,53			13400		
	D.A.	*** 1	Váh	90.2	100.0	<b></b>		5 1 5 0 .							
69	Drôtovna, a. s. Hlohovec	Hlohovec	Váh	100.8	100.8	Wire production	mazut	5450 t	2	65 own WWT,	Р	SE	545000	548200	5
							HCl	320	1	34.37 above dam Slnava			3200		
70	Slovakofarma, a. s.	Hlohovec	Váh	100.65	100.65	Drugs production	28% NH <sub>4</sub> OH	160	2	10.38 own WWT,	Р	SE	16000		
	Hlohovec									above dam Slnava			10000	16000	4
										above dam Smava					

							ARS Slovak	ia							
	factory Bana		Cigliansky cr.	2.8		petroleum	100	3	65.45	i	1		100000		
	Cígel, o. z. Prievidza		Lehotský cr.	3.3		oils	450	2	65				45000		
			Nitra	132.3									43000		
			Váh	30.1											
			Moštenica	2.3	173.7	-									
			Handlovka	5.7											
			Nitra	135.6											
			Váh	30.1											
			Ciglianka	1.5	168.5	-									
			Handlovka	1.3											
			Nitra	135.6											
			Váh	30.1											
72		Prievidza	Lehotský cr.	5.7	168.1 Coal mining	petroleum	100	3	65.45	above dam	S		100000		
	bane Nováky,		N.Y	100.0						Nováky			100000	100000	5.0
	factory Bana Lehota		Nitra	132.3											
			Váh	30.1											
73		Prievidza	Lehotský cr.	1.7	164.1 Coal mining	petroleum	100	3	65.45	own WWT,	S		100000	400000	5.0
	bane Nováky, factory Bana		Nitra	132.3						above dam				100000	5.0
	Mládeže			10210						Nováky					
			Váh	30.1											
74	SAD, Prievidza	Prievidza	Handlovka	4.8	170.5 National bus transport	petroleum	200	3	65.45	WWT of city Prievidza	S		200000	200000	5.3
			Nitra	135.6	transport					FIIEVIUZA				200000	0.0
			Váh	30.1											
75	Hornonitrianske	Prievidza	Ciglianka	2.4	169.4 Coal mining	petroleum	100	3	65.45	i	S		100000		
	bane Nováky,				-	_							100000	100000	5.0
	factory Bana Nováky		Handlovka	1.3											
			Nitra	135.6											
			Váh	30.1											
76	Novácke chemické závody,	Prievidza	Nitra	130.6	160.7 Chemical industry	dioctyladipate	120	1	36	own WWT	Р	SE	1200		
	zavody,													3058650	6.5
	a.s., Nováky		Nitra	129.7	159.8	diisooctylphtalate	240	1	62,63,58				2400		
			Váh	30.1		1.2	3 000	3	40				3000000		
			v an	50.1		(dichloro)ethane	5 000	5	-				3000000		
							142	1	11.20						
						methanol	143	1	11.39				1430		
						vinylacetate	327 444	2	11				32700		
						emulgator Fe <sub>2</sub> (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	112	1					4440		
								1	24				1120		
						CaCl <sub>2</sub> cooling oils	146 139	1 2	36				1460		
77	SE, a. s. Nováky	Prievidza	Nitra	126	156.1 Heat and	petroleum	10 000	3		WWT of city	S	SE	13900		
11	SE, a. s. NOVAKY	1 HEVIUZA	THUA	120		<b>^</b>	10 000	2	05.43	www.rorcity	3	SE	1000000	10174400	7.0
	factory Zemianske		Váh	30.1	electricity	turbine oils	219	2	65	Z. Kostol any	1		21900		
	Kostol any				production						1				
						mazut	1 525	2	65	i			152500		
78	VEGUM, a. s.	Prievidza	Nitrica	12	153.9 Rubber industry	dibutylphtalate			63,50,53	own WWT			30000		4.6

	b	1	lar.	ام		1	1	ARS Sloval		المرم	i i			
	Dolné Vestenice		Nitra	111.8			oils	100	2	65		10000		
			Váh	30.1										
79	Zornica, š. p.	Bánovce	Radiša	2.3	148.7	Textile, fashion industry	heavy heating oils	720	2	65	S	72000	72000	4.9
		n/Bebravou	Bebrava	18									12000	
			Nitra	98.3										
			Váh	30.1										
80	Cebo Holding Slovakia a. s.,	Partizánske	Nitra	111.2	141.3	Shoes, leather industry	technical petrol	140	3	65.45 WWT of city	S	140000	151200	5.2
	Partizánske		Váh	30.1		indusu y	oils	100	2	65 Partizánske		10000	101200	0.2
							dioctyladenylate	120				1200		
81	Pol noslužby BEBRAVA, a. s.	Bánovce	Bebrava	12.5	140.9	Agricultural production	engine petroleum	200	3	65.45	S	200000		
						-							200000	5.3
	Rybany	n/Bebravou	Nitra	98.3		trade								
82	Školský majetok	Topol carry	Váh	30.1 4.5	120 €	School farm	solid fuel	700 t	1		S			
82	Skolský majetok Tovarníky	Topol cany	Chotina	4.5	128.6	School farm	solid fuel	700 t	1		5	7000	12000	4.1
			Nitra	94			dung water	500	1			5000		
			Váh	30.1										
83	Novpol, a. s. Vel ké Ripnany	Topol cany	<b>Radošinka</b> Nitra	19 66.3	115.4	Agricultural product., trade	light heating oils	150	2	65 above dam Vel ké Ripnany	S	15000	15000	4.2
			Váh	30.1						v ei ke Kipitaliy				
84	Pol nonákup a. s.	Nitra	Nitra	85	115.1	Agricultural	light heating oils	100	2	65	S	10000		
	Lužianky					product., trade	0					10000	10000	4.0
85	Slovnaft Benzinol,	т	Váh	30.1	114.4	Channel and the de	materal	14 030	2	65.45 WWT of mun.	S	SE 11020000		
85	Slovnart Benzinol,	Imava	Andac	13.5		Storage and trade in fuel	petrol	14 030	3	65.45 W W I of mun. Kl acany	5	<sup>SE</sup> 14030000	24339000	7.4
	Kl acany pri Trnave		Radošinka	4.6			petroleum	9 275	3	65.45		9275000		
	Tinave		Nitra	66.2			crude oil	10 340	2	65		1034000		
			Váh	30.1										
86	ŽSR Zlaté Moravce	Zlaté Moravce	Hostiansky cr.	5.5	101.6	Engineering	engine petroleum	225	3	65.45	S	225000	225000	5.4
	Engineering station		Žitava	40.5									223000	0.4
			Nitra	25.5										
			Váh	30.1										
87	NITRAFROST, a. s.	Nitra	Nitra	53.5	83.5	Food industry	NaCl	100	1		s	se 1000	11000	4.0
			Váh	30.1			NH <sub>3</sub>	100	2	10.5		10000		
88	AGROMILK, a. s.	Nitra	Nitra-1	52.5	82.6	Milk processing	heavy heating oils	630	2	65	S	63000	63000	4.8
			Váh	30.1									03000	4.0
89	Plastika, a. s. Nitra	Nitra	Malá Nitra	28.1		Production of	mazut	3 000	2	65 own WWT	Р	300000	004000	
			Nitra	22.6		plastics	HCI	130	1	34.37			301300	5.5
			Váh	30.1				150	·	5 115 /		1300		
90	Nitrianske	Nitra	Malá Nitra	28	80.7	Engineering	heavy heating oils	1 080	2	65 own WWT	S	108000		

	1	1	Nitro		1	1	1			1	1	1 .		1	I	
			Nitra	22.6												
		<u></u>	Váh	30.1												
91	HIRK, s. r. o. Sered	Galanta	Váh	78.99	78.99	Nickel works	engine petroleum	100	3	65.45	5 can. of Cukrov. Sládkov.	Р		100000	100000	5.0
92	PAL-INALFA, a.	Nitra	Host ovský cr.	1.1	78.5	Electrical	crude oil	100 t	2	65	5 own WWT	S		10000	10000	4.0
	s. Vráble		Žitava	22		machines prod.	substances								10000	4.0
			Nitra	25.5												
			Váh	30.1												
93	Farm Komjatice	Nové Zámky	Malá Nitra	14		Farming	dung water	1 445	1			S		14450		
	2					U	C							144,50	14450	4.2
			Nitra	22.6												
			Váh	30.1												
94	Zlatý Bažant, a.s. Hurbanovo	Nové Zámky	Stará Žitava	4.8	62.6	Brewery	engine petroleum	100	3	65.45	own WWT	S		100000	100000	5.0
	Thurbano vo		Žitava	2.2											100000	0.0
			Nitra	25.5												
			Váh	30.1												
95	Duslo Šal a, a. s.	Šal a	Váh	53.9	53.9	Chemical industry	HCl	263	1	34.3	own WWT	Р	SE	2630		
							450	220	1	24					476630	5.7
							H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> NaOH	320 480	1	35				3200		
							КОН	480	1	22.35				4800		
							NaNO <sub>2</sub>	320	2	8,25,50	5			1000		
							CCl <sub>4</sub>	152	3	23.51	r			32000		
							Fe(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	1 500	1	23.5				152000		
							ammonium water	1 300	2	10.5				15000		
							annionum water	100	2	10	,			16000		
							greases	2 000	2	65	5			200000		
							aniline	500	2	20,25,50				50000		
96	Farm Vel ký Kýr	Nové Zámky	Stará Nitra	17	53.7	Farming	dung water	915	1			S		9150	100150	5.0
			Nitra	6.6			dung	9 000	1					90000	100130	0.0
			Váh	30.1			silage	100	1					1000		
97	Elektrosvit, a. s.	Nové Zámky	Nitra	8.8	38.9	0	heavy heating oils	4 000	2	65	5 WWT of city	Р		400000		
	Nové Zámky			20.1				1.510	2						3620000	6.6
			Váh	30.1			petrol	1 610	3		5 Nové Zámky			1610000		
00	ŽODI	N (77 1	N74	0.0	20.0	D 1	petroleum	1 610	3	65.45		G		1610000		
98	ŽSR Locomotive depot	Nove Zamky	Nitra	8.8	38.9	Repairs and maintaning	engine petroleum	630	3	65.45	5 WWT of city	S		630000		
	-					-									652000	5.8
			Váh	30.1		of locomotives	oils	220	2		5 Nové Zámky			22000		
99	Šajgal s. r.o., Brezno	Brezno	Hron	226.8	226.8	Distribution of fuel	petrol	133	3	65.45	5	S		133000	133000	5.1
100	SAD, š. p. Brezno	Brezno	Drábsko	2.1	223.8	Slovak bus	engine petroleum	200	3	65.45	own WWT	S	├────╂	200000		
						transport								200000	200000	5.3
101	ŽOD Z 1	P	Hron	221.7		D i c	. 1	100	2		-	C	<b>├</b> ─── <b>┃</b>	10005-		
101	ŽSR Zvolen	Brezno	Hron	220.5	220.5	Repairs of locomotives	petroleum	100	3	65.45		S		100000	100000	5.0
	division Brezno		Hron	214.2												
102	UNI, s. r. o.	Brezno	Bystrianka	1.7	215.9	Fuel storage	petroleum	820	3	65.45	5	S		820000		5.9
102	Brezno		-												820000	

								ARS Slova	kia							
103	Petrochema, a. s.	Brezno	Oselné cr.		205.7	Refinery	crude oil	9 572	2		65 own WWT,	Р	SE	957200	12181740	7.1
	Dubová		Hron	205.7			refine oils	2 309	2		65 river km			230900	12101740	7.1
							heating oils	4 500	2		65 from the mouth			450000		
							oleum	105	2	14,35,37	into the Hron river			10500		
							dark oils	900	2		65					
							white oils	1 175	2		65			90000		
							light heating oils	815	2		65			117500		
							traf. and cond. oils	1 229	2		65			81500 122900		
							low-solidifying oils	510	2		65			51000		
							emulsion oils	272	2		65			27200		
							waste oils	2 011	2		65			201100		
							petrosulphonates	475	2		65			47500		
							petropal	260	2		65			26000		
							petrol	3 905	3		65.45			3905000		
							H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	220	1		35			2200		
							techn. HCl	325	1		34.37			3250		
							NaOH	199	1		35			1990		
							oth. basic raw	1 273	2					127300		
							materials dunon	290	2							
							dubacid	187	2					29000		
							benzene	1 201	3	11,23,65				18700		
							petroleum + gas	752	3		65.45			1201000 752000		
							destill. prod.									
							petroleum + components	3 500	3		65.45			3500000		
							n-alkanes	2 280	2		65			228000		
104	Neuber-Chemika,	Banská Bystrica	Hron	185.8	185.8	Chemical industry	$H_2SO_4$	300 t	1		35 canalization	S		3000	4000	3.6
	a. s. Slovenská Lupca		Hron	185.4	185.4		KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	100 t	1		of Slovenská			1000	4000	5.0
105	BIOTIKA, a. s.	Banská Bystrica	Istebník	0.5	183.8	Drugs production	NaOH	137	1		Lupca 35 own WWT	Р	SE	1370		
	Slovenská Lupca		Hron	183.3			buthylacetate	550	1		10.66			5500	407870	5.6
							buthanol	100	1	10,22,38				1000		
							heavy heating oils	4 000	2		65			400000		
106	Stavby-	Banská Bystrica	Selciansky cr.	2.2	181.2	Building,	petroleum	100	3	1	65.45 own WWT	S		100000		
	mechanizácia- dopr.					mechanization,									100000	5.0
	a. s., Nemce		Hron	179		transport										
107	SAD BBDS, š. p.	Banská Bystrica	Selciansky cr.	1.25	180.25	Public traffic	petroleum	200	3		65.45 own WWT	S		200000	200000	5.3
			Hron	179											200000	5.5
108	Slovenské	Banská Bystrica	Selciansky cr.	1.2	180.2	Cement productior	mazut	5 000	2		65 own WWT	Р		500000		F 7
	cementárne		Hron	179											500000	5.7
	1	1	1	1		1	L			1		1				

	1	L		1				ARS Slovak							
109	Slovnaft Benzinol Stožok	Detva	Slatina-1	24.55	178.05	Storage, trade in fuel	petrol	8 230	3	65.45 own WWT	S	SE	8230000		
			Hron	153.5			engine petroleum	7 586	3	65.45			7586000	16143000	7.2
							transmission oils	2 004	2	65			200400		
							used crude oils	100	2	65			10000		
							light heating oils	1 166	2	65			116600		
110	Podpolianske strojárne	Detva	Hradná	1.6	176.5	Engineering	inorganic oils	148 t	2	65 own WWT,	S		14800	14800	4.:
	DETVA Holding, a. s.		Slatina-1	21.4						above dam Môt ová				14000	
	u. <i>s</i> .		Hron	153.5						Worova					
111	Slovenka, š. p.	Banská Bystrica	Bystrica-1	0.9	176.3	Cotton processing	heavy heating oils	1 600	2	65 own WWT	S		160000	160000	5.
			Hron	175.4										100000	5.
112	Slovenská správa letísk	Zvolen	Hron	164.4	164.4	Personal and	aviation petroleum	116	3	65.45 own WWT	S		116000	116000	5.
	airport Sliac					cargo transport									
113	ŽOS, a. s. Zvolen	Zvolen	Slatina-1	4	157.5	Repairs, engineering	heavy heating oils	183	2	65	S		18300	538300	5.
			Hron	153.5		engineering	engine petroleum	504	3	65.45			504000	000000	
							engine oils	160	2	65			16000		
114	ŽSR, a. s. Zvolen	Zvolen	Slatina-1	0.2	153.7	Repairs of	engine petroleum	2 933	3	65.45 WWT of city	S		2933000	3005400	6.
	Locomotives depot	t	Hron	153.5		locomotives	mazut	180	2	Zvolen 65			18000	3003400	0.
							crude oil	379	2	65			37900		
							engine oils	165	2	65			16500		
115	BUCINA, a. s. Zvolen	Zvolen	Zolná	1.5	158.5	Wood processing	modificator	7 000	1		Р	SE	70000	76085	4.
	Zvoich			1.1	158.1		stiffener R 60	135	1				1350	10000	
				1	158		paste LP	460	1				4600		
				0.66	157.66		paraffin	135	0				135		
				0.63	157.63										
				0.6	157.6										
			Slatina-1	3.5											
			Hron	153.5											
			Slatina	3	156.5										
			Hron	153.5											
			Hron	153.8	153.8										
116	SEZ, š.p. Žilina	Zvolen	Hron			Heating plant	heavy heating oils	1 800	2	65 canalization of	S		180000	100500	-
	factory Tepláren Zvolen		Slatina				NaOH	110	1	Bucina 35			1100	182500	5
			Zolná				HCI	140	1	34.37			1 400		
117	SAD, š. p. Zvolen	Zvolen	Hron	154.26		Transp., repairs of		200	3	65.45	S		1400 200000		-
118	VD Kovohron	Zvolen	Hron	153.5		buses Steel, plates	light heating oils	200	2	65 WWT of city	Р		20000	200000	5.
	Zvolen					processing				Zvolen		~		20000	4.
119	Elba, a. s. Kremnica	Žiar nad Hronom	Kremnický cr.	15		Armatures, fixtures prod.	HCl	105	1	34.37	Р	SE	1050	1050	3.

_								ARS 510V			_	-			
			Hron	135.5											
120	KBS, s. r. o. Kremnica	Žiar nad Hronom	Kremnický cr.	14	149.5	Ores proces., electr. prod.	CN solutions	550	2	26,28,32	Р		55000	55000	4.7
	Kremnica		Hron	135.5		electr. prod.								33000	7.7
121	Závod SNP, a. s.	Žiar nad Hronom	Hron	129	129	Aluminium	NaOH	1 825	1	35	Р	SE	18250		
				107.0	105.0	processing	,		2					84650	4.9
122	de Miclén Levice	<b>r</b>	Hron	125.3	125.3	0	inorganic oils KOH	664 105	2	65 22.25 WIWTE 6 1	S		66400		
122	de Micien Levice	Levice				Cosmetics production	кон	103	1	22.35 WWT of city Levice	5		1050	1050	3.0
123	A. N. B., s. r. o. Bratislava	Žarnovica	Hron	108.35	108.35	Wood processing	heavy heating oils	2 883 t	2	65	Р		288300	303829	5.5
	factory Žarnovica						paste MF	669,1 t	1				6691	303029	5.5
		· .					paste FFD	883,8 t	1				8838		
124	IZOMAT, a. s. Nová Bana	Żarnovica	Novobanský cr.	0.5	94.3	Isolating materials	phenolphormaldeh yde	125	2	43 own WWT	Р		12500	12500	4.1
			Hron	93.8		production	resin		1						
			Hron	93.45	93.45										
125	AE Mochovce	Levice	Hron	93.95	93.95	Atomic power	petroleum	200	3	65.45 own WWT	S		200000	206260	5.3
	municipality					station	$H_2SO_4$	180	1	35			1800	206260	5.5
	Velké						NaOH	160	1	35			1600		
	Kozmálovce						-								
							Fe <sub>2</sub> (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	160	1	0.05			1600		
126	Slovnaft Benzinol,	Žamovica	Hron	82.3	\$1 2	Fuel storage	HNO <sub>3</sub> engine petroleum	126 11 700	1	8.35 65.45	S	SE	1260		
120	a. s.	Zamovica	nron	82.5	62.5	Fuel storage	engine perioteum	11 /00	5	05.45	3	50	11700000	22254000	7.3
	PS Hronský Benadik						light heating oils	800	2	65			80000		
	Benadik						car and aviation	10 464	3	65.45			10464000		
							petrol	100	2						
127	NOVOCHEMA	Levice	Podlužianka	2.2	55.05	D	used crude oils polish petrol	100	2	65 11,65,45 WWT of city	Р	SE	10000		
127	Levice	Levice	Podluzianka	2.2	55.95	Paints, non- freezing liquids,	polish petroi	125	3	Levice	Р	SE	125000	145000	5.2
			Hron	53.75		thinners,	xylene	100	2	10,21,38			10000		
						dispersive pastes									
							polishes	100	2	65			10000		
128	Slovmag, a. s. Lovinobana	Lucenec	Krivánsky cr.	21.1	160.8	Mining	heavy heating oils	650	2	65 own WWT	S		65000	92800	5.0
	Lovinoballa		Krivánsky cr.	19.5	159.2		sulphite extract	128	2	31.34			12800	92000	5.0
			Ipel	139.7			tar	150	2	65			12800		
129	Žiaromat Kalinovo	Poltár	Slatinka	12.7	153	Ceramic industry	light heating oils	100	2	65 own WWT	S		10000		
			Krivánslev	0.6									10000	10000	4.0
			Krivánsky cr. Ipel	0.6 139.7											
130	SAP, š.p. Lucenec	Lucenec	fper Krivánsky cr.	4.4	144.1		petroleum	150	3	65.45 WWT of city	S		150000		
150	S. II , S.p. Lucellee	Latence			144.1		Penoiculi	150	5	Lucenec	5		150000	150000	5.2
	,		Ipel	139.7											
131	VÚ Lešt , Pliešovce	Krupina	Krupinica	57.6	111.7	Soldier organisation	petroleum	188	3	65.45	S		188000	188000	5.3
	1.000000		Ipel	54.1		Sumouton									
132	ACHP, s. r. o.	Vel ký Krtíš	Medokýšny cr.	2.1	106.3	Agrochemistry	fluid fertilisers	1 920	1		S		19200	10000	4.2
	Velký Krtíš		Krtíš	14.1										19200	4.3
			Ipel	90.1											
		1	x	20.1						1 1					

								ARS Slovaki	ia							
133	Pol nonákup HONT, a.s . Hontianske Nemce		Štiavnica Ipel	<b>29.5</b> 47.5		Trade, drying, treating, storage of grain	light heating oils	300	2	65		S		30000	30000	4.5
			-													
134	Transpetrol, a. s. Šahy	Levice	Štiavnica	2.6	50.1	Transport, storage of	crude oil	180 000	2	65	own WWT	S		18000000	18000000	7.3
	municipality Tupá		Ipel	47		crude oil and c. o. products										-
135	Želba š.p. 02 Siderit	Rožnava	Slaná	66.4	66.4	Siderite mining	As 0,144%	3 984 911	1	23.25		S		39849110	39849110	7.6
	Nižná Slaná					and processing	Pb 0,009%	silted sludge	1	20,33,61					33043110	7.0
							Zn 0,004%		1	15.17						
							in sludge									
136	Magnetech	Rimavská	Rimava	64.3	65.7	Production of non -	HCl	756 m <sup>3</sup>	1	34.37		Р	SE	7560	15760	4.2
	Slovakia a.s.	Sobota	Slaná	1.4		rrous clinkers	Cl <sub>2</sub>	80 m <sup>3</sup>	2	23,37,50				8000	10100	
	Hnúšt a						NaOH	20 m <sup>3</sup>	1	35				200		
137	Slovmag a.s.	Revúca	Murán	26.5	52.3	Mining and	sulfid	3 300 t	2			Р		330000	375955	5.6
	Lubeník		Slaná	25.8		processing of magnesite on	light heat. oil	120 t	2	65				12000	37 3933	5.0
						dead -		217.	2							
						burned magnezia	mineral oil	317 t	2	65				31700		
							$H_2SO_4$	225,5 t	1	35				2255		
138	SMZ Jelšava	Revúca	Murán	23.3	49.1	Mining and processing	heavy heat. oil	6 000	2	65		Р	SE	600000	610000	5.8
			Slaná	25.8		of magnesite on	light heat. oil	100	2	65				10000	010000	0.0
						dead - burned magnezia								10000		
139	SLZ Chémia a.s. Hnúšt a	Rimavská	Rimava	59	60.4	Production of coal.	acetone	204	1	11		Р	SE	2040	220840	5.3
		Sobota	Slaná	1.4		acetone,	acetic acid	273	1	10.35				2730		
						acetate, acetic acid	methylacetate	108	1	36.11				1080		
							butylacetate	234	1	10				2340		
							tar	204	3					204000		
							wood vinegar	865	1					8650		
140	Żelba Rudnany	Košice	Rudniansky	0.6	122.1	Mining and	oils and fats	21,62 t	2	65		S		2162	0500	3.6
			creek Hornád	121.5		processing of baryte ore,	$H_2SO_4$	41,77 t	1	35				418	3582	3.0
							oleic acid	50,24 t	1					502		
							polyethylene glycol	4,75 t	1					48		
							NaOH	4,15 t	1	35						
							praestol	4,13 t 0,55 t	1	33				42		
							hexametaphosphat	4,1 t	2					410		
141	Vitan	0-:X-1-4 M - 4 M	Homéd	97.8	07.0	······································	e					c				
141	Vitrum Krompachy	Spišská Nová Ves	nornad	97.8	97.8	mettalurgical industry	oils	1,1 t	2	65		S		110	170	2.2
							$H_2SO_4$	1 t	1	35				10		
							lime	5 t	1					50		

								ARS Slove	akia						
142	VSŽ Ferroenergy Košice	Košice	Sokoliansky c.	8.5	8.5	Waste waters	waste oil substances		2	65	Р				
						treatment plant from the factory VSŽ									
143	Chirana - Prema Humenné	Humenné	Laborec	66	90.2	Health equipments					S				
			Latorica Bodrog	9.2 15			no actual i available	nformation							
144	Chemko Strážske	Michalovce	Laborec	53.9	78.1	Products of inorganic,	nitric acid	24 350 t	1	8.35	Р	SE	243500	16577450	7.2
			Latorica	9.2		organic, small- assembly	formaldehyde	146 802 t	2	23.43			14680200		
			Bodrog	15		chemistry, technical gases	cyclohexanone	75 656 t	1	10.2			756560		
							nitre	20 250 t	1				202500		
							methanol	69 469 t	1	11,23,25			694690		
145	SE a.s. EVO Vojany	Michalovce	Laborec	10.5	34.7	Heat and electricity energy	residual oil	150 000 t	2	65	S	SE	15000000	15000000	7.2
			Latorica	9.2		production									
			Bodrog	15											
146	SWS Vojany	Bardejov	Laborec	10.5	34.7	Chemical industry oil	liquid gases	53 331 t	2	12	S	SE	5333100	25350500	7.4
			Latorica	9.2		products, chemical and	-	12 300 t	3	65.45			12300000		
			Bodrog	15		other substrates, stocking	heating oil	38 423 t	2	65			3842300		
							mineral oil	38 751 t	2	65			3875100		
147	Tesla Stropkov	Bardejov	Ondava	101	116	Electro -technical	NaOH	25 t	1	35	S		250	7540	3.9
			Bodrog	15			Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	7 t	1				70		
							Syngal Zn trichloroethylene	2 t 7,2 t	1 3	15.17			20 7200		
													7200		
148	Bukocel Hencovce		Ondava	50.1	65.1	Fibre and cellulose		290 t	1	34.37	Р	SE	2900	906680	6.0
		nad Topl ou	Bodrog	15		<u>`</u>	NaOH	518 t	1	35			5180		
						products	Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>	1 040 t	1	35			10400		
						on base of cellulose	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	178 t	1				1780		
						and hydrolysate	NaCl	132 t	1				1320		
							heating oil	8 851 t	2	35			885100		

\* to boundary the Slovak Republic and Hungary

Summe 250877521 **8.4** 

	A.) Indus	try - POTENTIAL ACCIDE			OTS > TISA < RIVI	ER SUB-BASIN 2001.		
N°	Name and proprietor of the company	Place, settlement	EOV co	ordinates Y	Receiving watercources (km)	Activity of the company (type of technology)	Sum of water risk class equivalents	Water risk index
51.	AES Borsodi Energetikai KFT Tiszapalkonyai Hoeromu Proprietor:	Tiszaújváros			Tisa 483	Production of electric energy and heat	148,000,000	8.170
52.	Akzo Nobel Festékgyártó és Kereskedelmi Rt.	Tiszaújváros			Tisa 484	Storage of materials, production and trade of resins, paints, lacquers, solvents	118,105,000	8.072
27.	Mol Rt Szajol basic site	Szajol	201.000	744.000	Holt-Tisa (10) - Tisa (337)	Storage of fuel, receipt of use-up oil	95,290,000	7.979
53.	MOL Rt. Tiszai Finomító	Tiszaújváros			Tisa 483+600	Refining crude oil Storage	30,415,500	7.483
55.	AES Tisza eromu KFT Proprietor: AES SUMMIT Generation Ltd.	Tiszaújváros			Tisa 490	Production of electric energy, gas fuelled power plant	26,052,030	7.416
19.	MOL RT. BÁZISTELEP Kenoanyag üzletág	Nyírbogdány, Gyártelep	862.030	307.420	Lónyay canal (34), Tisa river (559)	receiving and storing products of crude oil arriving through pipeline (petrol, gasoline) and drawing off into railway and for public road transport	20,400,000	7.310
18.	MOL Rt Kenoanyag division	Nyírbogdány	862.030	307.420	Lónyai canal Tisa river	storage of hazardous wastes	19,028,900	7.279
48.	Koolajtároló Rt.	Tiszaújváros MOL Rt. Tiszai Finomító			Tisa 483+600	Storage	13,600,000	7.134
58.	AKZO NOBEL Festékgyártó és kereskedelmi Rt	Tiszaújváros			Tisa 484	Storage of materials, production and trade of resins, paints, lacquers, solvents	13,000,000	7.114
49.	Terméktároló Rt.	Tiszaújváros MOL Rt. Tiszai Finomító			Tisa 483+600	Storage	9,200,000	6.964
56.	AES Borsodi Energetikai KFT Tiszapalkonyai Hoeromu Proprietor:	Tiszaújváros			Tisa 483	Production of electric energy and heat	8,024,200	6.904
36.	Sugar factory Rt. Begin-Say French proprietor	Szolnok	200.000	735.000	Tisa (332)	production, of sugar storage of industrial waste water	7,000,000	6.845
46.	Tiszai Vegyi Kombinát Rt. Proprietor: Befektetoi csoport	Tiszaújváros			Tisa 484	Production of olefin	6,778,400	6.831
6.	MOL RT. KFÜ pumping site	Fényeslitke	878.822	329.465	Belfo canal (43), Tisa river (569)	Receiving crude oil coming through the BARÁTSÁG-II pipeline and storing in 4 pieces of 20000 thousands m3 tanks above the surface then transporting to be processed	4,480,000	6.651
32.	Szerencsi Cukorgyár Rt Proprietor: Beghin Say	Szerencs			Szerencs-brook 25,5 Sajó 9,3- Tisa 491,9	Sugar beet processing, sugar production	4,054,000	6.608
42.	Terszol Szövet-kezet	Szolnok	201.000	733.000	Görbe-watercourse (1)	Galvanic and paint sludge	2,527,000	6.403
57.	Tiszai Vegyi Kombinát Rt	Tiszaújváros			Tisa 484		2,175,000	6.337
20.	VÁROSÜZEMELTETÉSI KHT.	Nyíregyháza, Szállási u. 72.	850.813	296.037	VIII/1. tributary (11), VIII. sz. main river (5), Lónyay c (22), Tisa (559)	Closed building with proper protection for collecting and storing hazardous wastes	1,485,400	6.172
7.	Mol Rt. BFL Logisztika	Füzesabony			Eger-brook 25, Kis- Tisa 25,8	Storing and selling mineral oil products	1,145,000	6.059
21.	NYÍREGYHÁZI EROMU KFT.	Nyíregyháza, Bethlen G. u. 92.	850.813	296.037	VIII/2. tributary (4), VIII.main river (11), Lónyay c. (22), Tisa (559)	Production of electric energy and hot steam using natural gas and oil	1,100,000	6.041
9.	Firm: MÁD-OIL Raktározási és Szállítási Kft.	Mád			Mádi-brook 8,1 Takta 29,8	Storage and trading of mineral oil products	1,073,900	6.031
16.	TARTALÉK-GAZDÁLKODÁSI KÖZHASZNÚ TÁRSASÁG	Nyírbátor			Nyírbátor-Vasvári canal (16) Kraszna (34) Tisa 682	storage of fuel	1,000,000	6.000
54.	Columbian Tiszai Korom-gyártó KFT Proprietor: Columbian Chemikal Company USA	Tiszaújváros			Tisa 484	Production industrial soot of oil	520,000	5.716
38.	TVM Rt.	Szolnok	199.000	733.000	Tisa (330)	Production of fertilisers, phosphor, paint	514,250	5.711
25.	NYÍRSÉGVÍZ RT. Nyíregyháza I. sz. site for sewage treatment	Nyíregyháza, Westsik V. u.	850.813	296.037	VIII. sz. main river (9), Lónyay canal (22), Tisa river (559)	treatment of municipal sewage after weighting, then filtering and sand trap to DOR type deposit then airing and another DOR type depositor	300,000	5.477
59.	MOL Rt Tiszai Finomító	Tiszaújváros			Tisa 483-600	Treatment of industrial waste water	300,000	5.477
7.	MÁV ZÁHONY PORT Division	Komoró drawing-off oil 070 site number	880.061	333.181	Belfo canal (45), Tisa river (569)	Drawing-off pakura and gasoline from wide-track tanks into tanks with normal gauge	250,000	5.398
2.	Firm:STRONG és MIBET Építo-elemgyár Kft.	Alsózsolca			Sajó 45, Tisa 491,9		231,000	5.364
37.	Treatment plant for municipal sewage Proprietor Munici-pality	Szolnok	201.000	735.000	Tisa (332)	Treatment of municipal sewage	225,400	5.353
13.	MÁV DEBRECENI ÜZLETIGAZGATÓSÁG SZERTÁRFONÖKSÉG	Mátészalka, Zöldfa u. 102.	895.501	296.69	Kraszna river (20) Tisa river (682)	storage of fuel	154,200	5.188

23.	MÁV DEBRECENI ÜZLETIGAZGATÓSÁG NYÍREGYHÁZI SZERTÁRFONÖKSÉG	Nyíregyháza, Kinizsi u.			VIII/2. tributary (4) VIII. main river (11) Lónyay c (22) Tisa (559)	storage of fuel	147,100	5.168
		Opplach	206.000	704.000			,	
1.	Rethmann Rt.	Szolnok	206.000	734.000	Kisgyepi (8,2) Zagyva (7)Tisa (335)	Communal service, receipt of hazardous wastes	143,000	5.155
0.	CLAAS Hungária Kft. German property	Törökszentmiklós	203.000	755.000	Public sewerage	Production of agricultural machinery	140,000	5.140
1.	Legero Tisza Cipogyár-tó Kft. Italian proprietor	Martfu	187.000	744.000	Tisa (305)	Production of shoes	115,000	5.06
	MÁV ZÁHONY PORT ÜZELETIGAZGATÓSÁG SZERTÁRFONÖKSÉG	Eperjeske	886.748	338.509	Belfo canal (51) Tisa river (569)	storage of fuel	105,000	5.02
1.	MÁV ZÁHONY PORT ÜZELET-IGAZGATÓSÁG SZERTÁRFONÖKSÉG	Záhony	884.127	344.953	Belfo canal (57) Tisa river (569)	storage of fuel	104,500	5.01
	MÁV ZÁHONY PORT ÜZELETIGAZGATÓSÁG SZERTÁRFONÖKSÉG	Fényeslitke	878.822	329.465	Belfo canal (43) Tisa river (569)	storage of fuel	100,000	5.00
9.	Magyar Honvédség	Szolnok Repülotér	199,000	740,000	Alcsi Holt-Tisa (5 km) Tisa (337+200 km)	Operation of military vehicles in air and on the land	100,000	5.00
6.	MVM Rt - GTER KFT Proprietor:MVM Rt	Sajószöged			Sajó 23, Tisa 491,9	Gas-turbine with fast starting	97,000	4.98
	MOL Rt. Kut. Term. Ág. Kutatás-1 Iroda	Algyo	738	111	Tisa 187,85	Mining	77250	4.88
7.	CEREOL NÖVÉNYOLAJIPARI RT.	Nyírbátor, Táncsics u. 2-4.	882.459	283.179	Nyírbátor-Vasvári canal (16), Kraszna (34), Tisa (682)	Production of vegetable oil (production of raw oil, refining and packaging Production of fodder for animals out of the side products	64,000	4.80
28.	Szarvasi Vas-Fémipari Rt.	Szarvas	768399	172086	Rainfall canal, Szarvas- Békésszent-andrási-dead channel	production of metal goods	44,000	4.64
50.	MOL Tiszai Finomító	Tiszaújváros			Tisa 483-600	Waste manage-ment	40,000	4.60
34.	Mezogép Rt. Centre	Szolnok	201.000	731.000	Public sewerage. Tisa (332)	Production of agricultural machinery Painting	28,000	4.44
13.	KGYV-Dunaferr Steel Factory Co.	Tápiószele, Györgyei street 14.			Tápió – Zagyva 30,5 Tisa 335,5	Energy production	22,300	4.34
0.	Holland Colors Kft Dutch property	Szolnok	199.000	733.000	Tisa (330)	Production of paint	21,400	4.33
4.	Metallo-globus Rt.	Tarnaszen-miklós	243.000	750.000	Hanyi-water course (10) Tisa (387)	Dismantling accumulators Trade of wastes	21,200	4.32
2.	Tisza Cipogyártó Kft.	Martfu	187.000	744.000	Tisa (305)	Production of shoes	18,000	4.25
2.	TAURUS MG.ABRONCS	Nyíregyháza, Derkovits u. 137.	850.813	296.037	VIII/2. tributary (4), VIII.main river (11), Lónyay c. (22), Tisa (559)	production of tyres for agriculture (using sot from the industry and synthetik rubbert, sulphur)	18,000	4.25
24.	SZABOLCS VOLÁN RT.	Nyíregyháza, Korányi F. u. 12.	850.813	296.037	VIII. sz. main river (10) Lónyay canal (22) Tisa river (559)	storage of fuel	16,500	4.21
7.	Ecomissio KFT. Proprietor: TVK Rt	Tiszaújváros			Tisa 484	Combustion of hazardous wastes	15,000	4.17
5.	Rethmann Rt.	Szolnok	206.000	734.000	Kisgyepi (8,2)Zagyva (7) Tisa (335)	Communal service, receipt of hazardous wastes	13,000	4.11
0.	CEREOL Rt. French proprietor.	Martfu	188.000	745.000	Tisa (308)	Production of vegetable oil, pressing, refining	11,100	4.04
3.	PANNÓNIA Rt. F.a. Hungarian proprietor.	Kunszent-márton	167.000	743.000	Körös (18) Tisa (244)	Tanning in fur industry	11,000	4.04
8.	Dispomedicor Rt.	Egyek-Félhalom			Tisafüred canal (13) Tisa 430,5	Production of medical instruments	10,000	4.00
3.	Zsigmondi Béla Viziközmuveket üzemelteto Rt.	Hódmezová-sárhely	749.000	118.000	Hódtó-KisTisai canal 15,43 Tisa 185,66	waste water treatment plant	10,000	4.00
	Bácsvíz Rt.	Kecskemét	701.000	163.000	Csukás watercourse 44,677 Tisa 227,11	waste water treatment plant	10,000	4.00
9.	Jász-Plasztik Kft.	Szászberek	220.000	729.000	Zagyva (27) Tisa (335)	Production of accumulators	10,000	4.00
9.		Szeged	731	93	Tisa 168,36	waste water treatment plant	10,000	4.00
ə. ).	Szegedi Vízmu Kft		745	142	Tisa 231,515	waste water treatment plant	10,000	4.00
9. ).	Szentes-Víz Kft.	Szentes	745		K-3 (1) Kisgyepi (1,5) Zagyva (6)	Receipt of hazardous wastes		4.00
29. 30. 31.	Szentes-Víz Kft. Dac-Car Kft.	Szentes Szolnok	206.000	734.000	Tisa (335)		10,000	4.00
29. 30. 31. 33. 62.	Szentes-Víz Kft. Dac-Car Kft. 13. MOL Rt.	Szentes Szolnok ZSÁMBOK	-	734.000	Tisa (335) Tapio 18,9 -Zagyva 30,5 – Tisa 335,5	Fuel transported by pipeline crossing watercourses	10,000	4.00
29. 30. 31. 33. 33. 62.	Szentes-Víz Kít. Dac-Car Kít. 13. MOL Rt. Miskolc municipal waste water treatment plant	Szentes Szolnok ZSÁMBOK Miskolc	-	734.000	Tisa (335) Tapio 18,9 -Zagyva 30,5 – Tisa 335,5 Sajó 49,1 Tisa 491,9	treatment of municipal sewage	10,000	4.00
9. 29. 30. 31. 33. 62. 15. 45. 14.	Szentes-Víz Kft. Dac-Car Kft. 13. MOL Rt.	Szentes Szolnok ZSÁMBOK	-	734.000	Tisa (335) Tapio 18,9 -Zagyva 30,5 – Tisa 335,5		10,000	4.00 4.00 3.83 3.72 3.64

Page 2 of 7

N°	Name and proprietor of the company	Place, settlement	EOV coo	dinates	Receiving watercources (km)	Activity of the company (type of technology)	Sum of water risk class equivalents	Water risk index
			X	Y				
107.	MOL RT	Ercsi	635.232	210.251	Duna	Gas and oilindustry, storage activity	72,414,050	7.860
116.	MOL RT.Komárom-i Bázistelep	Komárom	581.310		Duna	Gas and oilindustry, storage activity	43,600,000	7.639
108.	Garé vesz.hull. tároló	Garé	585.471	64.981	Hegyadó pDráva	waste processing, storing	16,000,000	7.204
125.	MOL RT. Dunai Finomító	Százhalombatta	639.322	219.091	Duna	Gas and oilindustry, storage activity	15,028,120	7.177
73.	MOL RT. bázistelep	Budapest, 21. kerület	651.494	231.184	Duna	Gas and oilindustry, storage activity	2,800,000	6.447
129.	1.MAGYAR OLAJTAROLÓ RT.	Tolna	627.903	121.444	Fényes ér, Duna	Oilstorage, translead	2,500,000	6.398
76.	CSEPELI EROMU Rt.	Budapest, 21. kerület	651.494	231.184	Duna	power supply	1,568,700	6.196
8.	ÉSZAK-PESTI SZENNYVZTISZTITÓ T.	Budapest, 04. kerület	652.907	249.101	Duna	wastewater usage	1,400,000	6.146
117.	M.Viscosa RT. Nyergesujfalu.	Nyergesújfalu	612.333	263.543	Duna	Trade	1,103,300	6.043
114.	Tarjánpusztai üza.storage	Gyorasszonyfa	556.739	239.334	Duna	Fuel storage	1,000,000	6.000
84.	DÉLPESTI SZENNYVZTISZTITÓ TLP.	Budapest, 23. kerület	657.473	227.612	Duna, Gyáli cs.	wastewater usage	800,060	5.903
13.	TUNGSRAM RT.VTG Fóti u 141	Budapest, 04. kerület	652.907	249.101	Duna	Electricity and gear matter	556,710	5.746
106.	DUNASTYR RT	Ercsi	635.232	210.251	Duna	Styrol production	521,400	5.717
124.	DUNASTYR Rt.	Százhalombatta	639.322	219.091	Duna	Stirol production	521,400	5.717
93.	AVANTI RT OLAJKIKÖTO ES ÜZA.	Dunaföldvár	636.914	160.836	Duna	Fuel distribution, storage	444,000	5.647
11.	GEL-E TUNGSRAM RT.Váci u 77	Budapest, 04. kerület	652.907	249.101	Duna	Electric equipment production	377,420	5.577
45.	BUDAPESTI EROMU - Angyalfold	Budapest, 13. kerület	651.017	244.553	Duna	power supply	321,280	5.507
120.	Sellyei Agrokémia Kft	Sellye	557.602	60.025	Dráva	Fertilizer and Pesticides mufacturing, trade	306,650	5.487
6.	BUDALAKK KFT Dunasor 11	Budapest, 04. kerület	652.907	249.101	Duna	Paint production, storage	302,400	5.481
90.	Richter G.Vegyészeti Rt. Dorog	Dorog	627.293	263.664	Kenyérmezoi pDuna	chemical industry, distribution	214,920	5.332
55.	Ferihegyi Repülotér	Budapest, 18. kerület	657.166	233.529	Duna	Aerial transport	185,000	5.267
118.	Paksi Atomeromu Rt.	Paks	631.612	141.796	Duna	Energy production	149,030	5.173
7.	EISELE.HUNGÁRIA Kft. Váci u 117	Budapest, 04. kerület	652.907	249.101	Duna	Machine-tool production	140,550	5.148
52.	EVM.RT,	Budapest, 17. kerület	661.717	231.657	Duna	chemical industry, distribution	137,930	5.140
37.	RICHTER Rt.	Budapest, 10. kerület	658.487	238.291	Duna, Rákos	Pharmaceutical manufakturing, trade	132,000	5.121
15.	CHINOIN RT.	Budapest, 04. kerület Tó u. 1-5.	652.907	249.101	Duna	Pharmaceutical manufakturing	107,504	5.031
27.	POLISTORE storageozási KFT.	Budapest, 09. kerület	653.043	235.768	Duna	Storage	105,194	5.022
4.	GANZ ANSALDO VILL RT	Budapest, 02. kerület	644.846	245.182	Duna	Electric equipment production	80,150	4.904
54.	TENZOR Kft.	Budapest, 17. kerület	661.717	231.657	Duna	Fémtömegcikk gyártás	80,000	4.903
36.	MUGYANTA Kft Ujhegyi u.3.	Budapest, 10. kerület	658.487	238.291	Duna, Rákos	chemical industry, distribution	78,000	4.892
23.	Budapesti Vegyimuvek	Budapest, 09. kerület	653.043	235.768	Duna	chemical industry	75,900	4.880
79.	CHINOIN Rt. Nagytétényi Telepe	Budapest, 22. kerület	646.315	230.628	Duna	Pharmaceutical manufakturing, trade	70,040	4.845
32.	ERECO Rt.PAPIRÜZEM MAGLÓDI 18.	Budapest, 10. kerület	658.487	238.291	Duna, Rákos	Paper production	50,000	4.699
88.	MATERIAL Vegyipari Sz.	Budapest, 23. kerület	657.473	227.612	Duna, Gyáli cs.	chemical industry	50,000	4.699
89.	P+m Polimer kémia KFT.	Budapest, 23. kerület	657.473	227.612	Duna, Gyáli cs.	Plastics, stock production	50,000	4.699
31.	EGIS Rt	Budapest, 10. kerület	658.487	238.291	Duna, Rákos		46,410	4.667
2.	MATERIAL Vegyipari Sz.	Alsónémedi	659.656	218.639	Duna	chemical industry	25,000	4.398
110.	Budapesti Vegyimuvek	Gyál	662.942		Gyáli csatorna	chemical industry	22,500	4.352
123.	Dunamenti Eromu RT	Százhalombatta	639.322	219.091	Duna	Energy production	20,000	4.301
74.	SYNTAN Vegyianyaggyártó Kft.	Budapest, 21. kerület	651.494	231.184	Duna	chemical industry, distribution	18,000	4.255
34.	FMV Finommechanikai Rt.	Budapest, 10. kerület	658.487	238.291	Duna, Rákos	Prrecisson mechanics	15,000	4.176
97.	ÁFOR üza. kút	Dunakeszi	656.136	255.304	Duna	Fuel distribution, storage	14,400	4.158
102.	SCHELL	Dunakeszi	656.136	255.304	Duna	Fuel distribution, storage	13,800	4.140
91.	KEMIKÁL Építoanyagipari Rt.	Drávagárdony	538.334	67.419	Dráva	Building matter industry	13,500	4.130
92.	KEMIKÁL Építoanyagipari Rt.	Drávatamási	535.327	67.942	Dráva	Building matter industry	13,500	4.130
98.	AGIP benzinkut	Dunakeszi	656.136		Duna	Fuel distribution, storage	13,000	4.114
10.	Fov.Vízmuvek RT.Váci u 121	Budapest, 04. kerület	652.907		Duna	Treatment of drinking water	12,000	4.079
51.	IV. Váci ut 121. Vízmu	budapest, 15. kerület	661.573	242.125	Szilas p.	Treatment of drinking water	12,000	4.079

81. Magyar általános Gyufaipari KF	Budapest, 22. kerület	646.315	230.628	Duna	Match production	12,000	4.079
39. TAURIL GUMI.Kft.	Budapest, 10. kerület	658.487	238.291	Duna, Rákos	Gum, gumproduce, distribution	11,164	4.048
121. SzigetChem Kft	Sellye	557.602	187.131	Drava	Vegetable oil manufacturing	11,100	4.045
3. AGROKER Bajai Kir.	Baja	644.143	93.603	Duna	Agricultural chemical trade, storage	10,000	4.000
9. FCSM RT.ép-i telep Tímár u 1	Budapest, 04. kerület	652.907	249.101	Duna	Sewers	10,000	4.000
14. ÚJP.MOSODA Kft.Attila u 156	Budapest, 04. kerület	652.907	249.101	Duna	Dry cleaning	9,100	3.959
100. JET üzemanyagtölto	Dunakeszi		255.304	Duna	Fuel distribution	9,000	3.954
104. DUNAMENTI EROMU Rt	Ercsi		210.251	Duna	power supply	8,000	3.903
112. GRABOPLAST Textil és muborgyár	Gyor		259.385	Duna	Plastic leather production	8,000	3.903
68. Csepel Fémmü	Budapest, 21. kerület		231.184	Duna	Metal tooling	7,800	3.892
78. BUSZESZ Rt.Bfoki é. Szeszgyár	Budapest, 22. kerület		230.628	Duna	Spirit product	5,500	3.740
21. AGA GAZ kft.	Budapest, 09. kerület		235.768	Duna	Gas storage, distribution	5,450	3.736
22. Budapest Husnagyker Vallalat	Budapest, 09. kerület		235.768	Duna	Cooling system operating	5,100	3.708
94. DUNAUJVAROSI PAPIRGYAR KFT	Dunaföldvár		160.836	Duna	Paper production	5,000	3.699
103. FINOMPAPIRGYÁR RT.	Dunaújváros		178.435	Duna	Paper production	5,000	3.699
115. FINOMPAPIRGYÁR	Kisapostag		173.601	Duna	Paper production	5,000	3.699
40. Újhegyi u. 3. Mügyanta kft.	Budapest, 10. kerület		238.291	Duna, Rákos	chemical industry	4,000	3.602
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3.602
49. Délker Tranzit Rakt. Kft. 50. Harsányi . Délker	Budapest, 15. kerület		242.125	Szilas p.	Fodd storage, cooler	4,000	3.602
	Budapest, 15. kerület			Szilas p.	Cooling system operating		3.602
70. Csepeli Transzform.Gyár Rt.	Budapest, 21. kerület		231.184	Duna	Electric equipment production	4,000	
77. Budapesti Vegyimuvek Rt.Nagyt.	Budapest, 22. kerület		230.628	Duna	chemical industry	4,000	3.602
85. ELSO VEGYI INDUSTRIA RT. 1.tp.	Budapest, 23. kerület		227.612	Duna, Gyáli cs.	Chemical industry, production, distribution	4,000	3.602
132. Schöller Budatej Bt.	Törökbálint		233.994	Duna	Milkindustry activity	4,000	3.602
25. LINDE Gáz Magyarorszag Rt.	Budapest, 09. kerület		235.768	Duna	Gasindustry, gas-services	3,420	3.534
24. HERZ Szalámigyár Rt.	Budapest, 09. kerület		235.768	Duna	Flesindustry activity, coller	3,000	3.477
30. ATHENAEUM NYOMDA Rt.KOZMA U.2.	Budapest, 10. kerület		238.291	Duna, Rákos	Printing activity	3,000	3.477
56. IX. Herz RT.	Budapest, 18. kerület		233.529	Duna	Flesindustry activity, coller	3,000	3.477
57. IX. Landhof kft.	Budapest, 18. kerület		233.529	Duna	coolerindustry activity	3,000	3.477
61. IX. Herz RT.	Budapest, 19. kerület		247.639	Duna	Flesindustry activity, coller	3,000	3.477
62. IX. Landhof kft.	Budapest, 19. kerület		247.639	Duna	coolerindustry activity	3,000	3.477
65. IX. Herz RT.	Budapest, 20. kerület		231.995	Duna	Flesindustry activity, coller	3,000	3.477
66. IX. Landhof kft.	Budapest, 20. kerület	655.724	231.995	Duna	coolerindustry activity	3,000	3.477
86. IX Herz RT.	Budapest, 23. kerület	657.473	227.612	Duna, Gyáli cs.	Flesindustry activity, coller	3,000	3.477
87. IX. Landhof kft.	Budapest, 23. kerület	657.473	227.612	Duna, Gyáli cs.	coolerindustry activity	3,000	3.477
75. UNIVERTRANS Kft.	Budapest, 21. kerület	651.494	231.184	Duna	Fuel storage	2,600	3.415
113. RABA MVG Rt.	Gyor	546.195	259.385	Duna	Machine industry, production	2,600	3.415
26. MIRELITE Budapest Rt.	Budapest, 09. kerület	653.043	235.768	Duna	coolerindustry activity	2,500	3.398
58. IX. Mirelite	Budapest, 18. kerület	657.166	233.529	Duna	coolerindustry activity	2,500	3.398
63. IX. Mirelite	Budapest, 19. kerület	656.912	247.639	Duna	coolerindustry activity	2,500	3.398
67. IX. Mirelite	Budapest, 20. kerület	655.724	231.995	Duna	coolerindustry activity	2,500	3.398
42. Caola 1. gyártelep	Budapest, 11. kerület		235.014	Duna	Cosmetica and Domestic chemicalindustry product	2,430	3.386
29. ATHENAEUM NY.Rt.	Budapest, 10. kerület	658.487	238.291	Duna, Rákos	Printing activity	2,400	3.380
60. IX. Bp. Husnagyker	Budapest, 19. kerület		247.639	Duna	Flesindustry activity, coller	2,100	3.322
64. IX. Bp. Husnagyker.	Budapest, 20. kerület		231.995	Duna	Flesindustry activity, coller	2,100	3.322
18. ELSO VEGYI INDUSTRIA RT. 1.tp.	Budapest, 20. kerület		240.664	Duna	chemical industry	2,000	3.301
33. FEFE INVEST Kft.JASZBER.U.18	Budapest, 10. kerület		238.291	Duna, Rákos	Battery	2,000	3.301
44. Kender-Juta Politextil Rt.	Budapest, 10. kerület		244.553	Duna	Hemp processing	2,000	3.301
53. Kobányai Sörgyár	Budapest, 13. kerület		231.657	Duna	Beer production	2,000	3.301
53. Kobányai Sörgyár 59. Kobányai Sörgyár	Budapest, 17. kerület		233.529	Duna	Beer production	2,000	3.301
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			233.529	Duna		2,000	3.301
	Dunaharaszti				chemical industry		3.301
	Dunakeszi		255.304	Duna	coolerindustry activity	2,000	
105. Dunamenti Eromu RT	Ercsi		210.251	Duna	Energy production	2,000	3.301
17. Városligeti Müjégpálya	Budapest, 06. kerület		241.136	Duna	Ice production	1,800	3.255
19. Városligeti Müjégpálya	Budapest, 07. kerület		240.664	Duna	Ice production	1,800	3.255
20. Városligeti Müjégpálya	Budapest, 08. kerület	652.568	238.849	Duna	Cooling system operating	1,800	3.255

41.	KOBANYAI EROMU	Budapest, 10. kerület, Bihari u. 10/a	658.487	238.291	Duna, Rákos	power supply	1,800	3.255
46.	Népstadion és Int. Vár.Müjégp.	Budapest, 14. kerület	654.776	243.191	Duna, Rákos	Icesport	1,800	3.255
48.	Városligeti Müjégpálya	Budapest, 14. kerület	658.487	238.291	Duna, Rákos	Ice production	1,800	3.255
128.	Polarkerm Tata	Tata	594.133	254.124	Fényes ér, Duna	chemical industry, distribution	1,560	3.193
80.	HUNGAVIS Budafoki KFT	Budapest, 22. kerület	646.315	230.628	Duna	Wine, champagne distribution	1,440	3.158
82.	Nagytétényi ut Hungavis	Budapest, 22. kerület	646.315	230.628	Duna	Fodd storage, huto	1,440	3.158
130.	MOL RT UZA. BAZIS TOLNA	Tolna	627.903	121.444	Fényes ér, Duna	Gas and oilindustry activity	1,400	3.146
16.	Mirelite	Budapest, 05. kerület	649.560	240.012	Duna	Cooling system operating	1,000	3.000
71.	Fovárosi Vízmuvek	Budapest, 21. kerület	651.494	231.184	Duna	Treatment of drinking water	1,000	3.000
72.	MIRELIT Bp. Hótôipari Rt.	Budapest, 21. kerület	651.494	231.184	Duna	coolerindustry activity	1,000	3.000
122.	Dunai FinomItó	Százhalombatta	639.322	219.091	Duna	Petroleum refinement	950	2.978
95.	Messer Hungarogáz KFT	Dunaföldvár	636.914	160.836	Duna	Gasindustry, gas-services	940	2.973
83.	POLISTORE storageozási KFT.	Budapest, 22. kerület	646.315	230.628	Duna	Storage	650	2.813
12.	MESSER GRIESHEIM H. Kft.	Budapest, 04. kerület	652.907	249.101	Duna	Gasindustry, gas-services	550	2.740
5.	MAGYAR FILMINTEZET	Budapest, 02. kerület	644.846	245.182	Duna	Filmproduction	500	2.699
101.	MÁV Vagongyártó és Jav. Kft.	Dunakeszi	656.136	255.304	Duna	Wagon production, repair	500	2.699
119.	SZÁZHALOMBATTA MOL RT	Ráckeresztúr	632.514	216.200	Duna	Petroleum refinement	450	2.653
126.	MOL RT. Dunai Finomító	Szigetcsép	642.717	214.295	Duna	Gas and oilindustry, storage activity	450	2.653
127.	MOL RT. Dunai Finomító	Szigethalom	647.006	220.888	Duna	Gas and oilindustry, storage activity	450	2.653
131.	MOL Rt. Dunai Finomító	Tököl	643.747	220.579	Duna	Gas and oilindustry, storage activity	450	2.653
35.	KÖBAL Kft.	Budapest, 10. kerület	658.487	238.291	Duna, Rákos	Metal tooling, cutting	320	2.505
111.	CEREOL Növényolajipari Rt	Gyor	546.195	259.385	Duna	Vegetable oil manufacturing	300	2.477
43.	Ferrokemia Szovetkezet	Budapest, 13. kerület	651.017	244.553	Duna	Metal tooling, surface treatment	220	2.342
38.	SKW BIOTECH.Rt.SZÁLLÁS U.3.	Budapest, 10. kerület	658.487	238.291	Duna, Rákos	Biotechnology	200	2.301
109.	AGROPLAST Gy. Körösi ét	Gyál	662.942	225.444	Gyáli csatorna	Agrarian packermatter production	200	2.301
69.	Csepeli Munkás ÁFÉSZ	Budapest, 21. kerület	651.494	231.184	Duna	Gas storage	170	2.230
28.	ZWACK Ker.BULIV termelo Kft	Budapest, 09. kerület	653.043	235.768	Duna	Drink production	160	2.204
47.	SANOSIL Hungária Kft	Budapest, 14. kerület	654.776	243.191	Duna, Rákos	chemical industry, distribution	110	2.041
						Summe	163,766,892	8.2142261

			EOV cor	rdinates				
N°	Name and proprietor of the company	Place, settlement	X	Y	Receiving watercources (km)	Activity of the company (type of technology)	Sum of water risk class equivalents	Water risk index
3.	Agricultural Co-operative	Egyek			Tisafüredi main canal (19)	Agricultural storage	601,000	5.779
14.	AGROKER RT.	Nyíregyháza, Kinizsi u. 2.	850.813	296.037	VIII/2. tributary (3), VIII. main river (11), Lónyay c (22), Tisa (559)	Storing and selling pesticides	426,000	5.629
20.	AGROCHIM-TRANSPACK	Tuzsér outskirts	880.784		Belfo canal (50), Tisa (569)	storage of pesticides and fertilizers	92,000	4.964
4.	AGROKER RT.	Fehérgyarmati Kirendeltség	910.093		Vármegyei canal (7) Túr- canal (18) Tisa river (692)	storage of pesticides and fertilizers	60,000	4.778
5.	AGROKER RT.	Kisvárdai Kirendeltség	876.145	326.445	Belfo canal (35), Tisa river (569)	storage of pesticides and fertilizers	60,000	4.778
7.	BIOGARTEN Bt.	Mátészalka, Munkácsi M. út 16.	895.501	296.69	Kraszna river (20) Tisa river (682)	storage of pesticides	60,000	4.778
8.	AGROKER RT.	Mátészalkai Kirendeltség	895.501	296.69	Kraszna river (20) Tisa river (682)	storage of pesticides and fertilizers	60,000	4.778
9.	BIGE-HOLDING Kft.	Nagykálló	860.462		VII. sz. main river (25), Lónyay canal (30), Tisa (559)	storage of pesticides and fertilizers	60,000	4.778
12.	NAVAKER Kft.	Nyírbátor	882.459	283.179		storage of pesticides and fertilizers	60,000	4.778
13.	AGROKER RT.	Nyírbátori Kirendeltség	882.459		Nyírbátor-Vasvári canal (16), Kraszna river (34), Tisa river (682)	storage of pesticides and fertilizers	60,000	4.778
15.	UNK Kft.	Nyíregyháza, Simonyi út	850.813		VIII/2.sz. tributary (1), VIII. sz. main river (12), Lónyay canal (22), Tisa (559)	storage of pesticides	60,000	4.778
	KEMOKER Kft.	Nyíregyháza, Westsik V. u.	850.813		VIII. main canal (11) Lónyay canal (22) Tisa river (559)	storage of pesticides and fertilizers	60,000	4.778
17.	AGROFOCUS '97 Kft.	Nyíregyháza-Kolapos	850.813		VIII/1.sz. tributary (7), VIII. sz. main river (4), Lónyay canal (22), Tisa (559)	storage of pesticides and fertilizers	60,000	4.778
19.	FARMIX Kft.	Szamosszeg (raktár)	898.742	306.923	Nord+F65 main canal (5), Kraszna (5), Tisa (682)	storage of pesticides and fertilizers	60,000	4.778
10.	KITE Rt.	Nagykálló	860.462	286.476	VII. sz. main river (25), Lónyay canal (30), Tisa (559)	storage of pesticides and fertilizers	40,000	4.602
2.	IKR Rt.	Demecser	865.332		Nagyhalász-Pátrohai canal (15), Belfo canal (9), Tisa (569)	storage of pesticides and fertilizers	36,000	4.556
6.	Agroker Rt.	Mályi			Hejo-brook 11,3 Szarda canal	Pesticides and fertilisers, trade of spare parts for agriculture	34,000	4.531
11.	GITR		862.011	293.772	VII/2. tributary (10), VII. main river (10), Lónyay canal (30), Tisa (559)	storage of pesticides and fertilizers	24,000	4.380
18.	JNK Gabona Kft	Rákóczifalva	741.304			Fertilizer storage, allocation	10,000	4.000
1.	META Kft.	Abádszalók	233.000		Mirhó-Gyócsi canal. 8,51 km Tisa	Liquid manure from pig-farm	5,000	3.699

	B.) Agriculture	e - POTENTIAL ACCIDENTAL	risk si	POTS	> DANUBE-DRAVA <	RIVER SUB-BASIN 2001.		
N°	Name and proprietor of the company	Place, settlement	EOV coo	ordinates Y	Receiving watercources (km)	Activity of the company (type of technology)	Sum of water risk class equivalents	Water risk index
22.	Bólyi Agrokémia	Mohács	622.477	74.446	Danube	Fertilizer storage, trade	1,000,000	6.0
21.	Termelo Solg. ÉrtSzövetkezet	Mezofalva	628.076	93.603	Danube	Agricultural chemical trade, storage	500,000	5.7
26.	Sellyei Agrokémia Kft	Sellye	557.602	274.186	Drava	Agricultural storage	450,000	5.7
18.	Martoseed Rt	Martonvásár	630.025	259.385	Danube	Agricultural chemical trade, storage	234,000	5.4
15.	Agricultural Szövetkezet	Kalocsa	646.666	206.024	Danube	Pesticides storage, allocation	120,000	5.1
17.	Petofi Mg.Szöv.	Kalocsa	646.666	219.891	Danube	Pesticides storage, allocation	100,000	5.0
23.	Agro Centrál Bt	Sellye	557.602	237.747	Drava	Agricultural chemical trade, storage	70,000	4.8
4.	Hajnal Földbérlo Szolg.Szöv.	Besnyo	628.494	280.801	Danube	Pesticides storage, allocation	50,000	4.7
12.	Sallai Szövetkezet	Drávaszerdahely	581.579	280.801	Drava	Pesticides storage, allocation	50,000	4.7
16.	Aranykalász Agrárszöv.	Kalocsa	646.666	252.814	Danube	Agricultural chemical trade, storage	40,000	4.6
13.	Péti Nitrogénmuvek RT	Ercsi	635.232	236.446	Danube	Pesticides storage, allocation	30,000	4.5
25.	Petofi Mg.Szöv.	Sellye	557.602	206.03	Drava	Pesticides storage, allocation	30,000	4.5
30.	AGROKEMIA TOLNA	Tolna	627.903	121.444	Danube	Fertilizer storage, allocation	22,000	4.3
9.	Új Élet Mg.Szöv.	Drávafok	549.838	104.414	Drava	Pesticides storage, allocation	20,000	4.3
14.	Mezogazd.Szövetkezet	Felsoszentmárton	545.966	206.024	Drava	Pesticides storage, allocation	20,000	4.3
24.	Agroker Kft	Sellye	557.602	209.374	Drava	Pesticides storage, allocation	20,000	4.3
28.	Agroker Kft	Tata	594.133	237.747	Fényes ér, Danube	Pesticides storage, allocation	20,000	4.3
8.	Mezogazdasági Rt.	Drávafok	549.838	61.626	Drava	Agricultural storage	18,000	4.3
7.	Északmagyarországi Vegyimuvek Rt	Drávafok	549.838	170.392	Drava	Pesticides storage, allocation	15,000	4.2
29.	Intercooperation Rt	Tata	594.133	254.124	Fényes ér, Danube	Fertilizer storage, trade	15,000	4.2
20.	Béke Mg.Szöv.	Mezofalva	628.076	170.29	Danube	Pesticides storage, allocation	12,000	4.1
2.	Mezogazd.Szövetkezet	Baja	644.143	173.768	Danube	Pesticides storage, allocation	10,000	4.0
3.	Agro-Berek	Beremend	602.939	224.997	Drava	Pesticides storage, allocation	10,000	4.0
5.	AGROKER	Budapest, 15. kerület	661.573	357.277	Danube	Pesticides storage, allocation	10,000	4.0
19.	Új élet Mg.Szövetkezet	Martonvásár	630.025	91.745	Danube	Pesticides storage, allocation	10,000	4.0
27.	SzigetChem Kft	Sellye	557.602	60.025	Drava	Fertilizer storage, trade	10,000	4.0
11.	Hunyadi Mg.Szöv.	Drávaszerdahely	581.579	197.378	Drava	Fertilizer. Pesticides manufacturing	7,000	3.8
1	AGROKER Bajai Kir.	Baja	644.143	60.025	Danube	Fertilizer. Pesticides manufacturing, trade	6,000	3.8
10.	Agro Centrál Bt	Drávaszerdahely	581.579	56.285	Drava	Fertilizer storage, allocation	6,000	3.8
6	Intercooperation Rt.	Budapest, 15. kerület	661.573	242.125	Szilas brook. Danube	Fertilizer storage, trade	5.000	3.7

# **ARS Slovenia**

## ARS Slovenia

		SLOVEN	MA - Inventory of	potential pollution	sources in the Danı	ıbe Basin								
Nº	Nameand proprietor of the companyof the Company	Place, settlement	Recipient river (length of stream in km)	Company activities / type of production process, technology used	Dangerous substances	Toxic properties WGK		facilities	Free operational volume (%)	Remarks	Total quantity in kg/l	Water risk class equivalent	Sum of water risk class equivalents	Water risk index
1.	Rudnik Mežica MPI,d.o.o.	Crna na Koroškem Northern region of Slovenia (Koroška region)	Meža (21.7 ) Drava Sava	Metallurgy	Slag from metallurgy process Artificial Substances- plastics Rubber Brick Waste furnace pads	-	83.000 m <sup>3</sup> deposited	Land-fill site for industrial solid wastes		Closure of the site-operation in 1999.	83,000,000		830000	5.9
2.	Petrol d.d. Ljubljana	Pesnica, Maribor Nort_east- ern region of Slovenia (Stajerska region)	Gacniški potok (0.8) Pesnica (48.6) Drava Sava	Land-fill site	Acid tar	1	15.000 m <sup>3</sup> deposited	Deposited in the water	0%		15,000,000		150000	5.2

Remark: data in the column 5 (dangerous substances) are indicated in accordance with the inventory of the land-fill sites in Slovenia.

Summe

980000 6.0

## INVENTORY OF POTENTIAL ACCIDENTAL RISK SPOTS IN DANUBE BASIN - CROATIA

N°	NAME OF THE Company,	LOCATION/	RECIPIENT RIVER (length of stream in km)	COMPANY ACTIVITIES/	DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES	Toxic Properties	TOTAL AMOUNT DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES HANDLED / STORED (tons/year)	STORAGE FACILITIES	FREE Operati Onal Volume		Total quantity in kg/l	Water risk class equivalents	Sum of water risk class equivalents	Water risk index
	OWNER	DISTRICT		type of production processes		(WGK values)			(%)					
1.	PETROKEMIJA D.D. JOINT STOCK COMPANY		KUTINICA (3) ILOVA (10) SAVA (567) DUNAV (1170)	fertilizers, soot and bentonite clay production	waste fluorine water (pH 2,1)	1		lagoons inside a 6 m hig <sup>3</sup> h earthen dike	46	In case of accident ,waste fluorine water could be spread over off stream detention	5,242,000,000	52420000	52420000	7.7
2.	PETROKEMIJA D.D. JOINT STOCK COMPANY	town Kutina	KUTINICA (6) ILOVA (10)	3	Amonia	2		metal tank in concrete safety pool metal tanks		The content of 5 tanks of phosphatic and 1 tank of fluorine silicic acid could		800000	2,207,500	6.3
			SAVA (567) DUNAV (1170)		phosphatic acid fluorine silicic acid weak phosphatic acid		200	metal tank metal tanks in concrete safety pool earthen pool storage metal tank in concrete safety	(*)	be held in	2,875,000 200,000 1,500,000	287500 20000 150000		
					sulphuric acid nitric acid			pool metal tank in concrete safety pool metal tanks in concrete safety pool			5,000,000	500000		
					heavy fuel oil oils		2000 2500 4000	μου			2,000,000 2,500,000 4,000,000	200000 250000 400000		

	POGON TERMOELEKTR ANA SISAK	TOWN SISAK	SAVA (603) DUNAV (1170)	production of electric energy	stoking oil	2	64000	metal tanks in concrete safety pool tin barrels		64,000,000	6400000	6,443,600	6.8
	SISAK JOINT-STOCK COMPANY				oil for turbines transformator oil natrium hidroxide chloric acid		99 225 60 52	transformator and metal tanks rubber-coated tanks rubber-coated tanks	(*)	99,000 225,000 60,000 52,000	9900 22500 6000 5200		
4.	INA D.D.	TOWN	SAVA (603)	oil processing	oil	1	220000	metal tanks in		220,000,000		7,049,250	6.8
	RAFINERIJA NAFTE SISAK INA OIL RAFINERY JOINT-STOCK	SISAK	DUNAV (1170)		oil products		480000	concrete safety pools metal tanks in concrete safety pools metal tanks in	(*)	480,000,000	2200000		0.0
	COMPANY				МТВЕ		2700	concrete safety pools metal tanks in concrete safety pools metal tanks in			4800000		
					MIRE		3700	metal tanks in concrete safety pools metal tanks in concrete safety pools		3,700,000	37000		
					NaOH		580	P		580,000	5800		
					HCI		435			435,000	4350		
					TEO I TMO		210			210,000	2100		

5.	Adriatic oil Pipeline Terminal Sisak Joint- Stock		SAVA (603) DUNAV (1170)	oil storage	oil	2	85000	metal tanks in concrete safety pools	25-30	85,000,000	8,500,000	6.9
6.	ZABOK OWNER: REPUBLIC OF CROATIA		KRAPINA (25) SAVA (741) DUNAV (1170)	1 5	diesel petrol	2	20000	metal tanks		20,000,000 10,000,000	3,000,000	6.5
7.	INDUSTRIJA NAFTE D.D.	TOWN ZAGREB	SAVA (686) DUNAV (1170)	oil products storage	oil products	2	75000	8 metal tanks in concrete safety pool 11 double- plated metal tanks placed under ground		75,000,000	7,500,000	6.9
8.	HEP D.D. Pogon te-to, Kuševacka BB, žitnjak		SAVA (686) DUNAV (1170)	production of electric energy	heavy stoking oil	2	18500	steel anks in clay pool		18,500,000	1,850,000	6.3
9.		TOWN	SAVA (686)	production of oil products (lubricants)	oils	2	6370			6,370,000	1,882,500	6.3
		ZAGREB	DUNAV (1170)		stoking oil heavy fuel oil oil based additives		11280 200 975	metal tanks in concrete safety pool		11,280,000 200,000 975,000		

10	DIOKI D.D.	TOWN	SAVA (686)	production of plastics	31% chloric acid	1	90	special steel		90,000		19,050	4.3
				, p				metal tanks in					т.5
	LOKACIJA OKI,	ZAGREB	DUNAV (1170)		40% natrium		95	concrete safety		95,000			
	žitnjak BB							pools					
	JOINT-STOCK				hydroxide		1720			1,720,000			
	COMPANY				2					111201000			
					phenyl-ethylene								
	INA	VILLAGE	CANAL "LONJA -		1 1	0			(				
11	ina Industrija	VILLAGE	STRUG" (94)	crude oil exploitation and transport	crude oil	2		metal tanks (2*5000m <sup>3</sup> ) in	n case of accident, crude				
	NAFTE D.D.		311(00 (94)	u ansport				(2°5000m°) in concrete safety	oil could be				
	ZAGREB							pool	spread over off				
	OIL INDUSTRY	ŽUTICA	SAVA (560)					pool	stream				
									detention				
	JOINT-STOCK		DUNAV (1170)						storage				
10	COMPANY INA	VILLAGE	CANAL "LONJA -	crude oil exploitation and	aruda all	2	1	metal tanks	"Lonisko polje"				
12	INA	VILLAGE	STRUG" (78)	transport	crude oli	2		(4*5000m <sup>3</sup> ) in	In case of accident, crude				
	NAFTE D.D.		51100 (70)	transport				(4 5000m ) In concrete safety	oil could be				
	ZAGREB							pool	spread over off				
	OIL INDUSTRY	STRUŽEC	SAVA (469)					poor	stream				
									detention				
	JOINT-STOCK COMPANY		DUNAV (1170)						storage				
12		VILLAGE	CANAL "LONJA-	crude oil exploitation and	crudo oil	2		metal tanks	"Lonjsko polje" In case of				
13	INDUSTRIJA	VILLAGE		transport		2		(2*5000m <sup>3</sup> ) in	accident, crude				
	NAFTE D.D.							concrete safety	oil could be				
	ZAGREB							pool	spread over off				
	OIL INDUSTRY	LIPOVLJANI	SAVA (469)						stream				
	JOINT-STOCK		DUNAV (1170)						detention				
	COMPANY		DUNAV (1170)						storage "Mokro polie"				
14		VILLAGE	BOSUT (65)	crude oil exploitation and	crude oil	2		metal tanks					
	INDUSTRIJA			transport				(2*5000m <sup>3</sup> ) in					
	NAFTE D.D.							concrete safety					
			SAVA (217)					pool					
	OIL INDUSTRY	DELEIUVU	SAVA (317)										
	JOINT-STOCK		DUNAV (1170)										
L	COMPANY												
15		TOWN	MREŽNICA (3)	textile industry	heavy fuel oil	2	200	metal tank in		200,000		20,000	4.3
	D.D.		N (1)					concrete safety					
	JOINT STOCK	KARLOVAC	Korana (6)					pool					
			KUPA (136)										
1			SAVA (603)										
			DUNAV (1170)							<u> </u>			

16. J.P. TOPI	PLANA TOWN	KUPA (136)	heat energy production	heavy fuel oil	2	950	metal tank in		950,000	95,000	5.0
PUBLIC		C SAVA (603)					concrete safety pool				
ENTERP	PRISE	DUNAV (1170)					poor				
17. HERBOS	S D.D. TOWN	SAVA (603)	production of pesticides	cianuril chloride	1	50	plastic		50,000	2,700	3.4
SISAK			r				containers				5.4
JOINT ST		DUNAV (1170)		natrium		140	metal tank in	10	140,000		
COMPAN	NY			hydroxide			concrete safety pool				
							metal tank in				
							concrete safety				
				isopropilamine		20	pool metal tank in	10	20,000		
				150propilarinine		20	concrete safety	10	20,000		
							pool				
				monoethilamine		20	metal tanks	10	20,000		
				monocumanine		20		10	20,000		
				atrazine		40			40,000		
18. ŽELJEZA SISAK D.		SAVA (603)	production of steel an	chloric acid	1	30	rubber-coated steel metal	50	30,000	1,300	3.1
IRON	SISAK	DUNAV (1170)	steel products	natrium		30		50	30,000		
FOUNDA	ARY			hydroxide			concrete safety				
JOINT-ST COMPAN				sulphuric acid		70	pools	50	70,000		
19. METEOR		JOŠAVA (26)	chemical industry	chloric acid	1	20	metal tank in		20,000	 400	2.6
CHEMICA	AL		ononnoai inaaoli y				concrete safety				2.0
INDUSTR		DID (11)					pool				
JOINT-ST COMPAN		BIÐ (11)					metal tank in concrete safety				
							pool				
		BOSUT (118)		natrium		20			20,000		
		SAVA (317)		hypoklorite							
		DUNAV (1170)									
20. PLIVA D.	.D. TOWN	SAVA (686)		98% sulphuric	1	182	metal tanks in		182,000	 7,830	3.9
PRILAZ	ZAGREB	DUNAV (1170)	chemical industry	acid chloric acid		182	concrete safety pools		182,000		
BARUNA	Ą	231010 (1170)					P0013		102,000		
				notrium		1 - 4			154.000		
JOINT-ST COMPAN				natrium hydroxide		154			154,000		
				ammonium		94			94,000		
				hydroxide							
				chlorine sulfonic acid		171			171,000		

21.		zagrebac. Županija	SAVA (716)	pharmaceutical and food industry	Methanol	1	160	metal tanks in concrete safety pools		160,000	15,920	4.2
	SAVSKI MAROF	(ZAGREB COUNTY)	DUNAV (1170)		acetic acid		104	pools		104,000		
	JOINT-STOCK COMPANY				ethanol		206			206,000		
					acetone heavy fuel oil		122 1000			122,000 1,000,000		
22.		zagrebac. Županija	SAVA (686)	pharmaceutical industry	heavy fuel oil	2	250	metal tank in concrete safety pool		250,000	25,000	4.4
		(ZAGREB COUNTY)	DUNAV (1170)					1				
23.	BELIŠCE D.D.		DRAVA (54)	production of cellulose, containers and paper;	heavy fuel oil	2	10000	metal tank in concrete safety	No direct risk to the river		1,065,000	6.0
	JOINT-STOCK COMPANY	BELIŠCE	DANUBE (1383)	destilation of the woods	chloric acid		350	pool	Danube.	350,000		
					natrium hydroxide		300			300,000		
					sulphur chlorine		3370 10			3,370,000 10,000		
					colouring matter		25			25,000		
24.	INA INDUSTRIJA NAFTE D.D. ZAGREB	VILLAGE	PUTNA	crude oil exploitation and transport	crude oil	2	200000	metal tanks (4*5000m <sup>3</sup> ) in concrete safety pool	No direct risk to the river Danube.	200,000,000	20,000,000	7.3
	OIL INDUSTRY		STARA (3) VUCICA (14) VUCICA (33)					μοσι				
	COMPANY		DRAVA (29) DUNAV (1383)									
25.	TVORNICA Šecera Osijek d.d.	TOWN	DRAVA (12)	production of sugar	waste water from sugar production with organic nitrogen content	1	1999000			1,999,000,000	20,040,000	7.3
	SUGAR FACTORY OSIJEK JOINT-STOCK COMPANY	OSIJEK	DANUBE (1383)		heavy fuel oil							

							5000	2000 t metal tank in concrete safety pool		5,000,000		
26			DRAVA (12) DANUBE (1383)		chloric acid natrium hydroxide	1	100 786	metal tanks		100,000 786,000	36,710	4.6
	JOINT-STOCK COMPANY				tensides		2305			2,305,000		
27.	HEP D.D. "TE- TO"	TOWN	DRAVA (12)	production of electric energy and water steam	stearic acid heavy fuel oil	1	480 5000	metal tanks in concrete safety pool (for heavy fuel oil)		480,000 5,000,000	50,000	4.7
		OSIJEK	DANUBE (1383)		chloric acid			metal tank (10 t) in a concrete safety pool (for sulphuric acid)				
	CROATION ELECTRIC MANAGEMENT COMPANY THERMAL POWER PLANT OSIJEK				natrium hydroxide							
	JOINT-STOCK COMPANY				sulphuric acid							
	COMPANY	TOWN VUKOVAR		footwear and other rubber products	heavy fuel oil	2		2000 t metal tank in concrete safety pool	during the war. Possible direct risk to the river Danube.	2,000,000	200,000	5.3
29	TVORNICA ŠECERA VIROVITICA D.D. SUGAR FACTORY VIROVITICA	TOWN VIROVITICA	MANTEC (9) ŽUPANIJSKI KANAL (26)		waste water from sugar production with organic nitrogen content	1	330 000 m <sup>3</sup> waste water from sugar factory	earthen pool for waste water	No direct risk to the river Danube.	330,000,000	3,300,000	6.5
	JOINT-STOCK COMPANY		DRAVA (125)									

			DANUBE (1383)								
30.	INA INDUSTRIJA NAFTE D.D. ZAGREB	VILLAGE		gas exploitation and transport	sulphids	1	300 m <sup>3</sup> metal tank for gas	No direct risk to the river Danube.	300,000	3,000	3.5
	oil Industry Joint-Stock Company		BISTRA (7) DRAVA (203) DUNAV (1383)		phenols mercury						

Summe 135734760 8.1

Note : (\*) - Metal tanks in concrete safety pools.

In accordance with Croatian regulations the volume of concrete safety pools must be the same as the metal tanks.

## The Hazards and Risks to the Waters cased by War Damage

tion might cause the long term consequences to the soil and water environment. Those cases, such as the spillage of Polychlorinated biphenyls from destroyed elements of the soil and water environment.

# **ARS Bulgaria**

## ARS Bulgaria

# **Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots**

Bulgaria –High risk spots in the Danube River Basin

No	Object /	Location / district	Receiver watercourse /length (km)	Company's object / type of technology used /type of production	Dangerous substances	Toxic properties	Total quantity handled/stored (tones;tones per day)	Storage facilities:	Free operating volume (%)	com- ments	WGK	Total quantity in kg/l	Water risk class equivale nt	Sum of water risk class equivale nts	WRI
	Owner/ User							Tailing deposits/Ponds (total volume in m <sup>3</sup> )							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10					
1.	Liquid fuel storage facilities in the duty-free area of Vidin/ Emelda Textile Sanay Ltd. Vidin	Northeast to the town of Vidin, on the right bank of the Danube river, Vidin region	Danube river (km793)	Loading / unloading station	Petroleum products	2		Tanks - 400 m <sup>3</sup> (4 x50 m <sup>3</sup> and 2x100 m <sup>3</sup> )	30%			400000		40000	4.6
2.	Petrol storage facilities, terminal for petrol and reservoirs/ Ecopetroleum- LtdSofia / Vidin region		Danube river (788)	Liquid fuel storage facilities, terminal and station	Petroleum products	2		Total capacity 6 727 m <sup>3</sup> (2 x2000 m <sup>3</sup> ; 1 x1000 m <sup>3</sup> ; 1 - 700 m <sup>3</sup> ; 1 - 451 m <sup>3</sup> ; 3 - 100 m <sup>3</sup> ; 4 - 50 m <sup>3</sup> ; 2 - 38 m <sup>3</sup> )	30%				400000 100000 70000 45100 10000 5000 3800	633900	5.8
3.	G.Boukovetz/Chiprovetz Tailing pond - Chiprovtzy	T. Chiprovtzy, Montana region	Chiprovska Ogosta river (124), tributary of Danube river; Near the Ogosta dam V=550.10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	Flotation procedure	Suspended solids; Fe, Mn, As, Pb	1	Total capacity 2,8 .10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	Tailing pond Total capacity- 7,6.10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup>		There is a project for conserv ation				76000000	7.9
4.	Chimco AD ; 57%-IBI TRANS OF NEW YORK; 25%-private; 14%-state; 3%- private restituted (restored);	T. Vratza, Vratza region	Dabnika river (km 10 ), tributary of Ogosta river (km 54), tributary of Danube river (km 690)	Production of ammonia, carbamide, carbon disulphide, ammonium disulphide, ammonium water, liquid ?? 2, Ar, gases, liquid gases, , heat and electricity energy production; ICI technology of gas production;	142 l/s waste water with high nitrogen content (as NO <sub>3</sub> ; NO <sub>2</sub> ; NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> )	2									5.3
	1%- others				ammonia	2	300 t	2 spherical tanks with total capacity 2000 m <sup>3</sup> (1200t)	75%					200000	

						Bulgaria			_					
5.	Elisejna EAD; state	Elisejna; Vratza region	Iskar river (km128),	Copper production	25,5 l/s waste	1								4.4
	owned- Tailing pond		tributary of Danube river		water with									
			(km 643)		high content									
					of:									
					nitrogen (as									
					NO2 <sup>-</sup> ),									
					??,COD,									
					conductivity,									
					soluble									
					substances,									
					solid									
					substances,									
					SO <sub>4</sub> ions,									
					heavy metals,									
					As									
					Calcium		14-15 000 t/year	Tailing pond –	Almost	There is			25000	
					sulphite -			Total capacity 250 m <sup>3</sup>	exhausted	a project				
<u>.</u>	Metizy AD;	T. Roman,	Iskar river (km 84),	Steel production	Slag %:	1	10 t / 2000 .	Tailing pond	30%	i i			20000	4.3
	Slag dam	Vratza region	tributary of Danube river	1	F2O3-58,8	-	(14 m <sup>3</sup> /2000 .)	01						
	-	-	(km 643)		CaO-13,2		<b>(</b> ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )							
			Ì Í		$A_2O_3 - 3,5$									
					SO <sub>3</sub> -7,8									
					SiO <sub>2</sub> -1,5									
7	Warmilandai in tactulat	Nienader erste hillet de eff	I	Ores mining and	5102-1,5								2.6E+08	0.4
•	Kremikovtzi -industrial	Near the south hillside of		processing ;									2.0E+08	8.4
	site	Stara Planina mountain,	tributary of Iskar river	steel and several										
		about 13 ?m from Sofia	(185), tributary of											
7.1	Kremikovtzi mine	_''_	Danube river (km 643)	nonferrous products Ores mining and	-Explosive	1		Storage - 480 m <sup>2</sup>	_					
. '	KICHIKOVIZI IIIIIC			processing	materials- area	1		Storage – 480 m						
				processing	$36.10^3 \text{ m}^2$									
								2	_					
					-Explosive	1		Storage – 96 m <sup>2</sup>						
					-ammonium	1		Storage – 120 m <sup>2</sup>						
					nitrate			2						
7.2	Coke chemical plant	-"-	-"-	Coke production; coke	-pits for coal-	2		5 pits - 58 000 m <sup>3</sup>	50 000 m <sup>3</sup>			5000000		
				chemical products	tar - chemical									
					content:									
					Water-3-6%;									
					phenols-1-									
					1,5%; ?-6-									
					10%;									
					naphthalene: 8-									
					12%; antracen									
					- 4-6% and									
					other polycicle									
					hydrocarbons							1		
					Pits for acid	1		40 000 m <sup>3</sup>	20 000 m <sup>3</sup>			2000000		
					tar: Chemical	-		40 000 III	20 000 m			2000000		
					content of acid									
					tar: water									
					tar: water -20%; coal-tar							1		
								1			1			
					,									
					substances-80									

1					ARS Bulga	aria				
					Naphthalene– 6%; ? <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> – 2%; ammonium sulphate – 10% and polycicle hydrocarbons;					
					chemical content: coal- tar 15%; water 5%; coal and coke particles– 80%, S-1%; ash – 3%					
7.2.1	Primary chemical processing plant	_``_	-"-		-crude coal benzene	2 25 m <sup>3</sup> /d	Reservoirs: 1 x 50 m <sup>3</sup> ; 8 x 100 m3; 4 x 200 m3;		500 8000 8000	0
					-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	1 30 t/d	3 x 100 m3; 2 x 15 m3;		300 30	
					-NaOH	1 1 t/d	1 x 15 m3; 1 x 50 m3;		15 50	
					-ethanol amine	1 0,5 t/d	1 x 50 m3;		50	0
					-lubricants	1 8 t/d	1 x 50 m3; 1 x 100 m3		50 100	
					-carbocicle hydrocarbons	1 By request	2 x 18 m3;		36	
					-tar	1 115 t/day	2 x 45 m3; 1 x 100 m3;		90 100	
7.2.2	Tar distillation				-coal –tar	1 130 t/day	Reservoirs: 1 x 100 m3; 1 x 200 m3; 4 x 1000 m3;		100 200 4000	0
					-fire mixture	2 105 t/day	3 x 100 m3; 1 x 200 m3;		3000 2000	0
					-antracene oils	3 -	2 x 400 m3;	2 x 400 m3;	80000	0
					-naphthalene fraction	3 -	3 x 50 m3; 1 x 76 m3;		15000 7600	
					-light oil	2 By request	2 x 18 m3; 2 x 59 m3;		360 1180	0
7.3	Metallurgical fireproof products plant	-"-		Lime and dolomite production	-phosphoric acid	1	Reservoirs: 6 x 10 m3;		60	
7.4	Cold rolled products plant	_"_	-"-	Cold rolled metal products	- H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	1	Reservoirs: 3 x 125 m3;	2 x 125 m3; 2 x 20 m3;	250 40	0
					-HCl	1	2 x 60 m3; 2 x 100 m3;	1 x 100 m3;	100	0
					- solution	0	2 x 300 m3;		60	0
4	1				-used solution	0	2 x 300 m3;		60	

	-	1			ARS	Bulgaria			•		•
7.5	Mechanization and transport	-"-	-"-	Transport	-diesel fuel	2	Reservoirs:				
5.1	New oil station						1 x 313 261 l; 1 x 31 383 l;			31326 31338	
5.2	011-1					2	1 - 21(05 1				
J.Z	Old oil station				- petroleum	2	1 x 31695 1; 1 x 31 470 1;			3170 3147	
					- petroleum	2		Reservoirs:			
								1 x 24520 l; 1 x 10512 l		2452 1051	
	<b>D</b> 11			<b>5</b> 11 1			4.0.0	1 x 10680 1		1068	
.6	Railway management department		-"-	Railway services	-petroleum	2	4 x 8 m3; 1 x 30 m3;			3200 3000	
							$1 \times 10 \text{ m}^3$ ;			1000	
					-heavy	2	Reservoirs:				
					petroleum		3 x 50 m3;			15000	
					-used oils -diesel fuel	2	1 x 5 m3; 1 tank			500	
.7	Energy management	-"-	_''_	Electric energy and	-diesei idei	0	1 talik				
	department			vapor production; repair		Ŭ I					
				and services of el. equipment and water							
.7.1	Heavy petroleum fraction			supply system							
	unit I										
7.2	Heavy petroleum fraction										
	unit II										
.7.3	Water preparatory						Reservoirs:				
.7.0	installation for softening						10301 00113.				
	and desalting ? 1										
							1 x 5000 m3;			5000	
							2 x 1000 m3;			2000	
.7.4	Water preparatory installation for softening						Reservoirs:				
	and desalting ? 2										
							2 5000 2			10000	
							2 x 5000 m3;			10000	
					- H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	1	Tanks: 1 x 60 m3;			600	
							1 x 50 m3;			500	
							1 x 25 m3;			250	
					-NaOH	1	3 x 10 m3;			300	
							1 x 20 m3;			200	
					-salt solution	0	1 x 60 m3; 1 x 100 m3;	<u>├</u> ──┤		600 100	
					san solution	U	6 x 10 m3;			60	
							1 Tank x 100 m3			100	
.8	Chief mechanic	-"-		Equipment and spare							
	directorate			parts production; repair and services							

7.0.4	0:1	I	1	T	ARS E	Bulgaria	1	1	I I	1 1	I	I	I	I
7.8.1	Oil regeneration station													
					-fresh oils	2		Reservoirs : 17 x 25 m3; 1 x 18 m3;				42500 1800		
					-used oils	2		6 x 20 m3;				12000		
7.9	Tailing pond for ore processing and ore- dressing	Lesnovska river - 1 ?m northeast to v. Chelopechene	_"-	Landfill for hazardous waste – slag and waste water from ore processing and ash from filters	Chemical content of the slag: Fe-	1	Slag deposit: 618605 t /year 1999; 309302,5 m <sup>3</sup> Deposit till now – 25005341 t/ 12502670 m <sup>3</sup>	Area – 1847,9 .10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>2</sup>	After the third stage of construction to elevation 539- there will be enough place for storage slag till year 2011.			3093025 1.3E+08		
7.10	Slag dam – Kremikovtzy	Between villages of D. Bogrov, G. Bogrov; Musachevo; near Lesnovska river	- <sup>4</sup> -	Landfill: slag, phenol wastewater , emulsions	Chemical content of slag in % - water – 26,8;Mn- 1,27;Cu-0,21; Cr-0,40; Pb – 0,04; Fb – 0,64; Fe-28,8; Ca – 8,34; Mg – 0,73; Si- 12,06; Al – 1,10; Na- 0,16; K-0,22; Ba-0,35; Ni- 0,03; Chemical content of drainage water: Nitrite – 0,1- 0,48; ammonia – 4-35; phenols – 0- 1,9; cyanides – 0,02-0,78		l Volume of the deposit sludge - 9000000 m <sup>3</sup> ; water volume of sludge - 600000 m <sup>3</sup>	Slag dam– Total capacity about 11.10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	About 1,4.10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup>			1.4E+07		

					ARS I	Bulgaria							
.11	Drainage and storm waste water treatment plant	On the right bank of Lesnovska river Chelopechene village, Sofia region	- "·-	waste water treatment, waste water over -flow treatment	ARS F Hazardous substances – sludge from industrial treated water. Chemical content %: Chemical content %: Fe-32,8; Mn- 0,95; Pb – 0,45; Zn – 0,5; Cu-0,08; S- 0,55; Organic substances – 29,2	3ulgaria 1	Flow- 40 –1000 l/s. About 960 l/s flow to Botunetz lake and use for industrial water supply. Chemical content of treated water: ammonia –0,3-1,56; cyanides – 0,01- 0,08; phenols – 0- 0,05; petroleum products– 2-8; Fe-0,26 – 2,88; Mn- 0,07-0,25; Stored till now : 57000 t/ 14250 m <sup>3</sup>	2 ponds x 7500 m3	5000 m <sup>3</sup>		50000		
								4 drying beds x 3000 m3	5000 m <sup>3</sup>		50000		
.12	Waste water treatment plant	On the right bank of Lesnovska river, 4 km of Kremikovtzi area		Treatment of wastewater from the factory premises (50 l/s). Treatment of municipal waste waters (30 l/s) from Kremikovtzi, Buhovo and Seslavtzi regions.		1	Sludge as a result of treatment. Additional treatment in drying beds situated in the factory premises. Treated sludge is used for manure in agriculture.						
8.0	Plama AD, Town of Pleven	T. Pleven	Vit river (35 ?m), tributary of Danube river (km 616)	•	Petroleum products	2	About 10000 m <sup>3</sup> of petroleum products in tanks	Ponds- total capacity 111 940 m <sup>3</sup> , full with 45 550m <sup>3</sup> water and petroleum products	50%	Possible pollutio n risk in flood	1000000	1455500	6.2
.0	Petroleum product base- Somovit – Petrol AD, Pleven	v. Somovit , Pleven region	Danube river (km 612)	Loading / unloading of petroleum products	Petroleum products	2	About 2 500 m <sup>3</sup> of petroleum products	10 reservoirs.1 000 m <sup>3</sup>	75%	Possible pollutio n risk in flood period	1000000	1000000	6.0
0.0	Ideal standard Bulgaria AD, Vabko Standard trein . – 43,42%; American Standard Inc.– 31,08%; American Standard International Inc. –25,49%	T.Sevlievo, Gabrovo region	Rositza river (39), tributary of Yantra river ( 87), Tributary of Danube river(540)		BaCO <sub>3</sub> Compressor oils	1	20 t 1,075 t	Storage – in paper packages. 50 kg Plastic tanks. 2001 Tanks x 2001			200000	214000	5.3

					ARS	Bulgaria					 			
					Epoxide resin		1400 t					14000		
					and solvents									
					T									
					Ion exchange substances									
					substances			In paper packages. 25						
								kg						
							0,400 t	кg						
1.0	Petrol AD, town of	T. Pavlikeny	Rositza river (69),	Storage, loading/	Petrol	2	2 -	Metal reservoirs	100%				6000	3.
	Pleven		tributary to Yantra river (			_								
		Veliko Tarnovo region	87), Tributary to Danube		Gasoline		20 t		97%			2000		
			river(540)											
					Diesel fuel		40 t		96%			4000		
0.0		The state			Mineral oils			TT 1	100%			10		
2.0	Vidima AD-1	T. Sevlievo,	Rositza river (39),	Plumbing products	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	1	4t	Tank				40	340	2.
		Gabrovo region	tributary of Yantra river (		HCl		4t	Tank				40		
			87), tributary of Danube river(540)		Bisulphite HNO <sub>3</sub>		4t 4t	Tank Tank				40		
			nver(340)		÷			Tank				40		
					NaOH used oils		4t 4t	Tank				40		
					other acids		$10 \text{ m}^3$	reservoir				40 100		
0.0														
3.0	Vidima AD-2	v.Gradnitza , Gabrovo	Vidima river (22),	Plumbing products	$H_2SO_4$	1	l lt	Plastic tanks				10	80	1.
		region	tributary of Rositza river		HCl		lt	Plastic tanks				10		
			(74), tributary of Yantra		NaOH Bisulphite		lt	Plastic tanks Plastic tanks				10		
			river (87), Tributary of Danube river(540)		used oils		1t 4t	Tank				10     40		
4.0	Sugar factory AD	T.Gorna Orjahovitza,	Danube IIver(340)		used ons	1	41	1 dlik				40		
	Sugar factory fub	Veliko Tarnovo region				1								
		venko ranovo region												
	Alcohol factory													
			Second category gully,	Alcohol production	Sludge	-				Waste				
			situated on 1,2 km of							water				
			Yantra river, tributary of							flow				
			Yantra river(120),							-18,45				
			tributary of Danube							1/s				
			river(540)											
15.0	Sugar factory, Energy	-"-	-"-	Heat and energy	Slag and ash	0	30 t / d	600 000 m <sup>3</sup>	10%			600000	600000	5.8
	department			production										
16.0	Sugar Factory ,	-"-	-"-	Sugar production by	Sludge	0	30 t / d	120 000 m <sup>3</sup>	50%			120000	120000	5.1
7.0	Biological drying beds	TT X 1 4 X7 11	D 1 11 71 6	diffusion technology	UCI		26 4	<b>D1</b>				260	(2792	
7.0	"Arkus" AD	T. Ljaskovetz, Veliko	Broda gully, 5 km of	Mechanical and thermal	HCl,	1	36 t	Plastic tanks (4 m <sup>3</sup> )				360	62783	4.8
		Tarnovo region	discharging in Dobridjalsky dam,	processing of metals;	$H_2SO_4$ ,		4 t					40		
				metal production	NaOH,		11 t					110		
			tributary of Yantra river		Calcinated		5 t	Tanks (50, 100, 2001)				50		
			(107), tributary of		paint and		9 t					90		
			Danube river(540)		oils,									
					acetone,		92 t					920		
					technical									
					alcohol,									
					petrol,		0,080 t	Fuel and petroleum						
					11 61		0.500.4	products storage				-		
					diesel fuel,		0,500 t	Tank				5		
					heavy									
					petroleum									
					fractions		0.256 t	Tank				2		
							0,256 t 148 t	Tank Tank	50%			3 1480		
		1	1	1	1		1+0 L	1 dlik	50%	1		1480		1
							1567 t	Reservoirs				15670		

					ARS B	ulgaria							
						0		4 tanks with total			2000	00	
					Ba(Cl) <sub>2</sub> ,	1	0,125 t	capacity 2000 t Storage for chemicals	70%			0	
					$Fe_2O_5$ ,		2,572 t						
					Hg, SnCl <sub>4</sub> ,		0,008 t 0,084 t						
					KOH,		0,122 t						
					Copper		0,066 t						
					Sodium nitrate,		1,000 t						
					Sodium sulfite,								
					Chromic		0,542 t						
					Zn(Cl) <sub>2</sub>		0,075 t					5	
					H		1,000 t					.0	
					Hazardous	3						1	
					Used								
					Sludge from		20 t	Storage			2000	00	
					Sludge		3 t	Drying beds			300	00	
18.0	Sviloza AD,	T. Svishtov	Danube river (558 ?m)	-sulphate bleached	Paint	0	1 t 4 t	Temporary place			100		
8.0	Sviioza AD,	1. Svisntov	Danube river (558 ?m)	cellulose;	Paint	0	4 t	Storage	-			4 1.8E+07	7.3
	and slag dam			-synthetic silk;			0,25 t/d						
				<ul> <li>electric energy production;</li> </ul>	Lubricants	0	4 t	Storage	-			4	
				-heat production			0,31 t/d						
					Heavy	2	150 t	Reservoirs	3800 m <sup>3</sup>		3800	00	
					petroleum		16,5 t/d	capacity 4000 m <sup>3</sup>	2				
					Sodium hydroxide	1	220 t 70 t/d	Reservoirs capacity 2390 m <sup>3</sup>	2000 m <sup>3</sup>		2000	00	
					HCl	1	320 t	Reservoirs	-				
							9,6 t/d	capacity 320 m <sup>3</sup>			320	00	
					Sodium	1	100 t	Storage	-		100	00	
					chlorate SO <sub>2</sub>	1	3,8 t/d 20 t	Reservoir	<b>50</b> 3		50	0	
					$50_2$	1	20 t 0,23 t/d	capacity 100 m3	$50 \text{ m}^3$		50	0	
					$H_2SO_4$	1	500 t	Reservoir	1300 m <sup>3</sup>		130	00	
							1,6 t/d	capacity 1800 m3					
					Chlorine acetic acid	1	24 t 2,6 t/d	Storage	-		24	40	
					Carbon	1	80 t	Reservoirs	400 m <sup>3</sup>		400	00	
					disulphide		4,77 t/d	capacity 500 m <sup>3</sup>					
					Ammonia	2	32 t	Refrigerators- 90 t	-		900	00	
					Slag and ash	0	0,33 t/d 1500000 t	Slag dam	1,6 . 10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	Side by	16000	00	
					Sing and ash	5	107 t/d	$3 \cdot 10^6 \text{ m}^3$	1,0.10 11	side	10000	~	
					Gas purification	1	68500 t 18 t/d	Slag dam 3 . 10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	1,6. 10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	storage and	160000	00	
9.0	Toploficatcia Veliko	T. Veliko Tarnovo	From sewerage system to	Heat production	Heavy	2	1400, 80	Reservoir 7400	80%	<u> </u>		+	6.0
	Tarnovo EAD, state	Veliko Tarnovo region	Yantra river (132),	restored	petroleum	-	,	Concrete reservoir -	0070		9500	950000	0.0
	owned		tributary of Danube river		fractions			9500					
20.0	Toploficatcia – Gabrovo		Yantra river (177),	Heat and electricity	Heavy	2	700 t	$12\ 000\ m^3$	93%		12000	00 1200000	6.1
	EAD, state owned	region	tributary of Danube river	production	petroleum			1	1	1 1		1	

1.0	Tonel Ltd.	T. Polsky Trambesh,	Eliya river (8), tributary	Trade of petroleum	Petroleum	Bulgaria 2	20 t	Reservoir – 1800 m <sup>3</sup>	90%		180000	2150000	6.3
		Veliko Tarnovo region	of Yantra river	products	products								
.0	Agromedica- Chimsnab			Trade of liquid chemicals	-	1	None	Reservoirs :				11500	4.
	AD, (Joint – stock company)	Veliko Tarnovo region	tributary of Danube river (540)					6 x 100 m3= 600 m3			6000		
	(John Stock company)		(540)					0 x 100 m5- 000 m5			0000		
								11 x 50 m3= 550 m3			5500		
3.0	"Trema" AD	T. Tryavna, Gabrovo	Trevnenska river (13),	Mechanical processing of	$H_2SO_4$	1	Tank - 3 m <sup>3</sup>	Tank - 3 m <sup>3</sup>		Trema	30	3090	3.
	(Joint - stock company)	region	tributary of Yantra	munitions	HCl	1	"	"		does not	30		
			river(138), tributary of Danube river(540)		N-OU	1	"	"		have tanks	20		
			Danube IIver(340)		NaOH	1				larger	30 3000		
1.0				<b>.</b>	Cr <sup>6+</sup>	3	000	3		than		25500	
4.0	"Orgachim" AD	East industrial area of Rousse,	Danube river	Paint, varnish, synthetic	-octanol		1 200 t ; -	250 m <sup>3</sup>			2500	27500	4.
	(Joint - stock company)	Rousse region	(?m 488.800)	resin									
	(voine stoon company)	riousse region	( 1001000)										
						1	L						
					-o-xilol		600 t; 60 t/d	2500 3	2504		25000		
- 0	Toul dilate a Damas		Dennelse viewe	<b>**</b> . <b>1 1</b> . • •				2500 m <sup>3</sup>	25%			1102000	
5.0	Toplofikatzia Rousse ?? D,	t. Rousse, Rousse region	Danube river	Heat and electricity production	-HCl		1 120 t;2 t/day	$240 \text{ m}^3$	50%		2400	1103890	6.
	state owned		(?m 489)	production		_**_							
					- NaOH	1	l 110 t;1,8 t/day	25 m <sup>3</sup>	50%		250		
						-"-							
					-FeCl <sub>3</sub>		0 77 t;0,4 t/day	124 m <sup>3</sup>	60%		1240		
						Highly							
						flammable							
					-heavy	liquids	2 2558 t; 5,5 t/day	$2 \times 3000 \text{ m}^3$	30%		600000		
					petroleum	-	u	2 X 5000 III	2070		000000		
					fractions								
								1 x 5000 m <sup>3</sup>			500000		
6.0	"Orgachim" AD	West industrial area of	Beli Lom river (5),	Paints, varnish, synthetic	-octanol	1	l 83t;15t/day	100 m <sup>3</sup>			1000	1700	3.2
	(Inint stark company)	the town of Rousse,	tributary of Roussenski	resin									
	(Joint – stock company)	Rousse region	Lom river (29), Tributary of Danube river (490)										
			of Danabe river (490)										
					-solvent	(	50 t; 8t/day	700 m <sup>3</sup>			700		
7.0	"Zhiti"AD (Joint - stock		-"-	Metal products	$-H_2SO_4$	1	1 147 t; 1t/day	100 m <sup>3</sup>	20%		1000	1000	3.0
3.0	company) "Balkan- Pharma"AD	Rousse region T. Razgrad, Razgrad	Beli Lom river (58),	Production of drugs and	-HNO.		l 36 t;	100 m <sup>3</sup>	70%		1000	1055600	(
	(Joint – stock company)	region	tributary of Roussenski	pharmaceutical materials	-111(03		1 0,39 t/day	100 m	7070		1000	1055000	6.
	(John – slock company)	- 8	Lom river (29), tributary	r		1	10,59 1/uay						
			of Danube river (490)		-HCl	1	1 120 t ; 0,72 t/day	160 m <sup>3</sup>	35%		1600		
						1	I						
					-butylacetate	1	70 t; 2 t/d	300 m <sup>3</sup>	80%		3000		
					haarm		2100 4	10.500 3	0004		1050000		
					-heavy petroleum	4	2 2100 t; -	10 500 m <sup>3</sup>	80%		1050000		
					fractions								
9.0	"Amilum-Bulgaria" AD	T. Razgrad, Razgrad	Lipnik dam near Beli	Corn processing	-HCl	1	l 213 t;	378 m <sup>3</sup>	50%		3780	16460	4.
	(Joint - stock company)	region	Lom river (62), tributary				6,84 t/per day						
			of Roussenski Lom river										
	1	1	(29), Tributary of		1	_**_	1						

			ARS E	Bulgaria							
	1	Danube river (490)	-NaOH		268 t;	378 m <sup>3</sup>	50%		2680		l
					7,29 t/day						
				Highly							
				flammable							l
				liquids							l
			-industrial	2	90 t; -	100 m <sup>3</sup>	50%		10000		ł
			gasoline and								ł
			petrol								l
											l
											j

Abbreviation: t. =>Town

v. =>Village

Summe 3.7E+08 8.6

#### THE INVENTORY OF THE USERS WITH STORAGE CAPACITY OF POTENTIALLY POLLUTING SUBSTANCES IN CRISURI HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN

No.		Location/	Receiving		Type of	Toxic	Storage	Total quantity	WRC	WR-3	Summ	WRI
	Company/ owner	district	watercourse;	activity field	dangerous	properties WRC	facilities	handled/				
	CNCAF											
	MINVEST, SC											
	Devamin SA,			ores mining and								
	Branch Mine Brad			processing/	cyanides, heavy			8,700,000 m <sup>3</sup>				
		Brad/		flotation	metals (Pb, Zn,		flatland pond;	~ 10,875,000				
1		Hunedoara	Crisul Alb (41,5)	procedures	Cu, Mn)	R50-53	slag made dam		1	108,750,000	108,750,000	8.0
-	CNCAF	Tuncubara		procedures		100 00		101103	· · ·	100,700,000	100,730,000	0.0
	MINVEST, SC			nonferrous ores								
	Devamin			mining and								
	SA,Branch Mine			processing/	cyanides, heavy			2,553,000 m3				
	Baita - UP Baita.		Crisul Baita(13)	flotation	metals (Pb, Zn,		flatland pond;	~ 3,191,250				
2	,	Stei/ Bihor	CrisulNegru (27)	procedures	Cu)	R50-53	slag made dam		1	31,912,500	31,912,500	7.5
		Biller		procedures		isk Spots	blag made dam	tonoo	1	01,012,000	01,012,000	1.0
-	CNCAF Minvest,						mine waters:					
	SC Devamin SA,						unsuitable					
	Branch Mine Brad						capacity of					
		Brad/		copper and gold	heavy metals (Pb,		wastewater					
3		Hunedoara	Crisul Alb (31.5)	ore mining	Zn, Cu, Mn)	R51-53	treatment		2			
	CNCAF Minvest,			Ŭ								
	SC Devamin SA,		Valea	copper and gold			coastal pond;	storage				
	EM Brusturi - UP		Banestilor (0,6)	ore mining and	heavy metals (Pb,		slag dam	495000 m <sup>3</sup> ~				
4	Lucsoara (P)	Halmagiu/ Arad	Crisul Alb (70)	processing	Zn, Cu)	R51-53	745000 m <sup>3</sup>	618750*	1		6,187,500	6.8
			Valea lui Vasile		. ,							
			(10)									
	SC Bauxita Min		Topa (25)	bauxite ore mining			coastal pond					
	SA Dobresti pond		Holod (37)	and processing/	susspended solids		dam 3800000					
5	I (P)	Dabresti/ Bihor	Crisul Negru(80)	flotation	containing Fe	R52	m³	1,800,000	1		18,000,000	7.3
	SC Nutrientul SA											
	Ciumeghiu Farm		Channel Barmond		oxigen consumer							
6	(S)	Palota/ Bihor	(0,6)	chicken farm	substances	R52	earth dam	7200*	1		72,000	4.9
1												
					suspensions,							
					oxygen consumer							
	RA Apaterm,				substances, heavy							
	cleaning station				metals (Cu, Zn,							
	and biological		Crisul	cleaning urban	Cr), cyanides,		2 biological					
7	pond (S)	Oradea/ Bihor	Repede(121)	wastewaters	phenols	R50-53	ponds		3			

SC Sinteza SA, 8 luquid storage (P)	Oradea/ Bihor	Crisul Repede(129,1)	producing of inorganic pigments	heavy metals (Cu, Zn, Cr), cyanides	R50-53	dig out and sealed pnd 590000m <sup>3</sup>	437500*	1		4375000	6.6
SC Suinprod SA, 9 biological pond (S)		Crisul Repede(125)	intensive livestock	suspensions, oxygen consumer substances, ammonium, suspensions	R52	2 biological ponds		2			
SN Petrom SA, Branch Suplacu 10 de Barcau (S)	Suplacu de Barcau/ Bihor	Barcau (50,5)	oil extraction	oil products, chlorides, phenols, ammonium	R50-53	oil residues pond 40000 m <sup>3</sup>	43750*	1		437,500	5.6
SN Petrom SA, Branch Suplacu de Barcau and 11 Gas Marghita (S)	Marghita/ Bihor	Barcau (77)	oil and gas extraction	oil products, chlorides, phenols	R50-53	oil residues pond 131000 m <sup>3</sup>	140000*	1		1400000	6.1
	Suplacu de	Barcau (51,6)		oil products, phenols, ammonium	R50-53	oil residues pond 40000 m <sup>3</sup> ; pond for dangerous situations 13200 m <sup>3</sup>	40000* 12500*	1	52500	525,000	5.7
SC Petrol Derna 13 SA (P)	Derna	Valea Derna (1,9)	mineral oil and bitumen producing	oil products residues, phenols, ammonium	R50-53	oil residues pond	5875*	1	5,875	58,750	4.8

\* approximation of quantity (tones) multiplying the storage capacity (m<sup>3</sup>) with a density of 1.25 g/cm<sup>3</sup>

#### THE INVENTORY OF THE USERS WITH STORAGE CAPACITY OF POTENTIALLY POLLUTING SUBSTANCES IN MURES HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN

No.	Company/ owner		Receiving watercourse;	Company's activity field	Type of dangerous	Toxic properties WRC		Total quantity handled/	WRC	WR-3	Summ	WRI
	· · · · · ·						slag made					
	SC BICAPA SA	Tarnaveni/		inorganic salts	CN⁺			storage				
1	(pond) (P)	Mures	Tarnava Mica(136)	preparation	Cr <sup>6+</sup>	R50-53	pond	1,000,000	1		10,000,000	7.0
							stalling pond					
					heavy metals		valley with					
	EM ABRUD		V. Sesei(22)		acid solution		anrocaments	storage				
2	(pond) (P)	Abrud Alba	Aries(66)	nonferrous	suspensions	R50-53	dam	27,568,400	1		275,684,000	8.4
								storage				
	EM Rosia		V.Salistei(22)		heavy metals			6666700 m <sup>3</sup> ~				
	Montana (pond)	Rosia Montana/	Abrud(17)	gold ores mining	acid solution		valley with rock					
3	(P)	Alba	Aries(49)	and processing	suspensions	R50-53	dam	407000	1		87,403,750	7.9
			V.Cusii(1)	gold and complex								
	EM Baia de Aries	Baia de Aries/	V.Sartas(5)	ores mining and	cyanides		valley pond with					
4	(pond) (P)	Alba	Aries(74)	processing	suspensions	R50-53	slag made dam	436,000	1		4,360,000	6.6
								storage				
								8,000,000;				
				gold and complex				operation				
	EM Coranda	Certej/	Certej(16)	ores mining and	suspensions	_	valley pond with					
5	Certej (pond) (P)	Hunedoara	Mures(484)	processing	mine waters	R50-53	slag made dam	4800000 tones	1	12,800,000	128,000,000	8.1
						isk Spots				T		1
		/			compounds of							
	SC AZOMURES	Tg. Mures/		mineral fertilizers	phosphorous and	5.50		050000				
6	SA pond (P)	Mures	Mures (201)	production	nitrogen	R52	flatland pond	250000*	1		2,500,000	6.4
				a a dia manana kata minta	chloride; NH <sup>4+</sup> ;							
	SC UPSOM SA	Ocna Mures/	Maria (000)	sodium - chloride	NH <sup>3+</sup>	DEO	flational manal	740750*	1		7 407 500	
1	pond (P)	Alba	Mures (306)	production ferrous ores and	NH	R52	flatland pond	718750*	1		7,187,500	6.9
		Paiacara (6 km										
		Baisoara (6 km NV from Iara)/		cuartz sand			coastal clay	atorago				
0	EM lorg pand (D)	,	lara	mining and processing	suspensions; Fe <sup>2+</sup>	R50	pond	storage 4546000	1		45,460,000	7.7
8	EM lara pond (P)	Cluj	lara	complex and gold	suspensions; re	R50	pona	4546000	1		45,460,000	1.1
	EM Zlatna pond			ore mining and	heavy metals;		coastal pond;	storage				
	(P)	Zlatna/ Alba	Ampoi (24)	processing	suspended solids	R50-53		•	1		2500000	6.4
Э	(· )			processing	Suspended Solids	1.00-00		200000 111	- 1		2300000	0.4
				complex								
		Deva/	V. Devei (1)	nonferrous mining	heavy metals		flatland pond;	storage				
	EM Deva pond (P)		Mures (490)	processing		R52; R51-53	slag made dam	U I	1		118750000	8.1

	biological pond (S)			hogs farm		R52	4 biological ponds	393750*	1		3,937,500	6.6
* ар <b>No.</b>		Location/	Receiving	apacity (m <sup>3</sup> ) with a Company's activity field	density of 1.25 g/cm Type of dangerous	3 Toxic properties WRC	Storage facilities	Total quantity handled/	WRC	WR-3	Summ	WRI
1	National Company of Uranium SA Bucharest Banat Oravita Department(P)	Oravita CS	Jitin (12) Caras (30) Lisava (20 Caras (14)	uranium mining	water mine with natural uranium and radium 226; NaOH	R45 R21	storage basin Lisava; storage basin Ciudanovita	625* 250* 0.720	1		8760	3.9
2	Department of "Group for the program of mining closure" Deva - Sasca mine (P)	Sasca CS	Nera (47)	nonferrous ores mining	floating slag with Cu, Ni, Mn, Pb, Zn	R50-53	flatland pond; slag made dam	4,195,987	1		41,959,870	7.6
3		Moldova Noua CS	Danube (1044 km navigable)	nonferrous ores mining	floating slag with Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn	R50-53	Lunca Dunarii pond	37,123,000	1		371,230,000	8.6
4		Ciclova Montana CS	Ciclova (30) Caras (5)	food industry	spoiled beer		metallic tanks 4 x 400 hl; 1 x 100 hl	170	0	) 170	170	2.2

\* approximation of quantity (tones) multiplying the storage capacity (m3) with a density of 1.25 g/cm3

#### THE INVENTORY OF THE USERS WITH STORAGE CAPACITY OF POTENTIALLY POLLUTING SUBSTANCES IN SOMES-TISA HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN

No.		Location/	Receiving	Company's	Type of	Toxic	Storage	Total quantity	WRC	WR-3	Summ	WRI
	Company/ owner	district	watercourse;	activity field	dangerous	properties WRC	facilities	handled/				
								storage 3 x 20				
	SC TERAPIA SA	Cluj-Napoca/	Somesul Mic (82)					m <sup>3</sup> ~ 3 x 25				
1	(P)	Cluj	Somes (244)	drugs production	cyanides	R50	3 decianuration	=75*	2	2	8000	3
				precious metal								
				mining and	cyanides, heavy							
	SC AURUL SA	Baia Mare/	Lapus (5,2)	processing/	metals (Pb, Zn,		flatland pond;					
2	(P)	Maramures	Somes (85)	cianuration	Cu, Mn)	R50-53	slag made dam	2,400,000	1		24,000,000	7
				nonferrous ores								
				mining and								
			Cisla (9,8)	processing/								
	SM BORSA Colbu		Viseu (63)	flotation			flatland pond;					
3	Pond (P)	Maramures	Tisa (59)	procedures	heavy metals	R50-53	slag made dam	2,880,000	1		28,800,000	7
				nonferrous ores								
			Novat (10)	mining and								
			Vaser(12)	processing/								
	SM BORSA Novat		Viseu (41)	flotation			flatland pond;					
4	Pond (P)	Maramures	Tisa (59)	procedures	heavy metals	R50-53	slag made dam	1,810,000	1		18,100,000	7
				nonferrous ores								
	SM BAIA MARE			mining and								
	UP Central			processing/	cyanides, heavy							
	Flotation Unit,	Baia Mare/	Lapus (5,2)	flotation	metals (Pb, Zn,		flatland pond;					
5	UPSasar (P)	Maramures	Somes (95)	procedures	Cu, Mn)	R50-53	slag made dam	41,000,000	1		410,000,000	8
							reservoir;					
	SC ALLIED		Sasar (13,5)	nonferrous	heavy		sludge basin					
	DEALS PHOENIX	Baia Mare/	Lapus (6)	smelting, reactive		R14R21	2600 m <sup>3</sup> ~	3250		32500		
6	SA (P)	Maramures	Somes (95)	production	acid	R50-53	3250*	10000	1	100000	132,500	5
				nonferrous ores								
				mining and								
	SM BAIA MARE		Sasar (19)	processing/								
	BM Baia Sprie	Baia Sprie/	Lapus (6)	flotation			flatland pond;					
7	(pond) (P)	Maramures	Somes (95)	procedures	heavy metals	R50-53	slag made dam	13,221,000	1		132,210,000	8
				nonferrous ores								
				mining and								
	SM BAIA MARE		Cavnic (24,5)	processing/								
	EM Cavnic (pond)	Cavnic/	Lapus (37,7)	flotation			flatland pond;					
8	(P)	Maramures	Somes (95)	procedures	heavy metals	R50-53	slag made dam	850,000	1		8,500,000	6
							intermedia-ry					
							storage for					
							packed silt;					
		Baia Mare					mine waters;					
	EM AURUM Ilba	(Ilba)/	llba (7)	nonferrous ores	heavy metals from		unproper					
9	Sector (P)	Maramures	Somes (84,5)	processing	mine waters	R50-53	purification		1			

		-						-				
			Firiza(5)				mine waters;					
	SM BAIA MARE-		Sasar(14)				reduced and					
	EM Herja (pond)	Baia Mare/	Lapus(6)	nonferrous ores			unproper					
10	(P)	Maramures	Somes (95)	processing	heavy metals	R50-53	purification		1			
				complex								
	CMNPN REMIN		Turt (18)	nonferrous ores			2,200,000 m <sup>3</sup> ~					
	BAIA MARE- EM		Tur (68)	mining without	heavy metals (Cu,	R 43, 47, 50	2,750,000					
11	Turt (pond) (P)	Turt/ Satu Mare	Tisa (820)	processing	Pb, Zn)	R 54-58	tones*	2,750,000	1		27,500,000	7.4
	<i><b>u</b> <i>i v i</i></i>		V.Rosie(3,5)				mine waters;					
		Baia Mare	Baita(12)				reduced and					
	EM AURUM	(Nistru)/	Lapus(2)	nonferrous ores	heavy metals from		unproper					
12	Nistru Section (P)	Maramures	Somes (95)	processing	mine waters	R50-53	purification		1			
							stalling pond:	6,300,000 slag				
							valley - type	(at final quota				
				nonferrous ores			pond, with main	+740 m)				
	CMNPN REMIN			mining and			dam built on	2,737,930				
	SA BAIA MARE-			processing			slag and	stored				
	Mining Sub-sidiary	Rodna/ Bistrita	Somesul Mare	(Zn,Pb); storage	heavy metals (Pb,		aditional toc	quantity, until		7,875,000		
			(560)	of the salg	Zn)	R50-53	dam	+720m quota	1	3,422,412 *	112,974,120	8.1
				nonferrous ores								
				mining and								
	SM BAIA MARE-			processing/								
	EM Baiut (pond)	Baiut/	Lapus(110)	flotation			flatland pond;					
14	(P)	Maramures	Somes (95)	procedures	heavy metals	R50-53	slag made dam	3,650,000	1		36,500,000	7.6
					organic							
	SC SOMES SA,			pulp and paper	substances,							
		Dej/ Cluj	Somes (233)	processing	lignine, tanine	R52	siltstorage	110	1		1,100	3.0
	SC COMINEX											
	NEMETALIFERE											
	SA-Mining Sub-		Nadas(20)	caolin sands and								
	sidiary Aghires		Somesul Mic (82)	metalurgic sands								
	(ponds) (P)	Aghires/ Cluj	Somes (244)	mining	suspensions	R52	3 stalling ponds	100,000	1		1,000,000	6.0
	SC AGRO-											
	COMSUIN - SA				organic							
	BONTIDA				substances,							
	(biological ponds)		Somesul Mic (42)		amonium		4 biologic					
17	(S)	Bontida/ Cluj	Somes (244)	pig farm	suspensions	R52	ponds	36,000	1		360,000	5.6
						isk Spots		1				
					oxygen							
				sugar processing	consummer							
			Postei (2)	from sugar beet	substances;		<b>1</b>					
	SC ZAHARUL SA		Crasna (53)	by diffusion	susspended solids	_	flatland pond					
18	(P)	Mare	Tisa (820)	technology	(CCOCr)	R52	120000 m <sup>3</sup>	95625*	1		956,250	6.0

			1	1	1		1					
19	(S)	Moftin/ Satu Mare	Crasna (66) Tisa (820)	rising hogs	oxygen consummer substances; susspended solids (CCOCr), phenols		flatland pond 6000 m <sup>3</sup>	3500*	1		35,000	0 4.5
* app	proximation of quan	tity (tones) multi	plying the storage c	apacity (m3) with a	density of 1.25 g/cm	3						
No.		Location/	Receiving	Company's	Type of	Toxic	Storage	Total quantity	WRC	WR-3	Summ	WRI
	Company/ owner	district	watercourse;	activity field	dangerous	properties WRC	facilities	handled/				
1	SC ANTIBIOTICE IASI (P)	lasi/ lasi	Bahlui (19) / Jijia (6)	0	organic substances; ammonium; chlorides; miceles	R28, R50-53	washing waters from ionic filters in 2 tanks	0.6 0.3		0.3 0.10 0.6 3 0.3	1,325.0	
No.		Location/	Receiving	Company's	Type of	Toxic	Storage	Total quantity	WRC	WR-3	Summ	WRI
	Company/ owner	district	watercourse;	activity field		properties WRC	facilities	handled/				
	SC FIBREX NYLON SA/			polyamide,	Ammonium nitrate, Ammonium sulfate, Cyclohexanon, Cyclohexanol, Cyclohexane, Benzene, Hydroxyl anime sulfate, Oleum, Chloride acid, Nitric acid, Residues containing	R26-27, R23, R52, R52, R51, R52, R11, R10, R11, R52, R34, R34, R24, R23, R26-27, R39-23-25 R50		470 129 4632 120 60 320 284 330 0 70 153 1257 169 54 70 166 323 1306		2 470 129 4632 120 60 320 284 330 0 70 153 1257 169 54 70 166 323 131		
		Savinesti Neamt	UHE Chanel (8 ) Bistrita (55)	ammonium sulfate			reservoirs				873800	5.9
	SC AZOCHIM SA/ SC	Savinesti Neamt	UHE Chanel (8 ) Bistrita (55)	chemical fertilizers with	Ammonia Nitrogen acid Chloride acid Sodium hydroxide Hydrazine Carbon	R23 R34 R34 R27-28 R55 R55	reservoirs; plastic containers	372 263 90 72 0.06 0.16		372 263 90 72 0.06 0.16	797/	

						R55	reservoirs 2 x	100	2	100		
					Ethylene	R50	50 tones ;	3.7		0.37		
					carbonate	R25	plastic	0.3		0.003		
					Dibenzol		containers;					
	SC MELANA SA	Savinesti	UHE Chanel (8)	acrylic fibers	isocyanate		plastic					
3	(P)	Neamt	Bistrita (55)	producing	Polyethylene glycol		containers				10037	4.0
				producing and	Sodium nitrate	R6	sacs; plastic	32		32		
				trading of fi-bers,	Sodium chloride	R25	containers;	1.4		1.4		
				type wool and			metallic	185		185		
		Savinesti	UHE Chanel (8)	cotton from			reservoirs					
4	SC REFIL SA (P)	Neamt	Bistrita (55)	chemical fibers							2190	3.3
						R34	reservoirs	2171	1	2171		
					Sulfuric acid,	R34		1500		1500		
					Phosphoric acid,	R34		37*		37		
				phosphoric acid,	Chloride acid,	R27/28		70		70		
				sulfuric acid and		R11		5000		5000		
	SC SOFERT SA			chemical fertilizer	Ammonia oil,	R23		12000		12000		
5	Bacau (P)	Bacau	Bistrita (2)	producing	Ammonia formol	R55		120		120	208980	5.3
					Organic sludge,	R53	01	2 x 107500*		1075		
	SC				Microelements	R58	86000 m <sup>3</sup> and 1	89250*		1075		
	CHIMCOMPLEX	Borzesti			(Cr, Yn, Fe)		reservoir			893		
6	SA Borzesti (P)	Bacau	Trotus (60)	chemical industry	inactive isomers		71400 m <sup>3</sup>				30430	4.5
						R40/20/22	waste carbon		1			
						R26/27	and waste ca-					
						R52	talyst ponds					
							Wastewater					
	SC CAROM SA	Onesti			organic matters,		and sludge					
7	Onesti (P)	Bacau	Trotus (60)	synthetic rubber	phenols, metals		pond					
					•	R11			1	1593		
					Phenols sludge	R26/27				1593		
	SC RAFO SA	Onesti		oil products	containing	R52	3 sludge pond			1593		
8	Onesti (P)	Bacau	Trotus (60)	processing	microelements		127400 m <sup>3</sup>	3 x 159250*			47790	4.7

\* approximation of quantity (tones) multiplying the storage capacity (m<sup>3</sup>) with a density of 1.25 g/cm<sup>3</sup>

#### THE INVENTORY OF THE USERS WITH STORAGE CAPACITY OF POTENTIALLY POLLUTING SUBSTANCES IN ARGES HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN

No.		Location/	Receiving	Company's	Type of	Toxic	Storage	Total quantity	WRC	WR-3	Summ	WRI
	Company/ owner	district	watercourse;	activity field	dangerous	properties WRC	facilities	handled/				
					Organic	R52,			1,			
					substances,	R52,			1,			
					Suspensions,	R52,			1,			
					Chlorides, Sulfats,	R52,			1,			
					Ammonium,	R25, R52,			1,			
					Cyanides,	R50,			2,			
					Phenols,	R50-53,			3,			
					Detergents, Oil	R50-53			3			
					products,							
					Fe,							
					Cr6+,							
					Cu,							
	SN PETROM				Zn,							
	ARPECHIM			petrochemical	Cd							
1	Pitesti (P)	Pitesti/ Arges	Dambovnic (62)	industry								

#### THE INVENTORY OF THE USERS WITH STORAGE CAPACITY OF POTENTIALLY POLLUTING SUBSTANCES IN JIU HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN

No.		Location/	Receiving	Company's	Type of	Toxic	Storage	Total quantity	WRC	WR-3	Summ	WRI
	Company/ owner	district	watercourse;	activity field	dangerous	properties WRC	facilities	handled/				
				Chemical					I			
				fertilizers								
				producing;								
				Methanol								
				producing				665 to/day and				
				facilities;				storage 3200				
				Ammonium	Methanol			900to/day and				
				producing	Ammonium;			storage 15000				
				facilities; Urea	Ammonium			900 to/day and				
				facilities;	chloride;			storage 10000-				
				Ammonia	Urea;	R28, R50	reservoirs 5	15000	2	67 + 320		
				producing	ammonia	R25, R52	tones	900 to/day and	1	9 + 150		
	SN PETROM			facilities; Nitric	carbonate;	R25, R52	storage deposit	storage 4500	1	9 +150		
	DOLJCHIM		Jiu Amaradia	acid producing	ammonium nitrate;	R25, R52	storage deposit	720 to/day and	1	9 +45		
1	Craiova (P)	Craiova/ Dolj	collector sewer	facilities	Acid waters	R25, R52	wagons (4,500)	storage 3600	1	7 + 36	8020	3.9

#### THE INVENTORY OF THE USERS WITH STORAGE CAPACITY OF POTENTIALLY POLLUTING SUBSTANCES IN IALOMITA-BUZAU HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN

No.	Location/	Receiving	Company's	Type of	Toxic	Storage	Total quantity	WRC	WR-3	Summ	WRI
Company/ owner	district	watercourse;	activity field	dangerous	properties WRC	facilities	handled/				
SC ELECTRICA	Fieni/		producing of			underground					
1 (P)	Dambovita	lalomita	electric lamps	galvanic slag	R52	storage	110	1		1100	3.0
			light sources and								
			accessories			underground					
2 SC ROMLUX (P)	Targoviste	sewerage	production	chrome, fluor	R50-52	sealed storage	18	2		1,800	3.3
WINCONSIN											
TURNING	Targoviste/		machinery			metallic					
3 SYSTEM (P)	Dambovita	sewerage	contruction	galvanic slag	R50-52	containers	28	2		2,800	3.4
						2 pottling pondo					
	Torres lists /					2 settling ponds					
	Targoviste/	114		:	DEO	with comparti-	45			4 500	0.7
4 SC COS (P)	Dambovita	llfov	metallurgy	acids	R52	ments	45	2		4,500	3.7
						6metallic con- tainers of 50	88*				
						2	and stored		9		
ROMARM Mija	Mija/		machinery	chromic and			6 x 6.25*		37.5		
5 department (P)	Dambovita	Neagra	construction	cyanide slag	R50;R52	basin 50m <sup>3</sup>	6.25*	2	0.6	4,700	3.7
ROMARM Moreni	Moreni/					metallic					
6 department (P)	Dambovita	Cricovul Dulce	defence industry	galvanic slag	R52	reservoirs	336	1		3,360.0	3.5
SNP Petrom	Dambovita			gaivarile slag	1.52	sealed ponds;				3,300.0	0.0
Petrobrazi			oil products			drying concrete	62500*				
7 department (P)	Brazi/ Prahova	onds, drying beds	processing	oil residues		beds	2200*	1		647,000	5.8
	Diazi, i fallova		proceeding		1102 00	metallic	225000 and			011,000	0.0
					R10; R23; R36;		stored 525:				
SC AMONIL SA	Slobozia/		chemical		R37; R38; R8;	15000 t and 4 x	,				
8 (P)	lalomita	lalomita (52.4)	fertilizers		R24; R25; R35	198 t	stored 197	1		3,250,000	6.5
<b>C</b> (1)							0.015			0,200,000	0.0
							20				
				ink, colors, sodium			62				
SC COMCEH SA	Calarasi/			hydroxide, oil,		bins, metallic	25				
9 (P)	Calarasi	Borcea	paper producing		R52: R53	· ·	0.03	2		10700	4.0

# **ARS Ukraine (no table received)**

# **ARS Republic of Moldova**

ARS Moldova

		Location District	Recipient River	Company activities	Dangerous Substances	WHC	Toxic Proper-ties	Total amount	Storage facilities	Free operational volume	Remarks	Bezugsmen ge (kg/l)	equivalent	Sum of water risk class equivalents	Water risk index
	Apa- Canal (municipal property)	Cahul	Prut 87 km	Waste- water treatment	Chlorine			N/a	N/a	N/a	low				
	Oil-tank (private property, "Lukoil)	Cahul	Prut 87 km	Storing and distributing	Oil-products	2	5	9,700 m <sup>3</sup>	Cisterns (28 units)	N/a	medium		970000	970000	6.0
3.		Cantemir	Prut 160 km	Waste-water treatment	Chlorine	2		4,800 tons	Cylinders	N/a	Medium			480000	5.7
	network and	Leova	Prut 260 km	Local heating	Oil products	2	5	N/a	Cisterns	N/a	low				
		largara	Prut 260 km	Storing and distributing	Oil products	2	5	N/a	Cisterns	N/a	low				
	Oil-tank (private property)		Prut 260 km	Storing and distributing	Oil products	2	5	7.532t	Cisterns	N/a	medium			753200	5.9
	(private	Leova	Prut 260 km	Storing and distributing	Oil products	2	5	N/a	Cisterns	N/a	low				
	(communal	Leova	Prut 260 km	Waste-water treatment	Chlorine	2		N/a	Cylinders	N/a	low				
	Oil-tank (Private property)	Falesti	Prut 472 km	Storing and depositing	Oil products	2	5	3.800 m <sup>3</sup>	Cisterns (72 units)	N/a	low			380000	5.6
	Apa- Canal (communal property)	Ungheni	Prut\ 387 km	Waste-water treatment	Chlorine	2		0.8t	Cylinders	N/a	low			80	1.9
		Ungheni	Prut 367 km	Heating supply	Fuel oil	2	5	7.000t	Cisterns	N/a	medium			700000	5.8
	Oil-tank "Feodora" (private property)	Ungheni	Prut 387 km	Storing and distributing	Oil products	2	5	500t	Cisterns	N/a	low			50000	5.9
	Oil-tank ( state property)	Ungheni	Prut 387 km	Storing and distributing	Oil products	2	5	1,000t	Cisterns	N/a	medium			100000	5.0
	Petrol-station "Zagoros" (private property)	Ungheni	Prut 387 km	Storing and distributing	Oil products	2	5	500t	Cisterns	N/a	low			50000	5.9
15.		Ungheni	Prut 387 km	Storing and distributing	Oil products	2	5	500t	Cisterns	N/a	low			50000	4.7
16.		Nisporeni	Prut 330 km	Waste water treatment	Chlorine	2		1.3t	Cylinders	N/a	low			1300	3.1

### ARS Moldova

17.	Oil-tank ( state property)		Prut 330 km	Storing and distributing	Oil products	2	5	800t	Cisterns	N/a	medium		80000	4.9
18.	Apa-Canal (communal	Nisporeni	Prut 330 km	Waste-water treatment	Chlorine	2		0.3t	Cylinders	N/a	low		30	1.5
19.	Thermal network ( state property)	Hancesti	Prut 270 km	Heating	Fuel oil	2	5	200t	Cisterns	N/a	low		20000	4.3
25		Hancesti, village Lapusna	Prut 270 km	Storing and distributing	Oil products	2	5	N/a	Cisterns	N/a	low			
20.	Pesticide depository ( communal property)	Hancesti	Prut 270 km	Storing and distributing	Pesticide	3	2 4 7 ( CuSO <sub>4</sub> )	N/a	Jerrycan Metal containers Plastic bags	N/a	low			
21.	22 petrol stations ( private property)	Hancesti	Prut 270 km	Storing and distributing	Fuel	2	5	N/a	Cisterns	N/a	low			
22.	Oil-tank (private property)	Comrat	Yalpugh 65km	Storing and distributing	Oil products	2	5	N/a	Cisterns	N/a	low			
23.		Comrat	Yalpugh 65	Waste-water treatment	Chlorine	2		N/a	Cylinders	N/a	low			
24.		Ciadir- Lunga	Yalpugh 30 km	Storing and distributing	Oil products	2	5	N/a	Cisterns	N/a	low			
25.		Ciadir- Lunga	Yalpugh 30 km	Waste-water treatment	Chlorine	2		N/a	Cylinders	N/a	low			
26.		Vulcanesti	Cahul 20 km	Waste-water treatment	Chlorine	2		N/a	Cylinders	N/a	low			
27.	Petrol- stations (3 units- private property)	Vulcanesti	Cahul 20 km	Storing and distributing	Oil products	2	5	N/a	Cisterns	N/a	low			

3634610 **6.6** 

Summe