

for a living planet<sup>®</sup>

# Adaptation to Climate Change: The perspective of WWF

Dr. Christine Bratrich, Sergey Moroz, Georg Rast

Conference on Adaptation of Water Management to Effects of Climate Change in the Danube Basin Vienna December 3rd, 2007



#### Danube: European lifeline



- > 80 million people living in the basin
- > 20 million people
  depend on its drinking water



#### Danube: European lifeline



- > 50 protected areas of international importance
- 330 bird species (223 red list)
- > 100 fish species





- 1. major threats related with climate change
- 2. major drivers that create cumulative impacts
- **3.** WWF's adaptation strategies





# Most severe effects?



### Danube: impacts of climate change

#### Change in seasonal maximum 5-day precipitation



-90 -50 -30 -10 +10 +30 +50+100+250 %

source: Danish Meteorological Institute; worst case scenario IPCC A2; maps show difference between control run (1960 – 1990) and scenario run (2070 – 2100) after M. Grasserbauer; EC 2006



## **Direct and indirect impacts**

summer (JJA)

flood events low flow/draughts water temperature

water quality invasive species groundwater recharge connection of functional habitats natural biodiversity





# climate change comes only on top of other drivers: reduced Danube's natural ability to adjust and absorb disturbance





#### landuse changes

#### > 80% of former floodplains are already lost





## hydropower

#### chain of reservoirs with 58 dams only 3 free flowing sections in upper Danube



- interruption of fish migration
- trapped sediments
- loss of habitat & species
- reduced water quality



#### hydropower

#### massive changes in suspended sediment budget



source: U.Schwarz (2007) Assessment of the balance and management of sediments of the Danube waterway





#### Danube is a major European waterway





#### fairway construction & maintenance, river regulation combined with trapped bed loads

massive incision of river beds leads to disconnected floodplains & lower groundwater tables



source: U.Schwarz (2007) Assessment of the balance and management of sediments of the Danube waterway



# WWF:

adaptation to climate change needs to consider all drivers & cumulative impacts



# WWF's four adaptation principles



- 1. Work with nature not against it
- Find the right balance between use and protection & support innovative technology
- 3. Turn agriculture from problem driver to solution facilitator
- 4. Integrate European policy & ensure wise use of EU funds



## 1. Work with nature - not against it



- protection of functional floodplain and wetland systems to buffer direct and indirect effects of climate change
- reconnection of side-arms, floodplains and wetlands
- dislocation or removal of dikes and embankments



## WWF's study on flood mitigation

- 43 floodplains with high potential for flood risk mitigation
- total: >10,500 km<sup>2</sup> remaining areas; >7,000 km<sup>2</sup> restoration sites



Danube



# 2. Find the right balance & introduce innovative technology



- avoid new dams in the Danube, empower existing facilities
- use existing dams for flood & drought mitigation based on sound forecast
- define fairway conditions according to ecological needs, not 2,5 m draft for the entire Danube
- renew the Danube fleet, use new ship technology & river information systems
- support intelligent logistic chains (flexible adaptation to weather conditions)



### Adapt ships to the Danube

- INBAT, FLABI, Pascat, Futra Tanker... (catamaran, convoy techniques)
- focus on RO-RO technique
- airbag systems for low water sections (i.e. catamaran)



Source: Guesnet, T. (2005) Final technical Report, Including Technological Implementation Plan. – Duisburg, 14.01.2005.

Versuchsanstalt für Binnenschiffbau e.V., Duisburg, 2004



# 3. Turn agriculture from problem driver to solution facilitator



- reduce peak run-off in the catchment area (afforestation, introducing natural barriers)
- improve rainwater infiltration (changing agricultural practices)
- re-install natural water courses
- increase water efficiency and equal water distribution



# Ensure sustainable water use: example Tisza



- agriculture >40% of total water consumption (1% of discharge)
- during minimum flow: up to 25% of discharge for agriculture



#### 4. Integrate EU policy & use EU funds wisely



- apply the solidarity principle to motivate upstream countries to take action
- EU Regional and Cohesion Funds: support 'finance packages' for measures based on river basin planning not only project-by-project
- support landowners to use Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) or Fishery Funds (EFF) for flood and drought mitigation measures



#### Potential restoration sites: lower Danube



- reconnecting fish ponds and former meanders
- potential to use EAFRD or EFF funds
- landmark for new cooperation (authorities, NGOs and business sector)



#### for a living planet®



# Climate change comes only on top of other drivers:

Help to improve Danube's natural ability to adjust and absorb disturbance!



#### for a living planet®

Thank you



#### Avoid new dams



 investigation of 293 rivers worldwide impacted or not impacted by dams

..."need of management interventions will be <u>much higher for basins</u> <u>impacted by dams</u> than for basins with free-flowing rivers."

> Source: Palmer et al. 2008 **"Climate change and the world's river basins: anticipating management options"** model results for discharge and water stress change (IPCC A2 and B2 scenarios) Frontiers e-View, www.frontiersecology.org



#### 25 energy sources



Score [out of 45]

50



#### WWF grouping: climate sound technolgies

Industrial Energy Efficency and Conservation **Efficient Buildings Efficient Vehicles** Aviation and Shipping Efficency Repowering Hydro Sustainable Biomass Wind Power Solar PV Solar Thermal Power Solar Thermal Heat Small Hvdro Geothermal (heat and power) Tidal, Wave and Ocean Technologies Hydrogen from Renewables Large Hydro (existing plus sustainable) Carbon Capture and Storage Natural Gas displacing Coal Unsustainable Biomass Unsustainable Hydro

Nuclear

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{BENEFITS} \to \to \\ \mathsf{DISBENEFITS} \end{array}$ 

#### BENEFITS → DISBENEFITS

BENEFITS ← DISBENEFITS



## **Results: loss of floodplains**





- since 1960s: dramatic loss of former floodplains
- about 500,000 ha
- major use: agricultural land



#### future infrastructure projects

#### >1000 km of most valuable area are under threat





#### Implementation of the Lower Danube Green Corridor Agreement (2000)

Largest international & cross-border initiative for wetland protection & restoration: Landmark for WFD implementaion



- (b) 160,000 ha: proposed new protected areas
- 225,000 ha: proposed restoration areas **(C)**



# WWF's study on flood mitigation

- Important bird areas (IBA) with high ecological
- high potential for flood mitigation





## Renew the Danube fleet

Technical data of INBAT:Length x Breadth of the total barge train:118 m x 9,0 mDesign Draught:1,7 mLength x Breadth of one single barge:48,5 m x 9,0 mLight draught of the barge:0,20 mDeadweight per barge at design draught 1,70 m:641 tonsLength x Breadth of the push boat:20 m x 9,0 m

Push boat with a total power of at least 480 kW transmitted with 3 propellers and sufficient thrust, for barge operation in shallow waterways with a push boat draft limited to 0,60 m



Source: Guesnet, T. (2005) Final technical Report, Including Technological Implementation Plan. – Duisburg, 14.01.2005. www.inbat.net